Effect of Year, Season and Parity on Milk Production Traits in Murrah Buffaloes
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Abstract: The objective of this study was to find out the effects of year, season and parity on milk production traits i.e. total lactation milk yield (TLMY), 305 day milk yield (305d MY) and average fat percentage etc. in Murrah buffaloes under organized herd. Records of 515 Murrah buffaloes maintained at Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University (GADVASU), Ludhiana, Punjab (India) during the period of 2004-2008 were used. Average TLMY, 305d MY and fat percentage were recorded to be 2229.8± 93.7 kg, 2147.6 ± 87.06 kg and 7.12 ±0.11%. The TLMY was found to be significantly affected by season (P≤0.05) but not by year and parity. The highest milk yield was obtained in buffaloes calving in winter followed by rainy and summer. Milk yield of buffaloes in winter was significantly higher than that of animals in summer (P≤0.05). The TLMY increased over the years with highest milk yield in the year 2006 (2345.1±99.32kg). Similar results were obtained for 305d MY, where only the season was found significant (P≤0.05). The average fat percentage was significantly (P≤0.05) affected by year and season. Milk fat percentage of buffaloes calved in winter was significantly (P≤0.05) higher than that of calved in summer. Similarly milk fat percentage varied significantly among the parities with no consistent increase over the advancement of the parities. In this study season was found to have a significant effect on 305MY and fat % but not on the total lactation milk yield.

Keywords: Murrah buffalo, year, season, parity, total lactation milk yield, 305 day milk yield.

INTRODUCTION

Buffalo is the major dairy animal in India, contributing approximately 56% of the total milk produced in the country [1]. Buffaloes having high capacity to face adverse environmental conditions and a remarkable longevity (up to 10 years production period). Among the established breeds of buffalo in India, the Murrah breed originated in the valleys of Rohtak and its surrounding area of the Haryana province. This breed has now spread over all parts. Murrah buffaloes performed far better than local and crossbred cattle, indicating its adaptation to various environmental conditions. The variation in milk production is a regular phenomenon in all milking animals, broadly the factors which are responsible for such variations can be divided into 1) Physiological, which will be governed by the genetically make up and 2) Environmental, such as age, number of previous lactations, pregnancy, season of calving, calving interval and nutrition status [2]. The season of calving has got a marked effect on the total production.

In order to enhance productivity of a dairy animal, it is necessary to develop an understanding of the factors affecting its milk production and composition. Milk yield and fat percentage, two important parameters in dairy animals, depend on both genetic and non-genetic factors. Genetic improvement may be done by selection. The non-genetic factors such as management, amount and quality of feed, season etc. also influence milk yield and lactation length, and need to be assessed in a production set up. Analysis of five year data of Nili-Ravi buffaloes maintained at dairy farm in the home tract of the breed has shown that factors affecting milk yield in buffaloes are almost similar to those of cattle including: year, season, herd, parity, days in milk, days open, age and sire [3].

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effects of year, season and parity on total lactation milk yield, 305 day milk yield and fat percentage in Murrah buffaloes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of Data

The data pertaining to milk production traits for the present investigation were collected from the history cum pedigree sheets, production records maintained at the Dairy Farm of GADVASU, Ludhiana under the “Network project on buffalo breeding” with the main objective to study the effect of non genetic factors on milk production and its composition. The data with respect to this objective was collected over a period of five years i.e. from 2004-2008.
Breeding Stock

As per the technical program of the project, a herd of about 300 buffaloes is being maintained for progeny testing program. So in order to have required strength and genetic variability, the buffaloes were purchased from breeding tract of Murrah, mainly from Rohatak, various animal fares of Punjab and outstanding animals of the individual farmers. Selection and purchasing of the animals were exclusively based on the criteria fixed by Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) for this project, i.e. on the basis of milk yield of three successive milking, breed characteristics, and shape and udder quality characteristics. Once the herd strength was attained, only a few outstanding animals were purchased from time to time. All the female calves born at the farm were retained till they complete their first lactation.

General Practices at the Farm

The animals were maintained under loose housing system. Buffaloes are milked twice daily by hand as well as machine, depending on their milk yield. The calves were weaned at birth and reared separately. Buffaloes are allowed to wallow in pond in the dairy farm. They were fed ad libitum fodder. Coding of animals identity was done from these records. Data was classified and grouped according to genetic groups, year, season and parity.

Methodology

The study was based on 515 records of Murrah buffaloes. Only the existing animals in different parities and different stage of lactation throughout 2004-08 years were taken. Milk yield of the animals with abnormal lactation due to abortions, mortality, sale of animals etc. were included only if the buffaloes produce milk for minimum of 150 days. Those animals which are not completed 150 days of lactation were not included in the analysis so actual records were 479. The data were grouped according to periods (years), seasons and parity to quantify their effects on milk production. Year was grouped as from 2004 to 2008 and seasons were grouped according to summer (March – June), rainy (July – October) and winter (November – February).

The seasons were classified on the basis of environmental conditions over a period of 5 years. The buffaloes were reared under the standard husbandry practices of the farm. Missing or abnormal records were deleted from data. To study the effect of year of calving, season of calving and parity on production traits, viz., total milk production, 305d milk production and fat percentage the following model was used

\[ y_{ijkl} = \mu + s_i + p_k + l_j + e_{ijkl} \]

\( y_{ijkl} \) – total milk yield of \( i^{th} \) animal in \( i^{th} \) season \( k^{th} \) period and in \( j^{th} \) parity

\( s_i \) – effect of \( i^{th} \) season (\( i = 1, 2 \) and 3)

\( p_k \) – effect of \( k^{th} \) period

\( l_j \) – effect of \( j^{th} \) parity

\( e_{ijkl} \) – random error NID \((0, \sigma_e^2)\)

Statistical Analysis of Data

Since the number of observations in each sub-class was unequal and disproportionate, the data were analysed by LSML91 version package of Harvey, 1990. The analysis of variance was carried out by model 1 of Harvey [4], which had the facility to adjust simultaneously for significant fixed by computing different components of variance. To obtain the constants for environmental effects, left hand side consisted of matrices of frequencies in different subclasses arranged in an \( m \times n \) symmetrical matrix. The right hand side was the sum of dependent variable for each subclass. The matrices were reduced to obtain a set of independent equations by imposing restrictions. The reduced matrix was inverted. The inverse elements were multiplied with right hand members to obtain the least square constants for all the levels of fixed effects.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results obtained from the analyses and relevant discussions pertaining to the results are presented under different headings.

Total Lactation Milk Yield (TLMY)

The total lactation milk yield (TLMY) was found to be significantly affected by season (\( P \leq 0.05 \)) but not by year and parity (Table 1). The highest milk yield was obtained in animals calving in winter season followed by rainy and summer season. Milk yield of buffaloes in winter was significantly higher than that of animals in summer (\( P \leq 0.05 \)). However, difference between buffaloes calving in summer and rainy season was not statistically significant. Buffaloes calving in summer
season produced 86.4kg less milk than those calved in rainy season and 206.4kg less than those calved in winter season. Available reports [5,6] agree with our findings that the TLMY of the buffaloes calving in winter is higher than in other seasons. Similarly total lactation milk yield increased over the first three years with highest milk yield in the year 2006 (2345.1±99.32kg) (Table 2).

There was no consistent increase or decrease with the advance in years there on which may be due to the environmental variation in different years. However the differences between years were not significant (P≥0.05). There was evident increase of milk yield from the first fifth parity (Table 3). This is in agreement with Anderson [7] who reported that production of cow reaches the peak around fifth parity, when animal is seven to eight years old and gains to adult body size. Therefore, increase in production is caused by maturation and increase in body weight and mammary gland. Mammary gland grows and develops parallel to growing, maturation and recurring pregnancies and lactations [7]. Earlier report [8] showed that cows of the same age but different parity have different production, and that differences are particularly evident for the first and second parity. In the present model the R² value for total lactation milk yield was found to be 0.038.

### 305-Days Milk Yield (305d MY)

Similar results were obtained for 305-days milk yield (305d MY) (P≥0.05) (Table 1). Buffaloes calved in the summer season produced 178.8kg less milk than those calved in rainy and 398.7kg less than those calved in winter season. These results corroborate with the results of Akcay et al. [9] where the cows calved in the winter season produced 312.9 kg more milk than the cows calving in summer. The decrease in milk yield might be because of high environmental temperature and humidity during summer.

Similarly 305d milk yield increased over the first three periods with highest milk yield in the year 2006 followed by year 2005 and year 2004 (Table 2). There was no consistent increase or decrease there on. Similarly 305d milk yield varied among the parities from the first to the second, fourth and fifth parity (Table 3).

The highest 305d milk yield was observed in animals in fifth parity with no consistent increase or decrease over the advancement of the parities [10, 11]. On the other hand findings of other workers [6,12] are contradictory with the results of present study. The variation might be due to the difference in the breeds, herds, size of data sets, methods of estimation, the level of productivity and even the periods of time for this particular trait. The present model the R² value for 305 days milk yield was found to be 0.031.

### Average Fat Percentage

The average fat percentage was significantly (P≤0.05) affected by year (Table 2) and season (Table 1). The fat percentage during summer was 0.26 per

---

### Table 1: Effect of Season on Milk Production Traits in Murrah Buffaloes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>TLMY (kg)</th>
<th>305 d MY (kg)</th>
<th>Fat (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>2229.8 ± 93.7</td>
<td>2147.6 ± 87.06</td>
<td>7.12 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>2132.2 ± 75.15a</td>
<td>1951.6 ± 125.9b</td>
<td>6.85 ± 0.14b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>2218.6 ± 72.48bc</td>
<td>2107.5 ± 114.1bc</td>
<td>7.11 ± 0.14bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2338.6 ± 84.04bc</td>
<td>2300.6 ± 108.3bc</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.16bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where, N= total number of records, TLMY= total lactation milk yield, 305d MY= 305 day milk yield.

---

### Table 2: Effect of Year on Milk Production Traits in Murrah Buffaloes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>TLMY (kg)</th>
<th>305 d MY (kg)</th>
<th>Fat (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2197.7 ± 80.98</td>
<td>2049.2 ± 120.9</td>
<td>6.75 ± 0.15a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2236.2 ± 91.95</td>
<td>2170.1 ± 137.2</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.17bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2345.1 ± 99.32</td>
<td>2250.8 ± 148.4</td>
<td>7.43 ± 0.18bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2185.1 ± 89.95</td>
<td>2224.6 ± 134.4</td>
<td>7.21 ± 0.17bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2184.9 ± 98.55</td>
<td>2043.3 ± 149.3</td>
<td>7.32 ± 0.18bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where, N= total number of records, TLMY= total lactation milk yield, 305d MY= 305 day milk yield.
cent less than that during rainy season. Milk fat percentage of buffaloes calved in winter season was significantly (P≤0.05) higher than that of the animals calved in summer season, due to environmental effects. Table 2 depicted that the average fat percentage increased over the first three periods with highest fat percentage in the year 2006 (7.43±0.18%) which was significantly higher (P≤0.05) than that of the first year (6.75±0.15). Similarly the fat percentage varied significantly among the parities (Table 3) with no consistent increase over the advancement of the parities [7]. In the present model R² value for average fat percentage was found to be 0.074.

### CONCLUSION

It is concluded that total lactation milk yield and 305 day milk yield were affected by season but not by year and parity in contrast to fat percentage which is affected by year and season but not by parity. Total lactation milk yield and 305 day milk yield were affected by year into season interaction but actual milk yield is not affected by year. Parity also affected total lactation milk yield, 305d milk yield and fat percentage. Negative phenotypic trend in milk yield is alarming and needs further investigations.
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