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Abstract: This study utilizes an asymmetric Granger causality test suggested by Hatemi-J (2012) to examine the causal relationship running from unemployment rate to suicide rate over the period of 1985 to 2012 in Japan and South Korea. Empirical results show that no Granger causality running from unemployment rate to suicide rate exists in Japan. However, results show that for South Korea, Granger causality exists running from a negative unemployment rate shock to a negative shock in suicide rate. More specifically, a decrease in unemployment rate leads to a decrease in suicide rate. Although the findings of this study do not support that an increase in unemployment rate has led to an increase in suicide rate for Japan and South Korea, a decrease in unemployment rate leads to a decrease in suicide rate in South Korea. Therefore, policy makers in South Korea could decrease unemployment rate to cause a decrease in suicide rate.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Suicide is a global phenomenon impacting all regions of the world and is a serious global public health problem. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) report “Preventing Suicide: A Global Imperative”, each year more than 800,000 people take their own lives - about one person every 40 seconds. As shown in Table 1, an estimated 75% of the world’s suicides occurred in low and middle-income countries. The suicide rate in the South-East Asia Region accounted for 39% of global suicides is the highest among all regions.

The center of gravity of the problem of suicide mortality transferred from Western Europe to Eastern Europe, but now seems to have shifted to Asia (Värnik, 2012). However, while Japan and South Korea do not represent underdeveloped economies, they are the top two Asian countries with the highest suicide rates. In 2012, the suicide rates of South Korea and Japan were 29.1 and 19.1 per 100,000 people respectively. The cases of Japan and Korea are very intriguing. Why do some of the world’s most developed and wealthy countries have such high suicide rates?

According to the OECD Health Statistics 2015, South Korea’s suicide rate is 29.1 in 2012, about 2.5 times greater than the OECD average of 11.9. South Korea’s suicide rate is No. 1 for the 11th year in a row among OECD countries. One reason for the cause of South Korea’s high suicide rate compared to other countries in the developed world is due to a large amount of suicide among the elderly. High suicide rate among elderly South Koreans is due to economic support and poverty, social isolation, and family structure. During recent decades, the rapid economic growth and modernization occurring in South Korea has been associated with powerful socio cultural changes. The old social structure where children looked after their parents has largely disappeared. Disintegrating the traditional family unit and leaving many people feeling isolated and alone as well as combined with a poorly-funded social safety net for the elderly, these result in poor elderly people committing suicide.

Generally speaking, the main reasons for contemplating suicide in South Korea are that children and young adults face the stress of living in a hyper competitive society or pressure over exam results and college entrance, middle-aged South Koreans concern the personal economic problems, and the elderly feel isolation as a result of the breakdown of the traditional family unit.

As to the Japan’s suicide rate, it is around 60% higher than the OECD average in 2012. For the Japan’s elderly, the situation is similar in South Korea, the children used to take care of their parents in old age but now not any more. In addition, Japan was once known as the land of lifetime employment. However, opportunities for young people to find a stable full time job have decreased in recent. Nearly 40% of young people in Japan are unable to find stable jobs while
many older people still enjoy job security and generous benefits. The harsh economic in Japan had led to high unemployment, and thus deepen tensions between family members. Family conflict is responsible for the high suicide rate in Japan.

Suicide has a different role in Japan’s cultural heritage than it does in the West. In Japan, suicide is considered as a way to take responsibility. The reasons of Japanese suicide are many, according to Japan’s National Police Agency statistics, "health problems" the most, followed by "economic and social problems" and "family problems". In fact, the critical factor of committing suicide is economy because economic problem leads to unemployment, increasing conflict and then family problems.

Empirical studies related to suicides are often been conducted with an economic, sociology, epidemiology, or psychology perspective. In this study, we focus on the economic perspective. Economists believe that if future economic growth leads to higher employments and better economic prospects, then people will feel hope and reduce the possibility of suicide. Rodríguez (2005) and Viren (2005) find that economic growth has a significantly negative impact on suicide rates. On the contrary, Gingberg (1966) believes that the economic environment has a positive effect on suicides. One perspective suggests that economic downturns lead to an increase in unemployment and a decrease in the opportunity cost of leisure. Subsequently, due to surrounding friends also suffering unemployment, the unemployed are less likely to experience psychological pressure and as a result, the number of suicide attempts or thus the suicide rates decline (Clark, 2003).

The Asian financial crisis of the late 1990s had serious impacts on South Korea, causing an economic downturn that led to a substantial increase in suicide rates compared to that of the early 1990s. A number of studies (Faupel et al. 1987; Burr et al. 1994; Lester 1995; Mäkinen 1997; Ruhm 2000; Chuang and Huang 2003; Klick and Markowitz 2003; Rodríguez 2005; Daly and Wilson 2006; Koo and Cox 2008; Minoiu and Rodríguez 2008; Chen et al. 2009) argue that high unemployment rates were accompanied by high rates of suicide. However, Clark (2003) and Neumayer (2004) find that unemployment rates are significantly negative related to suicide rates. In this study, we investigate whether high unemployment rate causes high suicide rate in Japan and South Korea, both well developed countries that have high suicide rates. This study provides an economic perspective to discuss the prevention of suicides.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the data sets and demonstrates the econometric methodology for the empirical analysis. Section 3 presents the empirical results. Section 4 provides the conclusions.

### 2. DATA AND ECONOMETRIC METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1. Data

The data set used in this study consists of annual observations of suicide rate (SR), and unemployment rate (UR) from 1985 to 2012.\(^2\) Suicide rate data is from the WHO Mortality Database and unemployment rate data is from the International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database. The summary statistics of suicide rate (per 100,000) and unemployment rate in Japan and South Korea are reported in Table 2.

---

\(^2\)By the September 2015, suicide rate data from the WHO Mortality Database is available till 2012. Suicide rate data for 2013 was released in September 2015.
can be defined in a cumulative form as
\[
SR_t = \sum_{i=1}^{t} e_{i1}, \quad UR_t = \sum_{i=1}^{t} e_{i2}, \quad \text{and} \quad UR_J = \sum_{i=1}^{t} e_{i2}.
\]
Each positive and negative shock has a permanent impact on the underlying variable. To test the causal relationship between these two components, Hatemi-J (2012) and Hatemi-J and Uddin (2012) developed a single test statistic in time domain, assuming it holds for all points in the frequency distribution. There are four combinations of positive and negative shocks \((SR_t^+, UR_t^+), (SR_t^-, UR_t^-), (SR_t^+, UR_t^-), (SR_t^-, UR_t^+)\) as suggested by Hatemi-J and Uddin (2012). The test for asymmetric causality can be conducted by using a vector autoregressive (VAR) model with optimal lag length (p) as follows:
\[
X_t^c = \gamma + A_1 X_{t-1}^c + A_2 X_{t-2}^c + \cdots + A_p X_{t-p}^c + u_t
\]
where \(X_t^c = (SR_t^c, UR_t^c)\), \(\gamma\) is the 2x1 intercept vector, \(A_i\) is the 2x2 matrix of parameters, \(c\) is the type of components (i.e. positive “+” or negative “−”), and \(u_t\) is the 2x1 vector of error terms. The optimal lag length \(p\) is selected by the following information criterion that is suggested by Hatemi-J (2003):
\[
HJC = \ln(|\hat{\Omega}_r|) + j n^2 \ln T + 2n^2 \ln(\ln T) - \frac{2T}{p}
\]
where \(|\hat{\Omega}_r|\) is the determinant of the estimated variance-covariance matrix of the error terms in the VAR model based on the lag length \(j\), \(n\) is the number of equations in the VAR, and \(T\) is the total number of observations.

Then, we use a Wald test to test for Granger causality via the VAR(p) model defined as follows:
\[
X = DZ + \delta
\]
where
\[
X = (X_t^c, \cdots, X_T^c) \quad (n \times T) \text{ matrix};
\]
\[
D = (\gamma, A_1, \cdots, A_p) \quad (n \times (1 + np)) \text{ matrix};
\]
\[
Z_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ X_t^c \\ \vdots \\ X_{t-p+1}\end{bmatrix} \quad ((1 + np) \times 1) \text{ matrix for } t = 1, \cdots, T.
\]
\( Z = (Z_0, \ldots, Z_{T-1}) \) \(((1 + np) \times T)\) matrix;

\( \delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_T) \) \((n \times T)\) matrix.

The null hypothesis of no Granger causality, \( H_0: R\beta = 0 \), is tested by the following:

\[
Wald = (R\beta)' \left( R((Z'Z)^{-1} \otimes S)R' \right)^{-1} (R\beta)
\]

(8)

where \( R \) is an indicator matrix of the parameters with elements ones for restricted parameters and zero for the rest of the parameters, \( \beta \) is the column stack of \( D \), \( \otimes \) represents the Kronecker product, and \( S \) is the variance–covariance matrix of the unrestricted model. As noted by Hatemi-J and Uddin (2012), if the data exists the autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (ARCH) effects, then there is the possibility that the distribution of the Wald statistic substantially deviates from its asymptotic distribution. Therefore, it is important to utilize the bootstrapping simulation technique in order to achieve correct inference.

3. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

The Phillips-Perron unit root test was adopted to determine the integration degree of variables before conducting the asymmetric Granger causality test. The results of Phillips-Perron unit root test are reported in Table 3. As shown in Table 3, we cannot reject the null hypothesis of a unit root for all variables in levels but we can reject the null hypothesis of a unit root for all variables in first differences. Hence, all variables are integrated of one order.

This study also adopts Toda and Yamamoto (1995)’s VAR(p+d) model, where \( p \) is the optimal lag and \( d \) is the maximum order of integration. The maximum order of integration \( d \) is equal to 1 for both Japan and South Korea. Meanwhile, for Japan and South Korea, the optimal lag length \( p \) in the VAR model is equal to 1 determined by the HJC information criterion.

Diagnostic test results are reported in Table 4. We adopt the Doornik and Hansen (2008) test for multivariate normality and Hacker and Hatemi-J (2005) test for ARCH. For both Japan and South Korea, the null hypothesis that residuals are multivariate normal is rejected regardless whether the VAR model is estimated for positive, negative, or a combination of the cumulative shocks. The null hypothesis of no multivariate ARCH is also rejected for all four cases in Japan and South Korea. Therefore, this study utilizes the bootstrap test instead of the standard methods based on normality and no ARCH. The bootstrapping simulation technique based on Hatemi-J and Uddin (2012) for 10,000 iterations is utilized to construct the 10%, 5%, and 1% critical values by using GAUSS software.

The test results of Asymmetric Granger causality running from unemployment rate to suicide rate in Japan and South Korea are reported in Tables 5 and 6.

### Table 3: Phillips-Perron Test for Unit Root

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>South Korea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test Statistic (Level)</td>
<td>Test Statistic (1st Difference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR⁺</td>
<td>-0.65310 [0.8416]</td>
<td>-4.67109*** [0.0011]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR⁻</td>
<td>0.63573 [0.9880]</td>
<td>-3.00412** [0.0482]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UR⁺</td>
<td>-0.17733 [0.9299]</td>
<td>-4.14637*** [0.0037]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UR⁻</td>
<td>-1.97557 [0.2950]</td>
<td>-3.73261*** [0.0098]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The p-value is presented in the bracket. *** and ** indicate significance at the 1% and 5% levels, respectively.

### Table 4: Diagnostic Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable in the VAR model</th>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>South Korea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariate Normality</td>
<td>Multivariate ARCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SR⁺, UR⁻)</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.3860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SR⁻, UR⁻)</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.8860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SR⁻, UR⁺)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.2210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SR⁺, UR⁻)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.1270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The p-values for multivariate normality and multivariate ARCH tests are presented. The optimal lag length in the VAR model is one based on the HJC information criterion.
respectively. As shown in Table 5, there is no Granger causality running from unemployment rate to suicide rate in terms of positive, negative, or a combination of the cumulative shocks in Japan. For South Korea, according to the asymmetric causality test results in Table 6, only the null hypothesis that negative unemployment rate shocks do not Granger cause negative shocks in suicide rate (i.e. $UR^- > SR$) can be rejected at the 5% significance level. This result indicates that a negative shock from unemployment rate will further decrease suicide rate.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The traditional symmetric Granger causality test assumes that the impact of a positive shock is the same as that of a negative shock in the absolute terms. However, positive and negative shocks may have different causal impacts. This study takes into account this issue and utilizes an asymmetric Granger causality test suggested by Hatemi-J (2012) in order to examine the causal relationship running from unemployment rate to suicide rate over the period of 1985 to 2012 in Japan and South Korea.

Empirical results show that there is no Granger causality running from unemployment rate to suicide rate in Japan but there is Granger causality running from unemployment rate to suicide rate in South Korea. For South Korea, test results from an asymmetric causality test suggest that a negative unemployment rate shock will cause a negative shock in suicide rate. More specifically, a decrease in unemployment rate leads to a decrease in suicide rate.

The findings of this study indicate that policy makers in South Korea could decrease unemployment rate to cause a decrease in suicide rate. However, there is no significant evidence to support that a positive unemployment rate shock will cause a positive shock in suicide rate in South Korea. Therefore, for both Japan and South Korea, we have no sufficient evidence to support that an increase in unemployment rate causes an increase in suicide rate.
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