Algorithms of Air Law - Connecting the Dots

Ruwantissa Abeyratne*

Senior Associate, Aviation Law and Policy, Aviation Strategies International, 1155 Metcalf Street, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Abstract: Rapid developments in the aviation industry bring to bear the compelling need to reexamine algorithms in air law. For example, the advent of advanced air mobility (AAM), typified by eVTOL aircraft and algorithm-driven systems, compels an evaluation of traditional air law. Rooted in treaties such as the Paris Convention of 1919 and the Chicago Convention of 1944, air law has evolved incrementally in response to the growth of global aviation. However, the rapid emergence of technologies such as autonomous aircraft, drones, and quantum computing necessitates a transformative approach. Algorithms, once peripheral to legal considerations, now lie at the heart of this evolution. These systems provide not only a means of optimizing safety and efficiency but also an avenue for addressing the intricate interplay of liability, governance, and ethical considerations.

The Council of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) stands at the forefront of this transformation. Leveraging its role as a global standard-setter, the Council can convene stakeholders to develop adaptive legal instruments, emphasizing cybersecurity protocols, liability apportionment, and equitable access. By fostering interdisciplinary collaboration and engaging in proactive governance, ICAO can ensure that AAM integrates innovation with fairness and resilience.

Ultimately, the integration of algorithms into air law represents more than a technological shift; it demands a philosophical reorientation. The algorithm emerges not only as a tool but also as a metaphor for interconnectedness and adaptability. Air law, in embracing this paradigm, must transcend prescriptive rules to become a living, dynamic framework capable of guiding aviation into an equitable, sustainable future. Only through such an approach can we ensure that the skies remain navigable, secure, and just, reflecting a balance between technological progress and human values. This article examines the issues involved.

Keywords: Advanced air mobility, algorithms, cybersecurity, air law, artificial intelligence, sustainability, unmanned aerial systems, CORSIA, ICAO.

1. INTRODUCTION

The integration of algorithmic systems into the field of air law demands a reconceptualization of traditional legal frameworks to address challenges of liability, governance, and fairness while harnessing these systems to ensure safety, efficiency, and global compliance in advanced air mobility (AAM)[1].

An algorithm, at its core, is a finite set of well-defined instructions or rules designed to perform a task or solve a problem[2]. It is a systematic approach to processing information, often distilled into a sequence of logical steps that can be executed by a human or a machine. Historically, algorithms have existed long before the advent of computers, embedded in the fabric of human reasoning and problem-solving. The term itself originates from the name of the Persian mathematician Al-Khwarizmi, whose contributions to mathematics in the 9th century laid the groundwork for systematic computation[3]. In the modern era, algorithms have taken on a transformative role, particularly in fields where large datasets and complex variables intersect.

Air law, as a specialized domain within international law, governs the use and regulation of airspace, ensuring safety, security, and efficiency in global aviation[4]. It is a field characterized by intricate interplay between national sovereignty, international cooperation, technological innovation, and commercial interests. As aviation continues to evolve with advancements such as unmanned aerial vehicles, supersonic travel, and urban air mobility, the legal frameworks underpinning it must adapt accordingly. Algorithms, by their very nature, offer a powerful tool to address the complexities of modern air law.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Aviation Law and Policy, Aviation Strategies International, 1155 Metcalf Stree, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; E-mail: rabeyratne@aviationstrategies.aero

[1]See Ruwantissa Abeyratne, Advanced Air Mobility: Transcending the Frontiers of Aviation Law, Frontiers in Law 4: 2024 at https://lifescienceglobal.com/pms/index.php/FIA/article/view/9992

[2]Seehttps://news.mit.edu/topic/algorithms also https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/introduction-to-algorithms/

[3]See Hardika Saputra, Al-Khawarizmi: A Muslim Scientist Who Discovered Algorithms and Their Influence In The Development Of Modern Computation, Prevenire, Journal of Multidisciplinary Science, January 2022

[4]See generally, Cheng, B. (1962) The Law of International Air Transport, Stevens & Sons; Dempsey, P. S. (2008) Public International Air Law, McGill University Institute and Centre for Research in Air and Space Law; Milde, M. (2016) International Air Law and ICAO, Eleven International Publishing. Scott, B., & Trimarchi, A. (2020) Fundamentals of International Aviation Law and Policy, Scott, B.I. and Trimarchi, A., Fundamentals of International Aviation Law and Policy,Routledge 2020; Bartsch, R. (2012) International Aviation Law: A Practical Guide. Routledge; Rosenfield, M. N., & Mendes de Leon, P. (2009) Aviation Law and Policy: Cases and Materials, Kluwer Law International.

One of the primary contributions of algorithms to air law lies in their ability to process and analyze vast amounts of data. Aviation generates an extraordinary volume of information—from flight operations and air traffic control to meteorological data and passenger records. Managing and interpreting this data is a daunting task, but algorithms excel in this domain. For instance, machine learning algorithms can identify patterns and correlations in historical flight data, enabling predictive analytics that enhance safety and efficiency. By anticipating potential disruptions, such as adverse weather conditions or equipment failures, algorithms contribute to proactive decision-making, minimizing risks and ensuring compliance with safety regulations.

Moreover, algorithms play a pivotal role in optimizing air traffic management (ATM)[5]. Traditional methods of air traffic control rely heavily on human operators, who are limited by cognitive capacity and prone to errors under stress. With the integration of algorithms, ATM systems can process real-time data from multiple sources, such as radar feeds, satellite navigation, and aircraft sensors, to generate dynamic flight paths that optimize fuel efficiency, reduce delays, and mitigate congestion in crowded airspace. This is particularly critical in light of increasing air traffic volumes, where traditional systems struggle to cope with demand.

In the context of legal compliance and enforcement, algorithms offer unprecedented precision and scalability. For example, automated systems powered by algorithms can monitor compliance with environmental standards, such as emissions limits established under the Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA)[6]. By analyzing data from aircraft engines, flight paths, and fuel consumption, these systems can identify non-compliance and facilitate enforcement actions. This not only ensures adherence to international agreements but also promotes transparency and accountability in the aviation sector.

The evolution of unmanned aerial systems (UAS)[7] and their integration into controlled airspace present another area where algorithms are indispensable. UAS operations pose unique challenges to air law, particularly concerning safety, privacy, and liability. Algorithms enable the development of detect-and-avoid systems that allow drones to navigate complex airspace autonomously while avoiding collisions with other aircraft. Additionally, they support geofencing technologies that restrict UAS operations in sensitive areas, such as near airports or military installations, thereby ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements.

Algorithms also intersect with air law in the domain of liability and dispute resolution. The Montreal Convention of 1999[8], a cornerstone of international air law, establishes carrier liability for damages arising from passenger injury, baggage loss, or delays[9]. As aviation systems become increasingly automated, questions of liability for algorithm-driven decisions will inevitably arise. For instance, if an algorithmic error in an air traffic control system leads to an accident, determining responsibility becomes a complex legal issue. Algorithms designed for forensic analysis can assist in investigating such incidents by reconstructing events and identifying causal factors, thereby informing legal proceedings and shaping jurisprudence in this evolving area.

The integration of quantum computing[10] into aviation further underscores the transformative potential of algorithms. Quantum algorithms, leveraging the principles of superposition and entanglement, promise exponential improvements in computational power. In the context of air law, quantum algorithms could revolutionize risk assessment, enabling real-time simulations of complex scenarios that involve multiple interacting variables. For instance, they could model

[5]Abeyratne, Ruwantissa Air Navigation Law, (2012) Springer: Heidelberg, at 19–68. By the same author, Global Regulation of Air Navigation: Key Focus Areas (2024), Ethics International Press: UK, at 327–329.

[6]See generally https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/default.aspx. Also Abeyratne, Ruwantissa, Carbon Offsetting as a Trade-Related Market-Based Measure for Aircraft Engine Emissions, Journal of World Trade 51, no. 3 (2017): 475–498. Also, by the same author, Addressing Aircraft Emissions – Is ICAO's CORSIA Sufficient for the European Union? European Transport Law, July 2017 at 36–47.

[7]Abeyratne, Global Regulation of Air Navigation: Key Focus Areas, supra note 5, at 107–15. Also, Carlos Osorio Quero and Jose Martinez-Carranza, Unmanned aerial systems in search and rescue: A global perspective on current challenges and future applications, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, Volume 118, 15 February 2025, at https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S2212420925000238

[8]Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air, Done at Montreal on 28 May 1999, United Nations Treaty Series, Vol. 2242, p. 309. ICAO Doc 9740.

[9]See generally Dempsey, Paul S. and Milde, Michael (2005) International Air Carrier Liability: The Montreal Convention of 1999, McGill: Canada, at 120–228.

[10]Quantum Computing is a field of computing that leverages the principles of quantum mechanics to process information in fundamentally new ways. See Sara Gamble, Quantum Computing: What It Is, Why We Want It, and How We're Trying to Get It, Frontiers of Engineering: Reports on Leading-Edge Engineering from the 2018 Symposium at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK538701/

the impact of emerging technologies, such as electric vertical takeoff and landing (eVTOL) aircraft, on existing legal frameworks and operational standards. This would provide regulators with valuable insights, facilitating the proactive development of adaptive policies.

However, the use of algorithms in air law also raises critical ethical and legal considerations. Transparency and accountability are paramount, as algorithmic decisions can have profound implications for safety, privacy, and human rights. Ensuring that algorithms operate fairly and without bias is a fundamental challenge, particularly when they are deployed in areas such as passenger screening or border control. For example, facial recognition algorithms used in airports must be scrutinized to prevent discriminatory practices and uphold principles of non-discrimination enshrined in international law.

Furthermore, the growing reliance on algorithms necessitates robust cybersecurity measures. Aviation systems are increasingly interconnected, with algorithms playing a central role in managing this complexity. However, this interconnectedness also makes them vulnerable to cyberattacks, which could compromise safety and disrupt operations. Ensuring the resilience and integrity of algorithmic systems is therefore critical, requiring collaboration between regulators, industry stakeholders, and cybersecurity experts.

Another dimension to consider is the interpretability of algorithms. In many cases, algorithms, particularly those based on machine learning, operate as “black boxes,” producing outputs without clear explanations of their reasoning. This opacity can pose challenges in legal contexts, where transparency and accountability are essential. For instance, if an algorithm is used to assess compliance with airworthiness standards, regulators must be able to understand and validate its methodology. Addressing this issue requires the development of explainable artificial intelligence (XAI)[11], which seeks to make algorithmic decisions transparent and interpretable without compromising their efficacy.

The evolution of air law in an algorithmic age also demands a reimagining of legal education and training. Lawyers, regulators, and policymakers must acquire a foundational understanding of algorithms and their implications for aviation. This interdisciplinary approach would enable them to engage effectively with technologists and address the nuanced challenges posed by algorithmic systems. Additionally, fostering collaboration between legal and technical experts is essential for crafting regulations that are both technically feasible and legally robust.

Algorithms represent a paradigm shift in the evolution of air law, offering powerful tools to address the complexities of modern aviation. From enhancing safety and efficiency to ensuring compliance and enabling innovation, their potential is vast. However, realizing this potential requires careful consideration of ethical, legal, and technical challenges. As aviation continues to evolve, so too must the legal frameworks that govern it, adapting to the realities of an algorithm-driven world. By embracing this evolution, air law can uphold its foundational principles of safety, security, and fairness while fostering innovation and progress in global aviation.

The world of aviation is one marked by ever-evolving technological advancements, complex regulatory landscapes, and an unwavering commitment to safety. With the rise of AAM, drones, and the advent of artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain, the boundaries of what is possible in the skies are expanding at an unprecedented rate. Yet, with these innovations comes the pressing challenge of ensuring that air law—traditionally grounded in principles established over the past century—can adapt to the complexities of the future. This article seeks to explore the intersection of law, technology, and innovation, proposing a new framework for navigating this evolving landscape. The future of aviation law hinges not only on traditional legal doctrines but also on the integration of emerging technologies—algorithms, machine learning, and decentralized systems—that are set to redefine the way we think about airspace, liability, and governance.

This article does not aim to offer a complete blueprint for the future of air law. Instead, it is intended as a starting point for discussion—a call to action for those in the legal, technological, and regulatory fields to come together and create a system that can meet the challenges of the modern age. It attempts to provide a comprehensive analysis of the key issues that will shape the future of aviation law, from AI-driven decision-making and liability models to global regulation and blockchain technology. The hope is that

[11]Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) constitutes a compendium of methodologies and processes.

this work will serve as a resource for those involved in the creation of laws and policies related to aviation, as well as for those who seek to understand the broader implications of these technologies.

Ultimately, the goal of this article is to inspire a new generation of thinkers—lawyers, engineers, policymakers, and technologists—to embrace the potential of these new technologies while also recognizing the importance of ethical considerations, transparency, and accountability. As the world of aviation continues to evolve, so too must the legal frameworks that govern it. The algorithms that underpin air law must be as adaptive and forward-thinking as the technologies they seek to regulate.

As we look ahead to the future of aviation, it is clear that we are entering a new and exciting era. But with this excitement comes the responsibility to ensure that the systems we create are fair, equitable, and just. The algorithms of air law must not only ensure safety and efficiency but also contribute to the greater good of society. By embracing innovation and adaptability, we can create a future in which airspace is safe, accessible, and well-regulated, while also ensuring that the technologies that make this possible are ethically sound and aligned with our highest ideals.

2. THE EVOLUTION OF AIR LAW AND THE DAWN OF THE ALGORITHMIC ERA

The history of air law is inextricably intertwined with the evolution of aviation itself. From the early days of flight, when the Wright brothers defied gravity and inspired the world to dream of the skies, to the present moment, where unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and autonomous electric vertical take-off and landing (eVTOL)[12] aircraft are becoming commonplace, air law has had to evolve in tandem. However, it has not always kept pace with the rapid technological advancements that have transformed aviation. The legal frameworks that governed early aviation were constructed in a world where aviation was still in its infancy, with a focus on regulating the actions of human pilots and ensuring that the skies remained a safe place for passengers, cargo, and countries. Today, we stand on the precipice of a new era—one characterized by artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, and other technologies that promise to reshape the very fabric of airspace regulation.

Air law, in its current form, was largely shaped by treaties and conventions established in the early 20th century. The roots of modern international aviation law can be traced back to the Paris Convention of 1919[13], which sought to regulate international aviation and set out basic rules for the operation of aircraft across borders. This was followed by the Chicago Convention of 1944, which laid the foundation for the establishment of the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO)[14] and set the legal framework for the development of civil aviation globally. These early legal instruments were designed with the assumption that human pilots would be at the helm of every flight. The focus of these treaties was on the physical operation of aircraft, the responsibility of pilots, the sovereignty of nations over their airspace, and the regulation of the international aviation industry.

However, as technology advanced, the need for a more sophisticated and forward-thinking legal framework became increasingly evident. The development of jet engines and the subsequent boom in commercial aviation led to an explosion of air traffic. With this increased activity came a series of challenges related to air safety, air traffic control, and liability in the event of accidents. In response, the Montreal Convention of 1999[15] was established to update and consolidate the rules governing the liability of airlines in the event of accidents. This convention built on previous treaties, providing greater clarity and fairness in dealing with the rights of passengers and the responsibilities of carriers.

The advent of drones, autonomous aircraft, and other advanced technologies has raised new questions about the applicability of these treaties. These technologies do not fit neatly within the existing legal framework, and the legal systems that govern aviation are struggling to keep pace. This has created a significant gap in aviation law, where traditional legal principles are being stretched and, in some cases, rendered obsolete by new technologies. This article explores the evolution of air law and how it has

[12]eVTOL (Electric Vertical Takeoff and Landing) ... See Nahid Parvez Farazi and Bo Zou, Planning electric vertical takeoff and landing aircraft (eVTOL)-based package delivery with community noise impact considerations, Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, Volume 189, September 2024, at https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1366554524002527

[13]Convention Relating to the Regulation of Aerial Navigation, Signed at Paris, 13 October 1919, UNTS Treaty No. 297, League of Nations Treaty Series, Vol. 11, p. 173.

[14]The International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) – a specialized agency of the United Nations …

[15]Supra note 8.

grappled with these challenges. It lays the groundwork for understanding the need for a new approach—one that incorporates algorithms, machine learning, and other cutting-edge technologies into the very fabric of air law.

When we look at the early decades of aviation, the notion of autonomous flight or AI-driven aircraft would have been dismissed as a flight of fancy. In those days, aviation was driven by mechanical ingenuity, and pilots were the primary agents of control in the cockpit. Aircraft were designed to be operated by human beings, and air law was created with this assumption at its core. The responsibility for safe flight lay with the pilot, and the regulatory framework was built to ensure that pilots met specific training and competency standards.

As aviation technology progressed, the focus shifted. The development of sophisticated avionics systems in the 1970s and 1980s allowed for greater automation in the cockpit. Autopilot systems, which were once a luxury, became standard in most commercial aircraft, allowing pilots to delegate control to machines for certain phases of flight. The increasing sophistication of avionics systems also meant that human error, once the leading cause of aviation accidents, could be mitigated to some extent. With this shift came new legal questions: How would liability be assigned when a machine malfunctioned, or when a pilot relied on an automated system that failed? Would the responsibility still lie with the pilot, or would the manufacturer or operator of the aircraft be held accountable?

These questions, while significant, were relatively straightforward in the context of aircraft operated by human pilots. The legal system could still rely on well-established doctrines of tort law, negligence, and contractual liability to address the issue of accidents. However, the landscape began to change once again with the advent of drones and other forms of autonomous flight.

The rise of drones posed a unique challenge to air law. Initially, drones were small, unmanned aircraft designed primarily for military or recreational use. Their operation was limited to certain low-altitude airspaces, and they were not expected to operate in the same environment as commercial airliners. But as the technology improved, drones began to be used for a variety of commercial purposes, including delivery services, aerial photography, and surveillance. The possibility of drones flying alongside manned aircraft in the same airspace created significant concerns over safety, air traffic control, and the legal responsibilities of drone operators.

Unlike manned aircraft, drones are often fully autonomous or semi-autonomous, with little to no human involvement in their operation. This raised the question: If a drone malfunctions or causes an accident, who is responsible? Is it the operator, the manufacturer, or the software developer responsible for the drone's flight path? These questions could not be answered within the framework of traditional aviation law, which was built around the assumption that human beings would always be in control of aircraft.

The rise of autonomous vehicles further complicates this issue. With companies like Uber and Joby Aviation leading the charge in the development of eVTOLs—autonomous aircraft designed to transport passengers in urban environments—the question of liability and regulation became even more urgent. These new aircraft will operate in a highly congested airspace, flying at low altitudes in densely populated areas. The legal framework needed to regulate these vehicles must be able to address issues of safety, privacy, data protection, and accountability—all of which are new and uncharted territories for traditional air law.

As these technologies continue to develop, air law must evolve to keep up. But how can we ensure that air law is capable of addressing the challenges posed by AI-driven and autonomous aircraft? The answer lies in the integration of algorithms and machine learning into the very fabric of air law.

At its core, the challenge faced by modern aviation law is that it has been unable to keep pace with the rapid development of technology. The traditional approach to regulation—one based on fixed rules and principles—has been ineffective in addressing the complexities of modern air traffic management. AI and machine learning offer a new way forward by enabling regulators to create dynamic, adaptive legal frameworks that can respond to the evolving needs of the aviation industry.

One of the key features of AI is its ability to analyze large amounts of data in real-time. In the context of air law, this could mean using AI to monitor and manage air traffic, ensuring that aircraft—both manned and unmanned—operate safely and efficiently in shared airspace. AI could also be used to predict potential hazards, such as inclement weather or the risk of collision, and provide real-time recommendations to pilots and autonomous systems. By analyzing vast amounts of data from sensors, weather reports, and historical flight patterns, AI could significantly improve safety and efficiency.

Another area where AI can play a crucial role is in the area of liability. In cases where an accident occurs involving an autonomous aircraft, determining the cause of the accident can be a complex and time-consuming process. AI could be used to analyze flight data and reconstruct the events leading up to the incident. By using machine learning algorithms, AI systems could quickly identify patterns in the data and pinpoint the root cause of the problem, whether it was a software glitch, hardware failure, or external factor. This could lead to faster resolution of liability disputes and provide greater clarity on who is responsible for the accident.

AI also offers the possibility of predictive maintenance—a concept that has the potential to revolutionize aviation safety. Using AI algorithms, aircraft could be equipped with sensors that monitor their health in real-time. These sensors could detect potential problems before they become critical, allowing for proactive maintenance and reducing the risk of in-flight failures. In this way, AI could help ensure that autonomous aircraft are always in optimal condition and minimize the risk of accidents caused by mechanical failure.

At the same time, blockchain technology[16] has the potential to address many of the challenges posed by the rise of autonomous and AI-driven aircraft. Blockchain's decentralized and immutable nature makes it ideal for creating secure, transparent records of flight data, maintenance logs, and accident investigations. By using blockchain, regulators, operators, and insurers can ensure that all relevant information is readily accessible and tamper-proof, streamlining the process of liability attribution and improving transparency in the aviation industry.

These technologies, when combined, could offer a powerful new approach to regulating modern aviation. However, the challenge remains: How do we integrate AI, blockchain, and other emerging technologies into the existing legal framework? The answer lies in the creation of dynamic, algorithmic laws—legal structures that can evolve alongside technological advancements and provide the necessary flexibility to address the unique challenges of the future.

This article has so far explored the evolution of air law from its early foundations to the present day, highlighting the challenges posed by new technologies and the need for a new approach to regulation. As we continue to move forward, it is clear that the algorithms that govern air law will need to be as dynamic and adaptable as the technologies they seek to regulate. In the discussions that follow, we will delve deeper into the specific technologies, liability models, and regulatory frameworks that will define the future of aviation law, and propose a new path forward—one that is grounded in both the realities of technological innovation and the principles of justice and accountability.

3. CURRENT PESPECTIVES

Air law, as we know it, is a product of a bygone era. When the first international agreements were made on air travel and navigation, the idea of fully autonomous aircraft or drones was unfathomable. The Montreal Convention of 1999, with its focus on liability in the event of accidents involving manned aircraft, serves as a testament to the era in which it was conceived—an era in which human pilots were at the helm of every flight. But today, as autonomous systems take flight and eVTOLs (electric vertical take-off and landing vehicles) populate urban skies, this paradigm is no longer sufficient. The air law of tomorrow must be capable of addressing not only the risks posed by human error but also the complexities introduced by the autonomy of machines. This article is a response to that challenge.

A. BLOCKCHAIN

As we step into the era of AI and blockchain, we are witnessing the beginning of a paradigm shift in aviation. AI is no longer a distant possibility but a present-day reality, with applications ranging from collision avoidance systems to predictive maintenance and flight path optimization. These systems have the potential to reduce human error, increase safety, and improve operational efficiency[17]. However, the legal framework

[16]Blockchain technology ... See Gautami Tripathi, Mohd Abdul Ahad, and Gabriella Casalino, A comprehensive review of blockchain technology, Decision Analytics Journal, Volume 9, December 2023, at https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772662223001844

[17]See Dalila Ressi, Riccardo Romanello, Carla Piazza, Sabina Rossi, AI-enhanced blockchain technology: A review of advancements and opportunities, Journal of Network and Computer Applications, Volume 225, May 2024, at https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1084804524000353

in which they operate remains underdeveloped. While much attention has been focused on the technological advancements themselves, less has been given to the legal and regulatory implications of these systems, particularly when it comes to liability and accountability. How do we assign responsibility when an AI system makes a decision that leads to an accident? Who is liable when the autonomous aircraft malfunctions due to a software bug or hardware failure? These are questions that current air law is ill-equipped to answer, and they form the crux of the issues we must address in the coming years.

Moreover, the rise of blockchain technology offers a new avenue for solving some of these legal challenges. Blockchain's ability to provide transparent, immutable records has profound implications for aviation law. In particular, it promises to revolutionize the way we think about liability, traceability, and compliance. By creating tamper-proof flight logs, blockchain has the potential to streamline accident investigations, reduce fraud, and create a more efficient system for settling insurance claims. Furthermore, as airspace becomes increasingly crowded with drones, eVTOLs, and other autonomous vehicles, blockchain's decentralized nature offers a solution for managing this complex airspace without relying on a single, centralized authority. This is particularly relevant in the context of international aviation, where the need for harmonization and collaboration between states is greater than ever.

B. ADVANCED AIR MOBILITY (AAM)

The journey toward the integration of these technologies into aviation law is not without its obstacles. The traditional regulatory bodies—ICAO, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)[18], and the European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA)[19]—have all taken steps toward addressing the challenges posed by AAM drones, and autonomous flight. However, the regulatory framework remains fragmented and often fails to keep pace with the rapid pace of technological advancement. In many cases, regulatory bodies are hesitant to fully embrace the possibilities of these technologies, fearing the unknown risks that they may bring. This is especially true when it comes to liability, where questions about who is responsible for accidents involving autonomous systems remain largely unanswered. It is necessary to discuss how existing regulations can be adapted to accommodate these new technologies.

The world of aviation has witnessed extraordinary transformations over the last century. From the first powered flights to supersonic travel, and now to the tantalizing promise of AAM—a category encompassing drones, urban air taxis, and autonomous aerial vehicles—the aviation industry has never been more poised for disruption. These new forms of flight bring with them immense possibilities, but they also introduce a slew of legal, regulatory, and technical challenges that have yet to be adequately addressed by traditional air law. The emergence of AAM technologies presents a significant test for existing frameworks, demanding an entirely new approach to airspace management, liability, safety regulation, and integration with existing systems.

As we stand on the precipice of a new era in air transportation, it is imperative to examine how current air law interacts (or fails to interact) with these advanced technologies. In this article will be an exploration into the application of traditional air law to drones, urban air taxis, and other AAM technologies, critically assess their limitations, and propose innovative frameworks that can integrate these developments into the existing legal structure of international air law. By doing so, we aim to bridge the gap between traditional aviation law and the futuristic needs of AAM, ensuring that safety, liability, and regulatory concerns are not only addressed but harmonized with the demands of the evolving air transport landscape.

The advent of AAM introduces profound complexities to the traditional framework of air law, particularly in the context of airspace management. For decades, international airspace governance has been predicated on the delineation between controlled airspace at higher altitudes and uncontrolled airspace closer to the surface. Conventional manned aviation has predominantly operated within the confines of controlled airspace, with air traffic control (ATC) serving as the principal mechanism for ensuring the safe and efficient navigation of aircraft. However, the emergence of drones and urban air taxis, operating in altitudes that frequently intersect with both general and commercial aviation, necessitates a reimagined and adaptive approach to airspace governance.

A critical challenge lies in achieving a harmonious coexistence between these novel technologies and

[18]The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) … See https://www.faa.gov/about/mission/activities. Also FAA and EASA Pledge …
[19]The European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) … See European Plan for Aviation Safety (EPAS) 2025, 14th edition, 21 Jan 2025.

traditional aviation. This entails the design and implementation of frameworks that preserve safety while accommodating innovation. In the United States, the FAA has undertaken preliminary steps in this direction through the development of the Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) Traffic Management (UTM)[20] system. The UTM aims to integrate drones and other AAM vehicles within existing airspace structures, employing real-time situational awareness and operational deconfliction. Similarly, the European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) has established the U-Space framework, which provides a regulatory architecture for the management of drone operations and facilitates their safe incorporation into the broader airspace system.

These initiatives, while indicative of progress, represent the nascent stages of what will undoubtedly be an extensive global endeavor. The integration of AAM into airspace management cannot rely solely on traditional methodologies, such as ATC-centric systems. Instead, the autonomy inherent in AAM technologies necessitates advanced automated systems capable of dynamic traffic deconfliction and management. Such systems must coexist with existing ATC infrastructure, necessitating the creation of new standards for air traffic management (ATM) that can simultaneously accommodate both manned and unmanned operations.

The deployment of autonomous traffic management systems introduces intricate regulatory challenges. These systems must possess the capacity to adapt to real-time variables, including fluctuating traffic conditions and meteorological data, while optimizing safety and operational efficiency. Artificial intelligence (AI) is poised to play an integral role in the evolution of these systems, offering solutions for traffic deconfliction, compliance monitoring, and adherence to safety regulations.

As AAM technologies evolve, the issue of liability becomes increasingly multifaceted. Traditional liability frameworks, grounded in instruments such as the Montreal Convention of 1999, are predicated on human involvement and error. In the context of autonomous systems, however, liability for incidents—be they attributable to software defects, hardware malfunctions, or cybersecurity breaches—becomes less straightforward. Questions arise as to the apportionment of liability among manufacturers, operators, and software developers. To address this, there is a pressing need for regulatory constructs that recognize the multiplicity of actors involved in the operation of AAM vehicles and allocate liability in a manner that reflects the complexity of these systems.

Safety regulations governing AAM must similarly evolve to reflect the technological novelties introduced by these systems. While ICAO has historically developed safety standards applicable to manned aviation, these must be augmented to accommodate the unique attributes of uncrewed systems. This includes establishing airworthiness criteria tailored to drones and urban air taxis and instituting certification processes that ensure compatibility with existing ATM frameworks. Given the rapid pace of technological development, regulators must adopt agile and iterative approaches to certification, emphasizing empirical validation through real-world testing.

To facilitate the seamless integration of AAM into the existing legal and regulatory landscape, hybrid frameworks that synthesize traditional air law principles with innovative provisions tailored to AAM are essential. These frameworks should include autonomous operations, airspace management, liability, and safety. Furthermore, the establishment of international standards for autonomous aviation—encompassing airworthiness, operational protocols, and safety benchmarks—will be crucial. The implementation of such standards necessitates global cooperation, with treaties and agreements reflecting the transnational nature of AAM operations and ensuring uniformity in liability and insurance provisions.

The integration of AAM technologies presents an opportunity to advance the jurisprudence of air law while confronting the exigencies of a rapidly transforming aviation landscape. The legal and regulatory challenges are manifold, ranging from airspace management to liability apportionment and safety certification. However, by embracing innovation and fostering collaboration among stakeholders, it is possible to craft a regulatory environment that not only addresses these challenges but also ensures the sustainable and equitable evolution of air mobility. Through such efforts, the transition to a future shaped by AAM can be realized in a manner that upholds the

[20]The Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) Traffic Management (UTM) system … See Tao Jiang et al., Unmanned Aircraft System traffic management, International Journal of Transportation Science and Technology, Volume 5, Issue 3, October 2016.

foundational principles of international air law while embracing the imperatives of technological progress.

Over the past two decades, the world of civil aviation has been in a direction of exponential growth and competition will continue as the demand for air transport is, spurring increased competition, new routes, and the introduction of more efficient aircraft.

C. THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN AVIATION

AI has found a place in virtually every aspect of the aviation industry. From the design and manufacturing of aircraft to the operational management of flights, AI is already proving to be an invaluable tool. In terms of flight operations, AI algorithms can optimize flight paths, reduce fuel consumption, and even predict the most efficient routes based on real-time weather conditions. AI is also being deployed to analyze vast amounts of operational data, allowing airlines to predict potential system failures or maintenance needs before they become problematic. Predictive maintenance, powered by AI, can greatly reduce aircraft downtime and improve overall safety.

Furthermore, AI is making strides in flight safety systems. Autonomous systems and pilot assistance technologies, such as automated flight control systems and collision avoidance systems, are already in use in modern aircraft, helping pilots navigate through challenging weather conditions and high-traffic airspaces. These systems rely on machine learning to improve over time, learning from past incidents and data to enhance their decision-making capabilities. The ultimate goal for AI in aviation is to move towards full autonomy in flight operations, where AI not only assists but actively manages entire flight operations.

While the operational benefits are clear, the integration of AI into the flight deck, maintenance schedules, and air traffic management also raises a myriad of legal challenges. At the heart of these challenges is the question of liability. As AI assumes greater control over flight operations, who is accountable if something goes wrong? In traditional aviation, pilots are responsible for the actions and decisions made during a flight. However, as AI takes on a larger role, the lines of accountability become blurred. A failure in an AI-driven decision-making process could result in accidents or incidents, and determining the responsible party—whether it be the airline, the manufacturer of the AI system, or the operator—becomes a legal quagmire.

This is where the law must evolve to account for AI-driven aviation. The current framework for aviation liability, largely established by the Montreal Convention of 1999 and various national laws, is not sufficiently equipped to address the nuances of AI technologies. Traditionally, the responsibility for aviation accidents has been placed on human operators, whether they are pilots or ground controllers. However, AI's capacity to make decisions without human intervention necessitates a reconsideration of this liability framework. Should liability be shared among the stakeholders involved in the development and deployment of the AI, or should it be placed solely on the operators who rely on AI systems in their flight operations? These are questions that the aviation legal community will need to grapple with as AI becomes an integral part of aviation operations.

AI-driven decision-making introduces another layer of complexity to aviation law. For example, AI systems in aircraft may alter flight plans, reroute flights, or even override pilot decisions in certain emergency situations. While this may ultimately increase safety by reducing human error, it raises important legal questions. Can AI systems be trusted to make life-and-death decisions? Who is responsible if an AI system makes a mistake that leads to an accident? And how will regulatory bodies assess the safety and reliability of AI systems to ensure that they meet the necessary airworthiness standards?

In the context of autonomous flight and AI-driven operations, aircraft manufacturers will likely face increased pressure to demonstrate the reliability and safety of their AI systems. The traditional model of certification—which currently involves human pilots and human oversight—may need to be overhauled to account for these new technological realities. Regulators, such as the FAAand EASA, will need to create new frameworks to assess AI in aviation. This may involve developing standards for AI transparency and algorithmic accountability, ensuring that AI decisions can be understood, tracked, and challenged if necessary. Regulators may also need to establish safety protocols for AI systems, including redundancy and fail-safe mechanisms to ensure that AI systems can be overridden in critical situations by human pilots.

The development of AI in aviation also brings into question the ethical considerations of AI decision-making. If AI is making operational decisions, can it do so in a manner that aligns with human rights and ethical principles? For example, how should AI prioritize passenger safety in situations where ethically difficult choices must be made? The law must evolve to address these ethical dilemmas as well as the practical considerations surrounding liability and safety.

As AI continues to shape the future of aviation, the legal framework surrounding its use must be carefully considered and adapted. In particular, regulators must develop new standards and frameworks that address both the benefits and risks associated with AI and digital technologies in aviation. AI systems should be subject to rigorous safety testing, ensuring that they meet the same standards of reliability and safety that are required of human operators. These systems should also be transparent, with clear documentation and audit trails that allow regulators and other stakeholders to review AI decisions when necessary.

Furthermore, international cooperation will be crucial in developing global standards for AI in aviation. As AI systems are increasingly deployed across borders, there must be consistency in the regulations and frameworks governing their use. Standardized safety protocols, liability frameworks, and cybersecurity regulations will be necessary to ensure that AI technologies can be deployed in a harmonized and secure manner across the global aviation industry.

At the same time, it will be essential to foster collaboration between traditional aviation stakeholders, such as airlines and aircraft manufacturers, and technology companies that specialize in AI and digital systems. This collaboration will be essential in ensuring that AI systems are designed with aviation-specific needs in mind and that they comply with safety standards and regulatory requirements. As AI and digital technologies become more prevalent in aviation, multidisciplinary collaboration between engineers, lawyers, and regulators will be necessary to address the complex issues at the intersection of technology, safety, and law.

As AI and digitalization continue to reshape the aviation industry, air law must evolve to meet the challenges and opportunities these technologies present. From autonomous flight to AI-driven maintenance and cybersecurity, the integration of digital technologies into aviation offers the potential for safer, more efficient, and more sustainable air travel. However, this integration also raises critical questions regarding liability, accountability, and regulation.

The legal framework surrounding aviation must adapt to this changing landscape, ensuring that the benefits of AI and digital technologies are realized while mitigating the risks associated with their use. By developing new regulatory standards, fostering international cooperation, and encouraging collaboration between aviation stakeholders and technology companies, the future of aviation law can be both innovative and secure.

The task ahead is not merely one of technology adoption but of creating a legal ecosystem that can nurture and regulate AI in aviation, ensuring that its benefits are maximized, its risks are minimized, and its evolution is in line with the highest standards of safety, accountability, and justice. In this endeavor, the aviation legal community must rise to the challenge, ensuring that the future of aviation remains both innovative and secure in the face of digital transformation.

D. GREENER AIRPLANE CONCEPTS

Arguably, at the apex of advancement would be the green equation where the growing trend is to build more fuel-efficient aircraft and achieve exponential utilization of alternative fuels such as hydrogen and biofuels. This will go lockstep with forging ahead with further regulatory measures and the advancement of technology. In particular, regulators are likely to impose tighter restrictions on both engine emissions and noise that would urge manufacturers to look for more efficient aircraft models. A corollary to this trend would be an increased focus on developing electric propulsion and the use of hybrid technology which at present is in the nascent stage. It may take beyond 10 years to develop and implement these technologies for long haul flights, although drones and small planes have shown the distinct possibility of use in the medium term.

There is a growing trend in the aviation community where proponents of autonomous flight are predicting its advent although it is still a distant goal. Although autonomous flights may not attain fruition in commercial aviation for quite some time there could be possibilities of introducing artificial intelligence and quantum computing in various stages of flight. In this context, regulators would be well advised to have preliminary feasibility studies on adapting existing treaties and legislative measures to a non-human driven air transport system.

On the manufacturing side, the focus would continue to be on the use of light weight and durable materials such as carbon composites with a view to making environmentally friendly aircraft and equipment that improve efficiency. This would also apply to supersonic air transport which has captured the interest of the manufacturing and air transport industries[21].

Global air mobility and urban air mobility, which are already goals of the aviation community, will take an important place in the development of the aviation system. In the case of small urban mobile craft, there will be increased interest in the use of small electric aircraft for vertical takeoff and landing (VTOL) on short intra-city flights.

There will be a combination of the use of data analytics in enhancing the passenger experience as well as in the application in such areas as operations and maintenance, which would span both the air transport and airport industries. A particular advancement to look for would be the use of quantum computing to enhance developments in cabin designs, better entertainment systems, and increased connectivity. This could include more comfortable seating, improved in-flight entertainment, and better Wi-Fi connectivity.

E. QUANTUM COMPUTING

The history of technology has gone through two stages already: the analogue stage and the digital stage. The third stage is the quantum stage where, although in its early stages, quantum computing will bring significant advances in the aviation industry. Compared to digital computing, quantum computing is an all-encompassing cutting-edge computing system that uses quantum mechanics to vastly improve upon the digital computing paradigm. Quantum computers employ what are called quantum bits or qubits, which allows quantum computers to perform certain types of calculations much faster and more efficiently than classical computers[22].

It must be mentioned that quantum computing is still being developed, and will, in the future, graduate from just being largely theoretical to being practically implementable. Quantum computing faces significant technical challenges, including error correction, stability of qubits, and scalability. As the technology progresses and more practical quantum computers become available, researchers and experts in aviation and aerospace will need to work together to realize potential benefits.

Quantum computers could optimize flight paths and routes with their ability to process complex optimization problems. This could optimize the ability of airlines and air traffic controllers to develop and determine flight paths and routes. The corollary would be the reduction of fuel consumption, cutting travel times, and minimizing environmental impact. Quantum computing could enhance air traffic management systems by enabling real-time processing of large volumes of data. This could lead to more responsive and adaptive air traffic control systems, reducing congestion and enhancing safety.

Another area in which quantum computers can greatly assist is weather forecasting and prediction of turbulence. The processing power of quantum computers could improve weather forecasting models, enabling more accurate predictions and better preparation for adverse weather conditions, leading to safer and more efficient flight operations. Quantum computing could enhance global navigation systems, such as GPS, by enabling more accurate positioning and timing capabilities. Quantum computing could also protect sensitive aviation data more efficiently than a digital system.

In the area of manufacturing, quantum computing's computational power could be used to optimize aircraft aerodynamics for improved fuel efficiency and reduced emissions. This could involve simulating complex fluid dynamics scenarios more accurately than traditional methods allow. Quantum computing could accelerate the development of new materials and chemicals that could lead to more efficient and environmentally friendly aircraft engines.

In the area of aircraft maintenance, and in particular predictive maintenance, quantum computing's ability to process and analyze large datasets quickly would be a distinct asset. By analyzing sensor data in real-time, it could help identify potential mechanical issues before they lead to failures, reducing downtime and maintenance costs.

Arguably, the future of aviation would lie mostly in quantum computing that would be a useful tool in addressing areas in the general discussion of future trends in this article. A quantum computer can solve a specific problem faster than the most advanced

[21]See Nilesh Agarwal et al., An overview of carbon-carbon composite materials and their applications, Polymeric and Composite Materials, Volume 11 – 2024.
[22]See April Miller, Qubits vs Bits: How Quantum and Classical Computing Differ, Tech Explained March 15, 2024.

classical supercomputers. This computer system is indeed revolutionary and will infuse speed and efficiency in various fields, including cryptography, optimization, materials science, drug discovery, artificial intelligence, and more. Michio Kaku, an authority on quantum computing, in his latest book Quantum Supremacy[23] discusses the concept of quantum parallelism, where qubits can perform multiple calculations simultaneously due to superposition, and entanglement, which could allow for faster and more efficient computations.

Practical, large-scale quantum computers are still in the experimental stages and challenges remain in building and maintaining stable and error-resistant qubits. Quantum systems are extremely delicate and prone to interference from their environment, leading to errors in computations. Researchers are actively involved in developing quantum hardware and algorithms to unlock the full potential of this new computing paradigm. The future of aviation has to be viewed simultaneously through the lens of technology and regulation.

In the domain of aviation, regulatory, operational, and decision-making paradigms have historically relied upon a delicate equilibrium between human discretion and established legal frameworks. These foundations, meticulously constructed over decades, have ensured the integrity and safety of aviation practices globally. However, the trajectory of the aviation sector is now being shaped by emerging technologies such as quantum computing, artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and pervasive digitalization. These innovations, while still in their infancy, are poised to fundamentally alter the principles underpinning air law. Their potential to augment safety, operational efficiency, and environmental sustainability is vast; yet, their integration presents a myriad of legal and ethical complexities, particularly concerning accountability and liability.

The principal challenge lies in harmonizing these advanced technological tools with the existing legal architecture to ensure adherence to ethical standards and jurisprudential norms while meeting the operational demands of modern aviation. This discourse aims to explore the transformative influence of quantum computing, AI, and other digital technologies on the operational, maintenance, and safety frameworks of aviation, with a particular focus on the concomitant legal ramifications. Topics such as the allocation of liability in AI-driven decision-making and the regulatory imperatives for cybersecurity in aviation will be critically examined. Through this analysis, we aspire to present a forward-looking perspective on integrating these innovations into air law, advocating for a regulatory ecosystem that is not only adaptive and efficient but also secure and equitable.

1. QUANTUM COMPUTING AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR AVIATION

On 7 June 2024, the United Nations General Assembly recognized the profound potential of quantum science and technology by designating 2025 as the International Year of Quantum Science and Technology (IYQ)[24]. This proclamation acknowledges the centenary of quantum mechanics, a discipline that has reshaped scientific inquiry and technological innovation. The initiative calls upon governments, academic institutions, industry stakeholders, and civil society to collectively elevate awareness of quantum science's transformative applications, many of which align with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals. By fostering a global dialogue and educational outreach, the IYQ seeks to inspire the next generation of scientists and technologists to harness quantum mechanics for addressing critical global challenges.

Quantum computing, once confined to the theoretical periphery of physics, has emerged as a transformative force with the potential to revolutionize diverse industries. By leveraging principles such as superposition and entanglement, quantum computers perform computations that transcend the limitations of classical systems, enabling the exploration of solutions to previously intractable problems. In the aviation sector—an industry characterized by complexity and a relentless pursuit of optimization—quantum computing holds the promise of profound advancements in safety, efficiency, and design.

2. OPTIMIZATION AND OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Quantum computing's ability to process vast datasets simultaneously renders it particularly well-suited for optimization tasks in aviation. For instance,

[23]See generally Michio Kaku, (2023), Quantum Supremacy: How the Quantum Computer Revolution Will Change Everything, Doubleday 2023.

[24]Eloise Elliott, 2025 – UN International Year of Quantum Science and Technology.

airline scheduling—an intricate process involving aircraft availability, crew assignments, airport logistics, and meteorological conditions—could benefit from quantum algorithms capable of evaluating an exponentially larger set of variables than classical systems[25]. Similarly, quantum-enhanced air traffic management systems could streamline flight routing, alleviate congestion, and ensure optimal separation of aircraft, thereby increasing airspace capacity while maintaining rigorous safety standards.

Fuel optimization represents another domain where quantum systems can deliver significant benefits. By analyzing real-time data on fuel prices, weather patterns, and air traffic, quantum algorithms could generate more efficient flight paths, reducing both operational costs and environmental impact. This dual advantage aligns with the aviation industry's goals of economic sustainability and carbon footprint reduction.

3. AIRCRAFT DESIGN AND MATERIAL INNOVATION

The application of quantum computing in computational fluid dynamics (CFD) has the potential to revolutionize aircraft design. Accurate modeling of airflow and turbulence—areas where classical computers face significant limitations—could be enhanced through quantum simulations, leading to the development of more aerodynamically efficient aircraft. Furthermore, the ability of quantum systems to simulate materials at the atomic level could accelerate the discovery of lighter, stronger, and more heat-resistant materials, paving the way for advancements in aerospace manufacturing.

4. ENHANCING WEATHER FORECASTING AND SECURITY PROTOCOLS

Weather forecasting, a cornerstone of aviation safety and efficiency, stands to benefit immensely from quantum computing[26]. Quantum algorithms could process atmospheric data with unprecedented accuracy, enabling more reliable predictions of turbulence and adverse weather conditions. Such advancements would not only enhance passenger comfort but also contribute to more informed decision-making by pilots and airlines.

In the realm of cybersecurity, quantum computing introduces both opportunities and challenges. Quantum key distribution (QKD) offers a paradigm shift in securing communications, leveraging quantum mechanics to detect and prevent interception. As quantum systems evolve, they will also necessitate the development of quantum-resistant encryption algorithms to safeguard aviation's critical infrastructure against emerging cyber threats.

5. LEGAL AND REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

The integration of quantum computing into aviation operations introduces significant legal considerations, particularly in areas of liability and regulatory compliance[27]. As autonomous systems and AI-driven tools assume greater roles in decision-making, questions surrounding accountability for errors or malfunctions become increasingly complex. Traditional liability frameworks may require substantial redefinition to apportion responsibility among manufacturers, operators, and software developers.

Moreover, the regulatory landscape must evolve to address the implications of quantum-enhanced capabilities. Standards for cybersecurity, data protection, and operational safety must be redefined to incorporate quantum technologies, ensuring that these innovations complement rather than compromise existing aviation protocols.

E. SUSTAINABILITY

As already mentioned, a core principle in this new era is environmental sustainability. As climate change becomes a more pressing issue, and the harmful effects of global warming are increasingly evident, the air transport industry must significantly reduce its environmental impact[28]. Given its role as a major emitter of carbon, the sector must place sustainability at the forefront of its economic considerations, ensuring that environmental health is not sacrificed for growth.

The shift towards alternative fuels, such as biofuels, hydrogen, or electric-powered planes, is critical in reducing the industry's carbon footprint[29]. Collaboration among governments, businesses, and the aviation

[25]See Andre Mamprim Mori, Replanning Flight Schedules Using Quantum Computing ... Also Atoosa Kasirzadeh et al., Airline crew scheduling ... Also Hamed Mohammad bagherpoor et al., Exploring Airline Gate-Scheduling Optimization Using Quantum Computers (2021).

[26]Quantum Computing in Weather Prediction: Enhanced Accuracy, Quantum AI, January 15, 2025 ... Also Yatin Sapra, AI in Aviation: How AI is Assisting Weather Forecasting for Flights (2024).

[27]Quantum Leap: How Quantum Computing is Reshaping Aerospace and Defense ... Also Kasim Balarabe, Quantum Computing and the Law (2025).

[28]The aviation industry faces a monumental challenge … See T&E Press Release, January 13, 2025.

[29]See generally Thushara Kandaramath Hari et al., Aviation biofuel from renewable resources (2015).

sector will be necessary to fund research and accelerate the transition away from fossil fuels. Economic models will need to incentivize these investments through mechanisms like subsidies and tax incentives, encouraging the development of green aviation technologies.

In addition, carbon pricing strategies such as carbon taxes or cap-and-trade systems will be essential for integrating the true environmental costs of air travel into the economy[30]. By incorporating these costs, airlines will be pushed to adopt more efficient technologies, optimize flight routes, and operate more sustainably.

This era is also associated with rapid advancements in technology, and the air transport industry stands to benefit greatly from innovations in artificial intelligence, automation, and robotics. These technologies have the potential to make air travel more efficient, safer, and cost-effective. For instance, automation in air traffic control can reduce congestion in busy airspaces, improving fuel efficiency and minimizing delays. Predictive maintenance, driven by AI, can help airlines lower costs and improve safety by addressing potential technical issues before they escalate.

Moreover, innovations like electric or hybrid-electric aircraft will transform the cost structure of the industry, reducing operational costs while also lowering emissions. The integration of new technologies will extend to passenger experiences as well, with AI-driven systems enhancing security, personalizing services, and streamlining digital check-ins. Embracing such innovations will be essential for the air transport industry to remain competitive in this new age, where technology underpins economic progress.

1. SUSTAINABILITY AND THE FUTURE OF AVIATION

The aviation industry stands at a pivotal juncture in its history. Over the past century, aviation has transformed the world by connecting distant corners of the globe, enabling economic growth, and advancing cultural exchange. However, the environmental impact of air travel has come under intense scrutiny, as concerns about climate change and sustainability grow ever more urgent. Aviation, which contributes significantly to global carbon emissions, is now facing pressure from governments, international bodies, and society to reduce its environmental footprint. The question at hand is how the industry can evolve to meet these challenges, all while continuing to thrive in an era of unprecedented demand for air travel. The following discussion explores the legal dimensions of creating a more sustainable aviation industry, analyzing the implications of carbon-neutral aviation, emissions trading, and green technology, while examining the effectiveness of ICAO's CORSIA framework and proposing reforms for global sustainability standards in aviation.

2. CARBON-NEUTRAL AVIATION: LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The pursuit of carbon-neutral aviation is at the forefront of the sector’s sustainability agenda. The aviation industry’s contribution to global greenhouse gas emissions is significant, and with global air traffic set to increase, the pressure to decarbonize is mounting. The question is not merely one of technological innovation but of regulation, policy, and international cooperation. To achieve carbon neutrality, the aviation industry will need to undergo a radical transformation. This transformation will require substantial investments in green technologies, including more efficient engines, sustainable aviation fuels (SAFs)[31], and electric or hybrid-electric aircraft. However, beyond technological innovation, the legal frameworks governing aviation will also need to evolve to enable and enforce the industry’s transition to carbon-neutral operations.

The legal implications of carbon-neutral aviation are manifold. At the national level, governments will need to incentivize investment in sustainable technologies, perhaps through subsidies, tax credits, and regulatory support. In turn, airlines will have to comply with increasingly stringent carbon emissions limits and adopt sustainable practices across their operations. One of the fundamental legal challenges lies in reconciling global aviation regulations with national efforts to reduce emissions. Aviation is a global industry, and its environmental impact is a global concern. It is thus essential that international agreements and standards align with national efforts to reduce aviation’s carbon footprint.

[30]See generally Abeyratne, Ruwantissa, Aviation and the Carbon Trade, Nova Publishers 2011.

[31]Sustainable aviation fuels (SAFs) … See Sebastian Wandelt et al., Sustainable aviation fuels, Journal of the Air Transport Research Society, Volume 4, June 2025.

Internationally, the aviation sector is governed by the Chicago Convention and its subsequent protocols, which establish the legal foundation for air traffic management, safety standards, and environmental regulations. These protocols, however, were drafted at a time when the environmental implications of aviation were not as pronounced as they are today. As the industry seeks to address its carbon emissions, international cooperation becomes crucial in ensuring that emissions reduction is not stymied by inconsistent national regulations. This is where mechanisms like ICAO's CORSIA play a pivotal role.

3. EMISSIONS TRADING AND GREEN TECHNOLOGY

Emissions trading is an essential part of the legal landscape in the pursuit of carbon-neutral aviation. By establishing a market-based mechanism to offset emissions, emissions trading schemes allow the aviation industry to continue operations while reducing its environmental impact. CORSIA, developed by ICAO, is one such framework aimed at mitigating the carbon footprint of international aviation. It creates a system where airlines can offset their emissions through the purchase of carbon credits, which in turn fund projects aimed at reducing global greenhouse gas emissions. This system relies on a cap-and-trade model, where a limit is set on the number of allowances available, and airlines can trade allowances to meet their carbon reduction goals.

While emissions trading has been heralded as a promising tool for addressing the environmental impact of aviation[32], its effectiveness remains a topic of debate. CORSIA, for example, has faced criticism for its lack of ambition and concerns about the real-world effectiveness of carbon offset projects. There are fears that airlines may meet their obligations under the scheme without actually reducing their emissions, relying instead on questionable offset projects that do not deliver the environmental benefits promised. Moreover, the effectiveness of emissions trading is closely tied to the availability and scalability of carbon offset projects, which currently face limitations in terms of capacity and verifiability.

The legal implications of emissions trading go beyond the design of the schemes themselves. There are concerns about the regulatory oversight of offset projects, particularly in terms of ensuring their integrity and preventing greenwashing. If the global aviation community is to move toward carbon neutrality, robust legal mechanisms will need to be put in place to ensure that emissions trading schemes deliver real, measurable reductions in aviation emissions, rather than simply shifting the burden to offsetting projects that lack transparency or efficacy.

Green technologies, such as sustainable aviation fuels (SAFs), electric aircraft, and hybrid engines, hold significant promise in reducing aviation's carbon emissions. SAFs, in particular, are seen as a viable near-term solution for decarbonizing aviation, as they can be used with existing aircraft engines without requiring major modifications. SAFs are produced from renewable sources, such as waste oils, algae, or even carbon capture processes, and can significantly reduce lifecycle carbon emissions compared to conventional jet fuel. However, the legal landscape surrounding SAFs remains complex. Governments and regulators will need to create standards for SAF production, distribution, and use, ensuring that these fuels are produced sustainably and that their environmental benefits are fully realized.

The adoption of electric and hybrid-electric aircraft is another area of great promise. These technologies could fundamentally change the aviation industry, reducing emissions from short-haul flights and making air travel more sustainable in the long term. However, the legal and regulatory frameworks surrounding these technologies remain in their infancy. Certification processes for electric aircraft, airworthiness standards, and safety protocols will need to be developed in parallel with technological advancements. Additionally, as the aviation industry shifts toward cleaner technologies, investment in infrastructure—such as electric charging stations and refueling facilities for SAFs—will be essential, and the legal frameworks governing these systems must evolve accordingly.

4. ICAO'S CORSIA FRAMEWORK AND ITS EFFECTIVENESS

The CORSIA framework is a key component of the international legal architecture designed to address aviation's carbon emissions[33]. The program, introduced

[32] See generally, Abeyratne, Ruwantissa, Aviation and Climate Change: In Search of a Global Market Based Measure, Springer 2014; also Emissions Trading as a Market-based Option in Air Transport (1999).

[33] See https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/CORSIA/Pages/default.aspx. Also Matteo Prussi et al., CORSIA: The first internationally adopted approach to calculate life-cycle GHG emissions (2021).

by ICAO in 2016, aims to stabilize net carbon emissions from international aviation at 2020 levels by requiring airlines to offset their emissions growth through the purchase of carbon credits. While CORSIA is seen as a significant step in the right direction, its effectiveness is the subject of considerable debate[34].

One of the key challenges with CORSIA lies in its voluntary nature. While participation is mandatory for countries with international air services, exemptions exist for small countries and for those with limited air traffic. Furthermore, the carbon offsetting credits purchased by airlines have been criticized for not always guaranteeing real emissions reductions. Quality control mechanisms for these offset projects are inconsistent, and there are concerns that the carbon credits used under CORSIA may not result in actual, verifiable environmental benefits. The transparency of offset projects remains a central issue, and without rigorous oversight, CORSIA risks being seen as a token gesture rather than a genuine solution to the aviation sector's c arbon emissions problem.

CORSIA's lack of ambition is another criticism leveled against it. Some stakeholders argue that the scheme's reliance on carbon offsetting does not go far enough in reducing emissions at the source. Instead of offsetting emissions, the aviation sector should be focused on reducing emissions directly through the adoption of green technologies such as SAFs and electric aircraft. By emphasizing offsetting rather than emission reduction, CORSIA could be viewed as allowing airlines to continue polluting without making significant changes to their operations. To be truly effective, CORSIA would need to strengthen its standards, ensure greater accountability in the offset projects, and provide a clear path toward eventual emission reductions at the source.

The legal landscape surrounding CORSIA also needs reform to make the scheme more effective. First, it would benefit from a more universal approach, where all countries are mandated to participate, rather than allowing exemptions. Secondly, stronger regulatory oversight and independent verification of offset projects would help ensure that the carbon credits purchased under the program represent genuine emissions reductions. Finally, ICAO must work closely with industry stakeholders to develop clearer and more ambitious pathways for the sector's transition to carbon-neutral aviation, including the in centivization of SAF production, research into electric aircraft technologies, and the expansion of clean aviation infrastructure.

5. PROPOSING REFORMS FOR GLOBAL SUSTAINABILITY STANDARDS IN AVIATION

The path to a sustainable aviation industry requires a comprehensive, global approach to regulation and governance. While ICAO's efforts in creating CORSIA are commendable, more aggressive measures are required to ensure that aviation moves toward a carbon-neutral future. This will require the creation of global sustainability standards that are both ambitious and enforceable. These standards should cover all aspects of aviation, from emissions to fuel standards, infrastructure development, and technological innovation[35].

To begin with, there must be a shift toward stringent emissions reduction targets that go beyond offsetting. Aviation must move toward reducing emissions at the source, rather than relying solely on offset projects. Governments and international bodies should work together to establish a carbon pricing framework that reflects the true environmental cost of aviation emissions, incentivizing airlines to invest in green technologies.

The adoption of sustainable aviation fuels should be a central focus of global sustainability standards. ICAO should work to establish universal SAF certification standards, ensuring that SAFs meet environmental, safety, and performance criteria. In parallel, governments must provide strong incentives for SAF production, including subsidies, tax credits, and research funding, to drive innovation and scale production.

Electric and hybrid-electric aircraft also need stronger legal and regulatory frameworks to facilitate their development and integration into the global aviation system. This includes developing certification standards, airworthiness regulations, and safety protocols that accommodate these new technologies. Moreover, substantial investment in charging

[34]See generally, Why ICAO and CORSIA Cannot Deliver on Climate, Transport & Environment, 2019. See also CORSIA: worst option for the climate (2021).

[35]The Aviation Sector Wants to Reach Net Zero by 2050 (World Economic Forum 2022). Also Impact of Sustainability Regulations for Aviation Finance (Cirium 2023). Also Cutting Aeroplane Contrails is an Easy Climate Win, Financial Times (2025).

infrastructure and electric refueling systems will be necessary to support the transition to electric flight.

The legal and regulatory landscape surrounding aviation emissions trading systems, such as CORSIA, needs to be reformed to ensure that these schemes provide real, verifiable reductions in emissions. A more robust global system, with universal participation and stronger oversight, will be necessary to ensure that the aviation industry can meet its sustainability goals.

The future of aviation lies in its ability to balance growth with sustainability. The legal dimensions of this challenge are complex, but with concerted international cooperation and strong regulatory frameworks, the aviation industry can rise to the occasion. By strengthening sustainability standards, investing in green technologies, and adopting market-based mechanisms like emissions trading, the aviation sector can chart a course toward a carbon-neutral future, ensuring that the benefits of air travel can be enjoyed by future generations without compromising the health of our planet.

F. AIR TRANSPORT ECONOMICS

Equality and inclusivity are key values in the current context, and air transport economics must reflect a commitment to making air travel accessible to people across different economic backgrounds[36]. Historically seen as a privilege for wealthier individuals, air travel should be democratized through lower costs and more inclusive pricing structures. This might include tiered pricing models that offer affordable options for lower-income travelers, without compromising safety or quality.

Governments and airlines could collaborate to subsidize air travel for underserved populations, particularly in areas where access to transportation is limited. Expanding access to air travel for all socioeconomic groups aligns with the egalitarian spirit of this age. Additionally, the air transport industry must strive to ensure equity within its workforce by fostering diverse, inclusive environments that provide equal pay, benefits, and opportunities.

The decentralized focus of the current era suggests that air transport should move away from the centralized hub-and-spoke model that concentrates global travel in a few major airports. Instead, a more decentralized system of smaller, regional airports could help distribute economic benefits more widely and lessen the environmental burden of large, congested airport hubs. Such a system would also empower local communities by providing increased access to air travel, stimulating regional economic growth.

Urban air mobility solutions, such as electric vertical take-off and landing vehicles (eVTOL), will also contribute to decentralization by offering efficient travel options for short distances while reducing traffic in city centers. A decentralized air transport network would not only improve efficiency but also enhance resilience in the face of global disruptions, such as pandemics or geopolitical challenges, allowing for more adaptable operations.

Another hallmark of the current trend is a shift from competitive, profit-driven models to more collaborative, shared economic systems. In air transport, this could take the form of increased cooperation between airlines, governments, and other stakeholders to create a more sustainable and equitable industry[37]. Airlines might explore cooperative business models, such as shared ownership of aircraft or pooling resources for research into sustainable fuels.

Collaboration could also extend to sharing infrastructure, reducing redundancies, and lowering costs for airlines, ultimately making air travel more affordable for consumers. Peer-to-peer aircraft sharing could become more common, similar to how ridesharing has transformed ground transportation. This model would offer more personalized and flexible travel options, reflecting the broader societal shift toward cooperation and shared economies.

Decentralized air transport networks can spur economic development in underserved regions and reduce pressure on major hubs, improving resilience and adaptability. Collaborative and shared economic models will further enhance innovation and efficiency. In this new age, air transport economics will need to strike a balance between growth, environmental responsibility, and social equity to ensure a sustainable and inclusive future for global travel.

As we move into the future, air transport economics must evolve to prioritize sustainability, innovation,

[36]The central theme of this philosophy is embodied in the Preamble to the Chicago Convention … See Abeyratne, Ruwantissa, Convention on International Civil Aviation: A Commentary, Springer 2014, at 3–12. Also Administering the Skies Facing the Challenges of Market Economics: Aracne Editrice, 2014.

[37]See Abeyratne, Ruwantissa Liberalization of Trade in Air Transport Services, The Journal of World Investment, Vol. 4 No. 4 (2003).

equality, and decentralization. The industry must embrace greener practices by investing in sustainable technologies and adopting mechanisms that account for environmental impacts. Technological advancements will drive greater efficiency, safety, and cost-effectiveness, while access to air travel must be made more inclusive.

4. PRIVATE INTERNATIONAL AIR LAW

A. GENERAL CONCEPTS

In the realm of aviation, where the vast expanse of the world's airspace is shared by nations, the framework for international air law has long been focused on ensuring that the legal, operational, and financial aspects of air travel function smoothly and securely. This article delves into the crucial but often complex field of private international air law, which encompasses the rules governing liability in international air transport, as well as the intricate web of contracts that bind the various stakeholders in this dynamic industry. Through examining the Montreal Convention of 1999 and other key treaties, we will identify the existing friction points within international aviation law, particularly in the realm of liability and contracts, and argue for the necessary evolution of these frameworks to meet the challenges of modern air transport.

The Montreal Convention of 1999 represents the cornerstone of modern international air law regarding liability, particularly in the case of accidents involving passenger injuries or death. While the Convention was hailed as an improvement over its predecessor, the Warsaw Convention of 1929, it has not been without its complexities and gaps, especially in light of rapid technological advancements and the evolution of air transport systems. As we transition into an era dominated by autonomous vehicles, drones, and AI-assisted air traffic management systems, the liability provisions of the Montreal Convention, along with the overarching principles of private international air law, must evolve to meet new demands.

The Montreal Convention, formally known as the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air, was designed to modernize and consolidate the outdated legal framework established by the Warsaw Convention and its various protocols. The Montreal Convention sought to address deficiencies in the handling of claims arising from passenger injuries, deaths, and delays, by providing clearer rules on liability and compensation. It laid the groundwork for a uniform system in which air carriers are liable for passenger injuries or deaths resulting from accidents during international flights, with well-defined limits for damages.

Under the Montreal Convention, a carrier is strictly liable for passenger injuries or death up to a certain monetary threshold, but beyond that limit, liability is governed by fault-based principles. This means that passengers are entitled to compensation for their injuries, but the airline may avoid additional liability if it can prove that the incident was not caused by its own negligence or other fault. However, if fault is established, the carrier could face unlimited liability. The Convention establishes a uniform system for passenger claims, facilitating the pursuit of damages in cases of accidents that occur during international flights, and ensuring that airlines do not escape liability by claiming ignorance of or lack of responsibility for the cause of an accident[38].

While the principles outlined in the Montreal Convention are an improvement over the previous framework, the treaty has notable gaps that are increasingly difficult to ignore in the context of the modern aviation landscape. For example, the Convention's provisions do not adequately account for the emerging complexities of air transport, particularly with the rise of autonomous aircraft and drones. The issues surrounding autonomous systems—whether software glitches or hardware malfunctions—have posed significant challenges to existing liability frameworks, and this will likely become an even more pressing issue as autonomous air taxis and other forms of AAM proliferate. These challenges highlight the pressing need for a new legal framework that can adapt to modern technologies without compromising the safety and protection of passengers.

B. JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGES IN PASSENGER AND CARGO CLAIMS

One of the fundamental aspects of private international air law is the issue of jurisdiction—the legal authority under which a passenger or cargo claimant can bring a lawsuit following an aviation incident. Given that international flights traverse multiple borders and involve multiple jurisdictions, the question of which court has the authority to hear claims

[38]See Abeyratne, Ruwantissa, Nuances of The Word "Accident" In the Montreal Convention of 1999, European Transport Law, Vol. LVIII, No. 5 – 2023.

is a frequent source of confusion and inefficiency. Traditionally, the Montreal Convention and earlier legal instruments have provided for a set of jurisdictional rules that were intended to simplify the resolution of disputes in a global aviation system. Under the Montreal Convention of 1999, an action for damages arising from the death or injury of a passenger may be instituted in specific jurisdictions that reflect a balance between the interests of claimants and the operational convenience of carriers. Article 33 of the Montreal Convention stipulates, in its first two paragraphs, that an action for damages may be initiated, at the discretion of the plaintiff, within the jurisdiction of a State Party. This includes the courts of the carrier's domicile, its principal place of business, the location where it maintains a place of business through which the contract of carriage was concluded, or the court at the place of destination of the journey. In cases involving damages arising from the death or injury of a passenger, such an action may also be brought before any of the courts identified in paragraph 1 of Article 33 or in the jurisdiction of a State Party where the passenger maintained their principal and permanent residence at the time of the accident. Such jurisdiction is applicable provided the carrier operates passenger air services to or from that State, either using its own aircraft or the aircraft of another carrier under a commercial agreement, and where the carrier conducts its passenger air transportation business from premises either owned or leased by itself or another carrier party to the commercial agreement[39].

These rules, while providing some level of convenience and predictability, have proven to be insufficient in addressing the increasingly complex dynamics of modern aviation[40]. With the rise of code-sharing agreements—where airlines share flight services and operate under each other's flight numbers—the passenger's relationship with the airline is often far more complicated than it would seem at first glance. Additionally, the increasing use of online platforms for booking flights and making claims introduces a whole new dimension of jurisdictional complexity. A passenger may book a flight with one airline, but that airline may partner with others, meaning that a legal dispute may involve multiple airlines with differing policies, terms, and conditions.

Moreover, when it comes to cargo claims, the issue of jurisdiction can be even more fraught, particularly when cargo is handled by multiple parties across various countries. A shipment of goods may pass through several airports and be handled by different carriers, each with its own contractual obligations and operational procedures. When goods are damaged or lost in transit, the question of which party is responsible and where claims should be brought becomes increasingly complex. The existing legal frameworks, though established with the intention of simplifying jurisdictional disputes, have increasingly been unable to keep pace with the complexities of the globalized air cargo industry.

In response to these growing challenges, we must examine whether the traditional systems of jurisdiction in international air law are still fit for purpose. This is especially important in the context of modern technologies that enable airlines and cargo companies to operate on a much more integrated and international level, often without the clear-cut relationships between carrier and customer that were once the norm. The need for a more fluid, adaptable approach to jurisdiction in international air transport is urgent, particularly if air law is to address the realities of a rapidly changing industry.

C. THE ROLE OF CONTRACTS IN AIR TRANSPORT

A critical component of international air law, especially in the context of private law, is the role that contracts play in regulating the relationships between airlines, passengers, and other stakeholders such as cargo operators and service providers. The contracts that govern air transport are varied and can be quite intricate. From the moment a passenger purchases a ticket to the moment they arrive at their destination, a web of contractual obligations is set in motion.

For example, the ticket purchased by a passenger is essentially a contract between the passenger and the airline, stipulating the terms of the journey—ranging from the services to be provided to the legal rights and responsibilities of both parties. For airlines, the terms of these contracts are often enshrined in General Terms and Conditions (GTC) or Conditions of Carriage that are presented to passengers during the booking process. These contracts typically cover a wide array of issues, from delays and cancellations to baggage handling and compensation for inconvenience. However, despite their importance, these contracts are often unilateral, heavily favoring the airline and leaving

[39] Article 33 — Jurisdiction (full text quoted).

[40] See Abeyratne, Ruwantissa, Air Carrier Liability for Denied Boarding of Passengers (1996); also Air Cargo Security: The Need for Sustainability and Innovation (2013); E-Commerce and the Airline Passenger (2001). See also Riyadh Nadhim Hameed Al-DooriAli, Abbas Rafea, Legal Protection for the Passenger in the Field of Air Transport (2023).

passengers with little room for negotiation. This raises significant concerns regarding consumer protection and the fairness of the legal framework.

In addition to passenger contracts, code sharing agreements between airlines play a major role in modern air transport. These agreements allow airlines to offer a wider network of flights by sharing routes and coordinating schedules. While code sharing benefits consumers by increasing the availability of routes and destinations, it also creates legal ambiguity when issues arise, such as cancellations or delays[41]. Passengers may find themselves in a situation where the airline they booked with is not the airline responsible for a flight delay or cancellation, making it difficult to determine who is legally liable and where the claim should be directed. The lack of transparency in these agreements can lead to confusion and frustration for passengers, further complicating the landscape of private international air law[42].

Equally important are the contracts that govern cargo transport, which are often less understood by the public but are of immense significance to the air transport industry. Airlines and cargo operators enter into contracts to transport goods across international borders, and these contracts typically stipulate the terms of the shipment, including the responsibilities of both the shipper and the carrier. When damage to cargo occurs during transport, determining the responsible party often hinges on the specific terms outlined in these contracts, which can vary widely depending on the nature of the goods and the terms agreed upon. Moreover, interline agreements, where multiple airlines collaborate to carry cargo across different legs of a journey, can result in conflicting contractual obligations that further complicate liability claims.

As the air transport industry evolves with the advent of new technologies and business models, contracts will continue to play a central role. However, existing contractual frameworks must adapt to the changing landscape. The advent of digital platforms for ticketing and booking, as well as the rise of blockchain technology for smart contracts, offers the potential for more transparent and fairer contracts between airlines and passengers, as well as between cargo operators and their clients.

D. THE NEED FOR A NEW APPROACH TO LIABILITY AND CONTRACTS

The complexities of modern air transport require a rethinking of the foundational principles that govern liability and contractual obligations in the aviation industry. While the Montreal Convention and other key treaties provide a solid basis for addressing liability in cases of passenger injuries and deaths, the rise of new technologies and business practices requires a more flexible and dynamic legal framework. Jurisdictional challenges, particularly in the context of international claims, need to be addressed in a way that reflects the global nature of modern air transport. Moreover, the role of contracts in air transport must be reevaluated, ensuring that they are not only fair and transparent but also adaptable to the evolving needs of passengers, airlines, and cargo operators.

As we transition into an era where AI, autonomous vehicles, and blockchain technologies will play an increasingly prominent role in air transport, the algorithms of air law must evolve alongside these innovations. Traditional approaches to liability and contracts, while important, are not sufficient to address the challenges of the modern world. A new approach is needed—one that embraces innovation while ensuring the safety, fairness, and transparency that are the hallmarks of a just legal system. The algorithms of air law, in this sense, must be recalibrated to ensure that they meet the needs of all stakeholders in the rapidly changing aviation landscape.

E. CYBERSECURITY IN AVIATION: A NEW FRONTIER IN LEGAL REGULATION

Another area where AI and digitalization intersect with air law is in cybersecurity. As aviation becomes more reliant on digital systems and AI-driven technologies, the risks associated with cyber-attacks and data breaches increase exponentially. Air traffic control systems, airline databases, in-flight entertainment systems, and even cockpit avionics are all interconnected and reliant on digital infrastructure, making them vulnerable to hacking and cyber intrusions. The stakes are particularly high in aviation, where a cyber attack could result in disastrous consequences, including aircraft hijacking, flight path manipulation, and data theft.

---

[41] See Riyadh Nadhim Hameed Al-Doori, Ali Abbas Rafea, Id.

[42] Hilda Yunita Sabrie et al., Choice of Law in Codeshare Flight Agreement, Journal of Law and Sustainable Development 12(8):e3854 August 2024.

As a result, [43] there is a pressing need for robust cybersecurity regulations in aviation. These regulations must ensure that all aviation systems, both on the ground and in the air, are protected against potential cyber threats. Legal frameworks will need to be established to ensure that airlines, airports, and manufacturers comply with cybersecurity standards and are held accountable for any failures in protecting sensitive data and aircraft systems. Just as physical security protocols are in place to protect aviation from threats such as terrorism, cybersecurity will need to become an integral part of aviation law and regulation.

In addition to traditional security measures, AI-based cybersecurity systems are becoming essential in protecting aviation infrastructure. These systems are capable of detecting threats and responding to them more quickly and accurately than human security personnel could ever hope to achieve. However, this shift towards AI-powered cybersecurity also introduces new legal concerns. For instance, the autonomy of AI systems in identifying and mitigating threats raises the question of whether AI systems could make erroneous decisions that result in unintended consequences. Should liability be attributed to the AI system itself, or should it lie with the operators who deploy these systems?

6. ALGORITHMS OF SPECIFIC LAW AND REGULATIONS AT AIR LAW

Rapid developments in the aviation industry bring to bear the compelling need to reexamine algorithms in air law. The rise of advanced air mobility (AAM), exemplified by electric vertical take-off and landing (eVTOL) aircraft, autonomous drones, and algorithm-driven systems, compels an evaluation of how traditional instruments of air law — such as the Paris Convention of 1919, the Chicago Convention of 1944, and the Montreal Convention of 1999 — interact with and regulate emerging technological phenomena. Where early air law concerned itself with defining sovereignty, liability, and safety, the contemporary legal framework must now account for algorithms: entities that both embody and transcend human decision-making. Algorithms, once peripheral to aviation law, now constitute the operational, ethical, and legal substrate of flight itself.

The Paris Convention of 1919, in Article 1, established that every state has "complete and exclusive sovereignty over the airspace above its territory." That axiom undergirds the structure of the Chicago Convention of 1944, whose own Article 1 restates this fundamental principle. Yet, in the context of algorithmic flight, this sovereignty is increasingly abstract. The algorithm controlling an eVTOL or drone may be hosted on cloud servers located across borders; data may traverse multiple jurisdictions before instructions are executed. The operation of an aircraft within a state's airspace thus depends on a transnational web of algorithms that potentially dilute the clarity of Article 1's declaration. The concept of "sovereignty" in algorithmic aviation must therefore evolve to include data sovereignty, where the regulation of flight entails not only physical but informational control. ICAO's Council, under Article 54(l) of the Chicago Convention, possesses the authority to adopt international standards and recommended practices (SARPs) — a prerogative that could be used to create norms governing cross-border algorithmic operations to preserve the intent of Article 1 in an era of digital interdependence.

The integration of algorithms also reshapes the application of Article 8 of the Chicago Convention, which prohibits pilotless aircraft from flying over the territory of a contracting state without special authorization and in accordance with the terms of that authorization. When Article 8 was drafted, the concept of pilotless flight was embryonic, envisaged more as a military concern than a civil possibility. Today, it directly governs the operation of drones and autonomous air taxis. The "special authorization" contemplated by Article 8 must now encompass algorithmic certification — that is, the validation of the algorithms that make autonomous decisions during flight. Such certification would necessarily involve algorithmic transparency and explainability, as regulators must be able to verify the compliance of these systems with airworthiness and safety requirements under Annex 8 (Airworthiness of Aircraft) and Annex 6 (Operation of Aircraft).

For instance, Annex 6, Part I, Chapter 3.4.2 requires that "the operator shall ensure that flight operations are conducted in accordance with the limitations specified in the flight manual." In an algorithmic aircraft, these "limitations" are effectively encoded in software parameters that determine altitude, velocity, and obstacle avoidance. Thus, compliance with Annex 6 presupposes not only mechanical reliability but also algorithmic fidelity —

[43] See Mark Robins, Cybersecurity in the Skies, Avionics International, September 19, 2024. Also, the Beijing Convention of 2010 ... See Ruwantissa Abeyratne, The Beijing Convention of 2010: An Important Milestone in the Annals of Aviation Security, Air and Space Law, Volume 36, Issue 3, 2011, pages 243–255.

whether the software's operational behavior adheres to certified limits. Similarly, Annex 8, Chapter 3.2.2, which mandates that each aircraft be "fit for safe operation," now demands the validation of the safety of algorithmic decision-making processes. This transforms algorithm verification from an engineering exercise into a matter of international legal compliance.

The Montreal Convention of 1999, which governs carrier liability, introduces further complexities when considered in the algorithmic context. Article 17(1) provides that a carrier is liable for damage sustained in case of death or bodily injury of a passenger "upon condition only that the accident which caused the death or injury took place on board the aircraft or in the course of any of the operations of embarking or disembarking." But what constitutes an "accident" in algorithmic flight? If an autonomous eVTOL malfunctions due to a software error — an incorrect decision made by a navigation algorithm or a corrupted data input — is that an "accident" attributable to the carrier, the manufacturer, or the algorithm's designer? In *Air France v. Saks (1985*), the U.S. Supreme Court interpreted "accident" as "an unexpected or unusual event external to the passenger." In an algorithmic system, however, the event may not be external at all but rather internal to the aircraft's computational processes. The Montreal framework, while preserving its protective purpose, will need interpretative expansion to encompass accidents caused by algorithmic anomalies — a challenge analogous to the extension of liability principles to electronic systems in autonomous vehicles and maritime law.

The principle of strict liability under Article 21(1) of the Montreal Convention, which holds carriers automatically liable up to 113,100 Special Drawing Rights, could extend to algorithmic errors insofar as they occur during flight. However, Article 21(2) allows the carrier to exonerate itself by proving that "the damage was not due to the negligence or other wrongful act or omission of the carrier or its servants or agents." The question arises whether an algorithm can be classified as a "servant" or "agent." Traditional vicarious liability, derived from common law, presupposes a human agent acting within the scope of employment. Algorithms, by contrast, act autonomously, executing instructions without intention or negligence in the human sense. Yet, from a teleological perspective, the algorithm could be viewed as an extension of the carrier's operational infrastructure — a "digital agent" for whose actions the carrier remains responsible. Thus, air law must evolve toward recognizing algorithmic agency within existing liability frameworks, ensuring that passengers retain predictable remedies without descending into metaphysical debates about machine intention.

The Chicago Convention's Article 37 obliges ICAO to "adopt and amend international standards and recommended practices and procedures dealing with … communications systems and air navigation aids." This provision directly underpins the integration of algorithms into the global aviation system. Air traffic management, which increasingly relies on predictive algorithms and machine learning for conflict detection and trajectory optimization, operates under Annex 11 (Air Traffic Services). For example, Annex 11, Chapter 2.19 requires that air traffic services ensure "separation between aircraft" and maintain orderly traffic flow. When separation minima are determined by algorithmic conflict-detection tools rather than human controllers, the reliability and bias of those algorithms become central to the legal fulfillment of Annex 11 obligations. Any algorithmic failure that compromises separation may implicate the state under Article 28, which requires each contracting state to "provide, in its territory, airports, radio services, meteorological services and other air navigation facilities" in accordance with international standards. Therefore, algorithmic malfunction in air traffic control may not only cause operational failure but also amount to a breach of state obligations under the Convention.

Cybersecurity further illustrates how algorithmic systems reshape air law. Annex 17 (Security: Safeguarding International Civil Aviation Against Acts of Unlawful Interference), under Standard 4.9.1, obliges states to protect critical aviation information systems from cyber threats. The algorithmic infrastructure of aviation — encompassing navigation, communication, and passenger data — constitutes precisely such critical systems. A successful cyberattack could exploit algorithmic vulnerabilities, leading to flight disruption or even accidents. The ICAO Council's 2019 Aviation Cybersecurity Strategy explicitly recognizes the need for "a globally coordinated framework to manage cyber risks," but it remains non-binding. Here, Article 54(k) of the Chicago Convention, which empowers the Council to consider and recommend measures for securing international air transport, provides a clear legal basis for transforming voluntary cybersecurity frameworks into binding standards. In doing so, ICAO would not only protect algorithms but embed algorithmic accountability into the fabric of international aviation law.

The ethical implications of algorithmic decision-making also invite reflection under Article 44(d) of the Chicago Convention, which lists among ICAO's objectives the promotion of "safety of flight in international air navigation." Safety, in the algorithmic context, transcends mechanical reliability to encompass the moral architecture of decision-making systems. Consider an autonomous aircraft facing an unavoidable collision scenario where its algorithm must choose between two harmful outcomes. The decision embedded in its code becomes an act of ethical judgment, predetermined by its programmers. International air law has yet to articulate how such ethical determinations should be standardized or reviewed. One could envisage ICAO, under Article 54(l), developing ethical certification criteria — akin to airworthiness — to ensure that algorithmic decisions comport with globally accepted moral principles of minimizing harm and prioritizing human life.

The notion of fairness in algorithmic aviation extends beyond safety to accessibility. The Preamble of the Chicago Convention declares that "international air transport services may be established on the basis of equality of opportunity." Yet, algorithmic pricing and routing systems in advanced air mobility could produce inequitable outcomes, prioritizing profitable routes and customers while neglecting less affluent communities. This is not a purely theoretical concern; in commercial aviation, algorithmic yield management systems already determine fare classes and seat availability, indirectly shaping access. As AAM scales to urban mobility, ICAO and states must interpret the "equality of opportunity" clause algorithmically, perhaps by requiring algorithmic transparency and non-discrimination audits to ensure compliance with the Convention's equitable intent.

The environmental dimension also warrants algorithmic integration. Annex 16 (Environmental Protection), Volume I (Aircraft Noise) and Volume II (Aircraft Engine Emissions), establishes standards that now intersect directly with algorithmic flight management. Algorithms optimizing fuel efficiency and noise abatement contribute to states' compliance with these Annexes. For instance, continuous descent operations (CDO), encouraged under Annex 16, Volume I, Chapter 3, rely on algorithms that compute optimal descent profiles to minimize noise and fuel burn. These algorithmic contributions, if codified as best practices, could become components of state compliance reports under Article 38, which obliges states to notify ICAO of any differences between national regulations and international standards. The synergy between algorithmic optimization and legal compliance exemplifies how technology can advance the teleological goals of air law — safety, efficiency, and environmental stewardship — rather than conflict with them.

A historical analogy may illuminate the path forward. When the Warsaw Convention of 1929 introduced uniform liability for international carriage, it did so to transform the unpredictable risks of early aviation into a structured legal system of compensation. That framework was, in essence, an algorithmic legal construct — a formula balancing risk, responsibility, and fairness. Similarly, the Montreal Convention of 1999, by modernizing liability limits and simplifying procedures, reflected a recalibration of that formula to suit a globalized world. The challenge of the algorithmic age is to extend this lineage — to craft new "formulas" that balance innovation with accountability. The law, like the algorithm, must learn to process complexity and output justice.

The Council of ICAO, under its mandate in Article 55(c) to "conduct research into all aspects of air transport," possesses the institutional capacity to guide this transformation. It can convene technical panels and legal experts to draft model regulations for algorithmic certification, cybersecurity accountability, and liability apportionment. Such an approach would mirror the adaptive spirit that led to the establishment of the Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP) and the Air Navigation Commission (ANC). Moreover, Article 54(i) empowers the Council to "report to Contracting States any infraction of the Convention." In an era where algorithmic systems may cause transboundary disruptions, this authority could evolve into a mechanism for algorithmic compliance oversight — ensuring that states and operators uphold the integrity of the global aviation system.

Ultimately, the integration of algorithms into air law represents not a mere technical evolution but a philosophical reorientation. The algorithm embodies interconnectedness, adaptation, and systemic intelligence — qualities that must also animate the law. Air law, long characterized by its capacity for incremental adaptation, must now transcend static codification and evolve into a living, responsive framework. Just as algorithms update themselves in response to new data, air law must continually recalibrate to preserve its core values amid technological flux. Only through such adaptive jurisprudence can we ensure that the skies remain navigable, secure, and just — not merely as airspace, but as the shared domain of humanity and its intelligent creations.

The tapestry of air law has always been an intricate weave, responding to technological leaps, economic demands, and societal evolution. The advent of AAM, embodied in eVTOL aircraft and a host of other innovations, is no mere thread added to this tapestry; it is a new fabric demanding an entirely fresh approach. Algorithms offer the loom upon which this fabric can be woven, providing a structure that integrates the disparate yet interconnected elements of this nascent domain. Through this algorithmic paradigm, air law can achieve a dynamic synthesis of safety, efficiency, equity, and resilience in the skies of tomorrow.

AAM represents more than a technological shift. It is a conceptual redefinition of how humanity views airspace—not as an exclusive domain for long-haul flights or military maneuvers but as a three-dimensional urban and interurban artery. eVTOLs, with their potential for on-demand, point-to-point connectivity, offer a glimpse into a future where air transport is as ubiquitous as automobiles are today. But with such ubiquity comes a staggering complexity: crowded skies, varying standards, and an ever-present demand for interoperability across national boundaries. Air law must become the invisible framework that ensures this complexity operates seamlessly.

Cybersecurity forms a critical node in this framework. The vulnerability of eVTOLs, air traffic management systems, and passenger data to cyber threats underscores the necessity of integrating cybersecurity into the DNA of AAM. Algorithms, in this context, serve as both sentinels and architects. They monitor, detect, and neutralize threats in real-time while simultaneously designing resilient systems capable of adapting to evolving challenges. The intersection of cybersecurity and air law is not merely about compliance or best practices; it is about forging a proactive legal architecture that anticipates threats before they materialize. Such a system must draw upon the capabilities of quantum computing and machine learning to stay ahead of increasingly sophisticated cyber adversaries.

Yet, even as the algorithmic domain offers solutions, it raises profound questions. Who owns the algorithms? How can proprietary data and artificial intelligence models be regulated without stifling innovation? Air law must navigate this legal minefield, balancing the rights of developers with the overarching need for transparency and accountability. The Montreal Convention of 1999 provides a historical touchstone, illustrating how international consensus can be achieved in addressing liability and jurisdictional issues. However, its principles must be reinterpreted for a world where algorithms, not pilots or dispatchers, might bear the burden of decision-making.

7. CONCLUSION

The interplay between human agency and machine autonomy lies at the heart of this legal evolution. AAM necessitates a reimagining of liability frameworks. Traditional notions of culpability—centered on human error—are increasingly inadequate when decisions are made by algorithms operating at speeds and complexities beyond human comprehension. The law must distinguish between algorithmic determinism and probabilistic reasoning, ensuring that responsibility is fairly apportioned among manufacturers, operators, and even the algorithms themselves. This raises the ethical dimension of air law, which must grapple with questions of fairness and justice in a system where decision-making is increasingly dehumanized.

Another pillar of this interconnected framework is interoperability. The success of AAM hinges on the seamless integration of eVTOLs into existing airspace management systems. Algorithms play a vital role here, coordinating traffic flows, predicting congestion, and enabling real-time adjustments. However, this interoperability must transcend technological considerations to encompass regulatory alignment across jurisdictions. The harmonization of standards, facilitated by algorithmic tools, is essential to prevent fragmentation that could stifle the growth of AAM. Air law must act as the bridge, fostering international collaboration while respecting the sovereignty of individual states.

The environmental dimension of AAM cannot be overlooked. eVTOLs promise reduced carbon emissions and noise pollution, yet their mass adoption could lead to unforeseen ecological consequences. Algorithms can mitigate these risks by optimizing flight paths, energy consumption, and urban planning. However, air law must ensure that these environmental benefits are not undermined by unchecked expansion or inadequate oversight. Legal frameworks should mandate sustainability as a core criterion in the certification and operation of eVTOLs, integrating it into the algorithmic logic that governs their deployment.

As we consider the path forward, it becomes evident that the algorithmic integration of air law must be guided by a principle of inclusivity. AAM has the potential to democratize air travel, breaking down barriers of cost and accessibility. Yet, without deliberate intervention, it risks exacerbating existing inequalities. Algorithms, if left unchecked, could perpetuate biases or prioritize profit over equity. Air law must embed social justice into the algorithms that will shape AAM, ensuring that the benefits of this revolution are distributed equitably across all strata of society.

Ultimately, the interconnection of AAM, cybersecurity, and eVTOL through algorithmic air law represents a confluence of technology, ethics, and governance. It challenges us to rethink the foundational principles of aviation law, moving from prescriptive rules to dynamic systems capable of learning and adapting. This is not merely a legal or technical challenge but a philosophical one: how do we govern a domain where human agency is mediated through machines?

The answer lies in embracing the transformative potential of algorithms while remaining vigilant against their pitfalls. Air law must evolve into a living framework, one that not only accommodates but also anticipates change. It must leverage the predictive power of algorithms to craft policies that are both proactive and adaptive. This requires an unprecedented level of collaboration among stakeholders: governments, industry leaders, technologists, and civil society. Each has a role to play in shaping a future where the skies are not just navigable but also equitable, secure, and sustainable.

In this endeavor, the ICAO Council can play a pivotal role. By leveraging its position as a global standard-setting body, the Council can establish a comprehensive framework for AAM that aligns technological innovation with legal and ethical considerations. It must convene stakeholders across sectors to forge consensus on key issues such as cybersecurity protocols, liability apportionment, and interoperability standards. Through its Working Groups and Panels, the ICAO Council could spearhead the development of algorithmic models that ensure equitable access, sustainability, and resilience within the AAM ecosystem.

The Council's leadership in crafting international agreements that reflect the unique demands of eVTOL, AAM, cybersecurity and developments related thereto, as well as geo-political vicissitudes that may bring to bear legal and economic considerations would be critical. By fostering collaboration among member states and engaging with industry leaders, the ICAO Council could develop flexible yet robust legal instruments that address both current challenges and future uncertainties. These instruments must emphasize proactive governance, encouraging the adoption of algorithmic tools that enhance safety and efficiency while safeguarding fundamental principles of fairness and accountability.

In this endeavor, the algorithm is both tool and metaphor. It represents the logic and interconnectedness needed to harmonize the disparate elements of AAM. But it also serves as a reminder of the complexity and nuance that must underpin this new discussion of air law. As we stand on the threshold of this transformation, we must commit to a vision that integrates technology with humanity, innovation with accountability, and progress with justice. Only then can we ensure that the algorithms of air law serve as the keystone of a future where the skies are truly open to all.

REFERENCES

Abeyratne, Ruwantissa. *Air Navigation Law*. Springer, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-25835-0

Abeyratne, Ruwantissa. *Aviation and the Carbon Trade*. Nova Publishers, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-08443-5

Abeyratne, Ruwantissa. *Aviation and Climate Change: In Search of a Global Market Based Measure*. Springer, 2014. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-00068-8

Abeyratne, Ruwantissa. *Convention on International Civil Aviation: A Commentary*. Springer, 2014.

Abeyratne, Ruwantissa. *Global Regulation of Air Navigation: Key Focus Areas*. Ethics International Press, 2024.

Bartsch, Ron. *International Aviation Law: A Practical Guide*. Routledge, 2012.

Cheng, Bin. *The Law of International Air Transport*. Stevens & Sons, 1962.

Dempsey, Paul Stephen. *Public International Air Law*. McGill University Institute and Centre for Research in Air and Space Law, 2008.

Dempsey, Paul Stephen, and Michael Milde. *International Air Carrier Liability: The Montreal Convention of 1999*. McGill University Centre for Research in Air & Space Law, 2005.

Kaku, Michio. *Quantum Supremacy: How the Quantum Computer Revolution Will Change Everything*. Doubleday, 2023.

Milde, Michael. *International Air Law and ICAO*. Eleven International Publishing, 2016.

Rosenfield, Mark N., and Pablo Mendes de Leon. *Aviation Law and Policy: Cases and Materials*. Kluwer Law International, 2009.

Saputra, Hardika. *Al-Khawarizmi: A Muslim Scientist Who Discovered Algorithms and Their Influence in the Development of Modern Computation*. Prevenire Press, 2022. https://doi.org/10.58330/prevenire.v2i2.186

Scott, Ben, and Andrea Trimarchi. *Fundamentals of International Aviation Law and Policy*. Routledge, 2020. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429492075

Wandelt, Sebastian, Yahua Zhang, and Xiaoqian Sun. *Sustainable Aviation Fuels*. Elsevier, 2025.

Received on 15-10-2025 Accepted on 13-11-2025 Published on 11-12-2025

https://doi.org/10.6000/2817-2302.2025.04.10