

Understanding Criminology in the Modern World: An Exploration of Modern Trends and Applications

Mohd Kalimullah¹, Sumbl Ahmad Khanday^{2,*} and Afreen Rizvi³

¹Department of Law (Sociology), Aligarh Muslim University, India

²Department of Law (Sociology), Jamia Millia Islamia, India

³Department of Law, Jamia Millia Islamia, India

Abstract: Criminology has evolved considerably from its classical and positivist foundations to address the complexities of contemporary society. This paper examines the transformation of criminological thought, highlighting the shift from traditional explanations of crime toward interdisciplinary approaches that incorporate technological, social, environmental, and cultural dimensions. Particular attention is given to emerging fields such as cybercrime studies, bio-criminology, feminist criminology, and green criminology, which broaden the scope of conventional criminological inquiry. The study analyses how globalization, digital technologies, and changing social structures have reshaped patterns of criminal behavior and challenged existing theoretical frameworks. It further explores the implications of these developments for crime prevention, criminal justice policy, and future criminological research. By synthesizing contemporary perspectives, the paper argues that criminology must continuously adapt to emerging forms of crime and evolving societal conditions. The analysis contributes to ongoing debates on the relevance of modern criminological theories in understanding and responding to twenty-first-century criminality.

Keywords: Criminology, Classical Criminology, Positivist Criminology, Cybercrime, Bio-criminology, Feminist Criminology, Green Criminology, Globalization.

INTRODUCTION

Criminology, as a discipline, is the scientific study of crime, criminal behavior, and the systems in place to prevent and control crime. It encompasses the exploration of the causes, nature, and effects of crime on both individuals and society. The field plays a critical role in understanding societal norms, legal frameworks, and the underlying factors that contribute to criminal behavior, providing insights for policy development and criminal justice practices (Siegel, 2019). Criminology bridges various fields such as sociology, psychology, law, and biology, allowing for a comprehensive analysis of crime and its societal impact. Historically, criminology has evolved through several phases, beginning with the classical school in the 18th century, which emphasized free will and rational choice in criminal behavior (Beccaria, 1764/1986). The positivist school followed in the 19th century, focusing on the biological, psychological, and social factors that influence criminality (Lombroso, 1876). Over time, criminology expanded to include sociological theories, with key contributions from scholars like Durkheim and Merton, who examined how societal structures and inequalities lead to crime (Durkheim, 1897; Merton, 1938).

In recent decades, criminology has seen a shift from these traditional frameworks to more modern

approaches that reflect contemporary societal challenges. The rise of globalization, technological advancements, and changing social norms has necessitated new ways of thinking about crime. Modern trends such as cybercrime, green criminology, and cultural criminology illustrate this shift, addressing crimes related to the digital age, environmental harm, and media's role in crime portrayal (Ferrell *et al.*, 2004; White, 2013).

The objective of this research is to explore how these modern trends in criminology diverge from or build on traditional concepts. By analyzing the key developments in criminological thought, this paper will assess the relevance of traditional theories in today's world and how new frameworks provide a better understanding of contemporary crime. The study aims to offer a comprehensive view of criminology in the modern era and emphasize the need for adaptive approaches in the fight against crime.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative, narrative review approach to examine the evolution of criminological thought from traditional perspectives to contemporary approaches. The objective is to analyze how emerging developments such as cybercrime, globalization, environmental crime, and technological advancements have influenced criminological theory and practice.

The study is based on secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, policy

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Law (Sociology), Jamia Millia Islamia, India; E-mail: sumbl.amu.k@gmail.com

reports, and publications from international organizations. Relevant literature was identified through searches of academic databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, Scopus, and Web of Science using keywords including criminology, classical criminology, positivist criminology, cybercrime, green criminology, feminist criminology, biocriminology, globalization and crime, and criminal justice reforms. The selected literature was analyzed using a thematic approach. Major themes were identified and organized into two broad categories: traditional criminological perspectives and contemporary criminological developments. Particular attention was given to emerging areas of criminological inquiry, including cybercrime, environmental crime, gender and crime, technological transformations, and transnational criminal activities. In addition, illustrative case studies were examined to assess the explanatory strengths and limitations of traditional criminological theories when applied to contemporary forms of crime. Through comparative thematic analysis, the study evaluates the continuing relevance of traditional criminological theories and explores how modern perspectives expand, challenge, and complement earlier frameworks.

Traditional Criminology: Foundations and Concepts

Criminology, as a field of study, has evolved through various theoretical perspectives. Traditional criminological theories have laid the foundation for understanding crime and deviance, with significant contributions from classical, positivist, and sociological schools of thought.

Overview of Traditional Criminological Theories

Classical criminology emerged in the 18th century and focused on crime as a result of rational choice. Thinkers like Cesare Beccaria argued that individuals commit crimes after weighing the costs and benefits, emphasizing the role of punishment as a deterrent (Beccaria, 1764/1963). This theory posits that individuals have free will, and the justice system should be swift and certain in punishment to prevent crime (Hagan, 2017). Positivist criminology, on the other hand, shifted the focus from free will to determinism. Cesare Lombroso, often considered the father of positivist criminology, argued that criminal behavior is influenced by biological, psychological, and environmental factors, rather than rational choice (Lombroso, 1876). Positivism sought to explain criminal behavior through scientific methods, identifying traits or

conditions predisposing individuals to crime (Williams & McShane, 2018). Sociological theories further developed criminological thought by emphasizing the role of social structures and institutions. Emile Durkheim's concept of anomie, for instance, suggests that crime arises when societal norms break down during periods of rapid social change (Durkheim, 1893/1997). Sociological criminology explores the influence of factors such as class, race, and social inequality on criminal behavior (Vold *et al.*, 2016).

The Role of Social Structures, Law, and Morality in Shaping Early Criminology

Early criminological theories were deeply influenced by societal structures, legal norms, and moral principles. Classical criminologists focused on reforming legal systems by advocating for fairness and proportionality in punishment, aiming to align laws with moral codes of justice (Beccaria, 1764/1963). They believed that clear, fair laws would deter crime by providing individuals with rational reasons to conform to societal expectations (Hagan, 2017). Positivist criminologists, in contrast, sought to identify the underlying causes of crime in individuals and their environments. Their work influenced the development of laws aimed at rehabilitation rather than mere punishment, reflecting a shift in moral thinking about crime as a product of factors beyond individual control (Lombroso, 1876). Sociological theories, particularly during the 20th century, began examining how crime is often the product of larger social forces. The law was seen not only as a tool for regulating behavior but as a reflection of societal values and power dynamics. This perspective helped explain why certain groups might be more vulnerable to criminal labeling due to social and economic marginalization (Vold *et al.*, 2016).

Reinterpreting Traditional Theories in Contemporary Contexts

Although classical and positivist criminological theories are often viewed as products of earlier historical periods, many of their core insights continue to inform contemporary criminological analysis. Rational choice theory, derived from classical criminology, remains relevant in understanding cybercrime, online fraud, and digital offending, where offenders frequently assess potential rewards against perceived risks. Similarly, contemporary biocriminological research revisits positivist concerns regarding individual predispositions to criminal behavior, while integrating advances in genetics, neuroscience, and psychology. Rather than being

displaced entirely, traditional criminological theories have been adapted and reinterpreted to address new forms of crime and changing social conditions. This demonstrates the continuing relevance of foundational criminological concepts within modern theoretical frameworks.

MODERN CRIMINOLOGY: NEW APPROACHES AND THEORIES

Modern criminology has evolved to incorporate new technologies, cultural shifts, and interdisciplinary insights that challenge traditional notions of crime and justice. Contemporary criminological theories reflect an increasingly globalised and interconnected world, emphasizing new forms of crime and the diverse factors contributing to criminal behavior.

Technological Influence on Crime

The Rise of Cybercrime, Digital Forensics, and Cyber Policing

The rapid advancement of technology has transformed the landscape of crime. Cybercrime, which includes hacking, identity theft, cyberstalking, ransomware attacks, and other online criminal activities, has become a significant global threat (Wall, 2015; Yar & Steinmetz, 2024). Unlike traditional crimes, cybercrime can be committed from anywhere in the world, making it difficult for law enforcement agencies to apprehend perpetrators. As technology evolves, so does the sophistication of these crimes, often outpacing the capabilities of law enforcement. Digital forensics and cyber policing have emerged in response to these challenges. Digital forensics and cyber policing have emerged in response to these challenges (Rogers, 2016; Holt, Bossler & Seigfried-Spellar, 2022). These developments highlight the need for law enforcement to stay ahead of technological advancements and collaborate across borders to combat cybercriminals effectively.

Cultural Criminology

The Role of Media, Culture, and Societal Changes in Understanding Crime

Cultural criminology focuses on the relationship between culture, media, and crime. This perspective argues that crime is not just a legal violation but also a social construct shaped by cultural norms and media representations (Ferrell *et al.*, 2015). The media plays a crucial role in shaping public perceptions of crime, often sensationalizing criminal behavior and influencing

policy decisions. For example, moral panics, fueled by media coverage, can lead to harsher legal penalties for certain offenses (Cohen, 1972). Cultural criminology also explores how societal changes, such as globalization and consumer culture, contribute to criminality. The pressure to conform to societal expectations, particularly in consumer-driven economies, can push individuals toward illegal activities to attain material success (Hayward & Young, 2004; Ferrell *et al.*, 2015). By focusing on the intersections of culture, media, and crime, cultural criminology offers a nuanced understanding of why people engage in deviant behavior.

Bio Criminology

The Influence of Genetics and Neuroscience on Understanding Criminal Behavior

Biocriminology is an interdisciplinary field that examines the biological underpinnings of criminal behavior. Researchers in this area study how genetics, brain structure, and neurochemical processes may predispose individuals to criminality (Raine, 2013). Advances in neuroscience have shown that certain brain abnormalities, such as dysfunctions in the prefrontal cortex, are linked to impulsive and violent behaviors (Glenn & Raine, 2014). Similarly, genetic studies have identified specific genes, like the MAOA gene, which may contribute to aggressive behavior in some individuals when combined with environmental factors (Caspi *et al.*, 2002). While Biocriminology provides valuable insights into the biological aspects of criminal behavior, it is essential to recognize that genes and biology interact with social and environmental factors. Bio criminologists caution against deterministic views, arguing that criminal behavior results from a complex interplay between biology and external influences.

Feminist Criminology

Modern Perspectives on Gender, Crime, and Justice

Feminist criminology emerged in response to the traditional male-centered focus of criminology. It examines how gender influences crime, both in terms of offending and victimization (Chesney-Lind & Chagnon, 2016). Feminist criminologists argue that women's experiences with crime and the criminal justice system differ significantly from men's and that these differences are often overlooked in mainstream criminological theories. One key area of feminist criminology is the study of how patriarchal structures

contribute to female victimization, particularly in cases of domestic violence, sexual assault, and human trafficking (Walklate, 2004). Feminist criminologists also highlight how societal norms and gender roles influence women's participation in crime. For instance, women who commit crimes may do so in response to gender-based oppression or economic marginalization (Daly, 1994). This perspective encourages the criminal justice system to adopt gender-sensitive policies that account for the unique experiences of women.

Green Criminology

The Environmental Dimensions of Crime and Global Impacts

Green criminology addresses environmental harms that may not always be classified as criminal but have significant negative impacts on the planet and its inhabitants. This field examines illegal activities like wildlife trafficking, deforestation, and pollution, as well as legal actions that contribute to environmental degradation (South & Brisman, 2013). Green criminologists argue that environmental harm should be recognized as a form of crime, given its profound effects on ecosystems and vulnerable communities. Moreover, green criminology explores the global dimensions of environmental crime, often committed by powerful corporations and governments, with consequences that disproportionately affect developing nations. For instance, illegal logging and mining operations can lead to deforestation, loss of biodiversity, and displacement of indigenous communities (White, 2018; Lynch, Long & Stretesky, 2022). This perspective emphasizes the need for global cooperation in regulating environmental harm and holding perpetrators accountable for their actions.

Therefore, modern criminology reflects the complexity of crime in a rapidly changing world. Theories such as Biocriminology, cultural criminology, and feminist criminology offer diverse lenses through which we can better understand the causes and consequences of crime, while green criminology highlights the growing importance of environmental justice in criminological discourse.

Globalization and Crime

Globalization has brought about significant changes in the nature of crime, expanding its reach and complexity across national borders. The increased interconnectedness of economies, societies, and communication networks has led to the rise of

transnational crime, terrorism, and human trafficking, which require coordinated international efforts to address. International institutions such as Interpol and the United Nations play a crucial role in combating these globalized criminal activities.

Impact of Globalization on Crime

Globalization has contributed to the expansion of transnational crime, which involves criminal activities that cross national boundaries. Transnational organized crime includes activities such as drug trafficking, arms smuggling, cybercrime, and money laundering (Shelley, 2014; UNODC, 2024). Criminal organizations exploit weaknesses in international law and border controls to conduct their operations, often utilizing financial systems in multiple countries to launder money or evade detection (Passas, 2002). Human trafficking is another significant crime that has been exacerbated by globalization. Criminal networks exploit vulnerable populations, particularly in developing countries, to force individuals into labor, sexual exploitation, or modern slavery. The ease of international travel and communication enables traffickers to transport victims across borders more efficiently, making it difficult for law enforcement agencies to track and intervene (Bales, 2012).

Globalization has also contributed to the spread of terrorism, as terrorist organizations can more easily recruit, communicate, and coordinate attacks across different countries. Advances in communication technologies, particularly the internet and social media, allow terrorist groups to disseminate propaganda, recruit followers, and plan attacks with greater efficiency (Martin, 2017). Terrorist networks exploit global transportation and financial systems to fund their activities, creating new challenges for global security.

The Role of International Institutions in Addressing Crime

The rise of transnational crime and terrorism has necessitated the involvement of international institutions in combating these threats. Interpol, the International Criminal Police Organization, plays a key role in facilitating international cooperation among law enforcement agencies. Interpol provides a platform for member countries to share information, coordinate cross-border investigations, and issue alerts about fugitives and other criminal activities (Nadelmann, 2013). By promoting collaboration and intelligence sharing, Interpol helps address the challenges of transnational crime, which often involves actors operating in multiple countries.

The United Nations (UN) also plays a significant role in addressing global crime through its initiatives and agencies. The UN Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) works to combat transnational organized crime, terrorism, and human trafficking by assisting countries in strengthening their legal frameworks, law enforcement capacities, and international cooperation (UNODC, 2018). The UNODC provides technical assistance, research, and policy recommendations to member states, focusing on improving criminal justice systems and enhancing international collaboration to tackle these global issues. In addition to its direct efforts in crime prevention, the United Nations has been instrumental in developing international treaties and conventions aimed at curbing global crime. For instance, the UN Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, also known as the Palermo Convention, establishes a framework for international cooperation in the fight against transnational organized crime (UN, 2000). Similarly, the UN Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy provides a comprehensive approach to preventing and combating terrorism, focusing on addressing its root causes and enhancing international coordination (UN, 2006). Globalization has dramatically changed the nature of crime, with transnational organized crime, human trafficking, and terrorism becoming major global concerns. Addressing these challenges requires the cooperation of international institutions such as Interpol and the United Nations, which play crucial roles in facilitating cross-border collaboration, sharing intelligence, and strengthening global legal frameworks.

The Role of Technology in Modern Crime and Criminal Justice

The Impact of Digital Advancements on Crime Trends

Digital advancements have revolutionized crime trends, with cybercrime and identity theft becoming major concerns in the digital age. The internet provides criminals with opportunities to commit crimes such as hacking, fraud, and ransomware attacks from remote locations, often making it difficult for law enforcement agencies to track and prosecute offenders (Wall, 2015). Identity theft, where personal information is stolen and used for fraudulent purposes, has also increased with the proliferation of online transactions and social media. Criminals exploit the ease of accessing personal data online, leading to significant financial and emotional harm to victims (Brenner, 2010). Cybercrime is further complicated by the anonymity and global

nature of the internet, allowing offenders to hide their identities and operate across borders. This creates challenges for law enforcement agencies, which must navigate complex international laws and coordinate with foreign jurisdictions to pursue cybercriminals (Grabosky, 2007).

Use of Artificial Intelligence and Big Data in Criminal Investigations and Predictive Policing

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) and big data has become increasingly prominent in criminal investigations and predictive policing. AI systems can analyze vast amounts of data to identify patterns and predict potential criminal behavior (Brennan, 2018; Brayne, 2021). This enables law enforcement agencies to deploy resources more efficiently and intervene before crimes occur. Predictive policing, in particular, uses algorithms to forecast where crimes are likely to happen based on historical crime data. This approach helps law enforcement to target specific areas with increased patrols, potentially reducing crime rates (Perry *et al.*, 2013). However, while AI and predictive policing hold promise, they also raise concerns about privacy, bias, and the potential for over-policing of certain communities.

Ethical Concerns and Challenges in the Digital Age

The increasing reliance on technology in criminal justice brings significant ethical concerns. AI algorithms, for example, can perpetuate racial and social biases if they are based on flawed or biased data, leading to discriminatory practices (O'Neil, 2016). The use of surveillance technologies and data collection can also infringe on individual privacy rights, as governments and corporations collect vast amounts of personal information for security purposes (Zuboff, 2019). In the digital age, there is also the challenge of balancing security with freedom. As governments seek to combat cybercrime and terrorism, they often introduce laws that expand surveillance powers, which can erode civil liberties (Lyon, 2015). Addressing these ethical challenges requires careful oversight and regulation to ensure that technological advancements in criminal justice respect human rights and freedoms.

Implications for Marginalised Communities and Oversight Mechanisms

The use of artificial intelligence and surveillance technologies may have disproportionate consequences for marginalized communities. Studies have shown that algorithmic systems trained on historically biased data can reinforce existing patterns of racial, ethnic,

socioeconomic, and geographic discrimination, resulting in unequal levels of surveillance and policing. Communities that are already overrepresented in criminal justice databases may be subjected to increased scrutiny through predictive policing systems, thereby perpetuating cycles of criminalization and social exclusion. To address these concerns, robust oversight mechanisms are necessary, including independent algorithmic audits, transparency requirements, judicial review of surveillance practices, data protection safeguards, and community participation in the development and evaluation of technological policing tools. Such measures can help ensure that technological innovation in criminal justice remains consistent with principles of fairness, accountability, and human rights.

Social and Psychological Factors in Modern Criminology

Changing Societal Norms and Their Impact on Crime

Changing societal norms have a significant impact on crime. As societies evolve, behaviors that were once considered deviant or criminal may become more accepted, while new forms of deviance emerge (Cohen, 1972). For instance, drug use, which was criminalized in many societies, is increasingly being viewed through the lens of public health rather than criminal justice, leading to policy reforms such as decriminalization (MacCoun & Reuter, 2001). At the same time, new technologies and societal changes have led to the rise of crimes such as cyberbullying and online harassment, reflecting how societal norms adapt to digital environments.

Influence of Mental Health, Trauma, and Economic Inequality on Criminal Behavior

Mental health issues, trauma, and economic inequality are major social and psychological factors influencing criminal behavior. Individuals suffering from mental health disorders or trauma may be more vulnerable to engaging in criminal activities due to impaired judgment, emotional instability, or difficulty coping with stressful situations (Lamb et al., 2004). Additionally, socioeconomic conditions such as poverty, unemployment, and lack of education contribute to criminal behavior, as individuals in disadvantaged communities may turn to crime out of desperation or as a means of survival (Hagan & Foster, 2003). The intersection of mental health and crime is particularly concerning in cases of untreated mental illness, where individuals may commit crimes that they

would not otherwise engage in if they had access to proper care and support (Fazel et al., 2014).

The Rise of Radicalisation and Extremism in the Context of Crime

Radicalisation and extremism have become pressing concerns in the modern world, as individuals increasingly turn to violent ideologies in response to perceived injustices, social alienation, or political discontent. Extremist groups often use social media and online platforms to recruit vulnerable individuals, exploiting their grievances and leading them down the path of radicalization (Neumann, 2013). This has led to a rise in terrorism and hate crimes, with devastating impacts on communities and national security. Efforts to counter radicalization require addressing the root causes, including social inequality, political marginalization, and mental health issues, while also promoting dialogue and social inclusion to prevent individuals from turning to extremist ideologies.

Crime Prevention and Policy Implications in the Modern World

Modern Approaches to Crime Prevention

Modern approaches to crime prevention focus on proactive, community-based strategies that seek to address the root causes of crime rather than relying solely on punitive measures. Community policing, for example, emphasizes building trust between law enforcement and local communities, encouraging collaboration to prevent crime and improve public safety (Skogan & Hartnett, 1997). This approach fosters positive relationships between police and citizens, leading to more effective crime prevention. Restorative justice is another modern approach, which focuses on repairing the harm caused by criminal behavior through dialogue and reconciliation between offenders and victims (Zehr, 2002). This approach seeks to reintegrate offenders into society rather than isolating them through incarceration, promoting healing for all parties involved.

The Role of Criminal Justice Reforms in Shaping the Modern Justice System

Criminal justice reforms have played a crucial role in shaping the modern justice system, particularly in addressing issues of mass incarceration, racial disparities, and the treatment of marginalized groups. Reforms such as sentencing guidelines, diversion programs, and drug courts aim to reduce the number of individuals entering the criminal justice system,

particularly for non-violent offenses (Tonry, 2011). These reforms prioritize rehabilitation over punishment and seek to address the underlying causes of criminal behavior, such as addiction or mental health issues.

Challenges in Addressing Crime in an Interconnected and Fast-Changing World

In an interconnected and fast-changing world, addressing crime presents numerous challenges. Globalization has facilitated the rise of transnational crimes such as human trafficking, cybercrime, and terrorism, which require international cooperation and coordination to combat effectively (Shelley, 2014). The fast pace of technological advancements also means that criminals can exploit new tools and platforms before laws and regulations can adapt, leading to a constant need for innovation in crime prevention strategies. In conclusion, modern crime prevention and policy require a multifaceted approach that balances technological advancements with ethical considerations, addresses social and psychological factors, and adapts to the complexities of globalization. Through community engagement, criminal justice reforms, and international cooperation, societies can work toward reducing crime in a rapidly changing world.

CASE STUDIES

Modern Criminological Challenges and their Impact on Traditional Theories

Modern criminology faces an array of new challenges that were not addressed by traditional criminological theories. Case studies involving online fraud, organized crime, and environmental crime highlight the complexities of contemporary criminal activities. These examples also illustrate the limitations of traditional criminology, which was not equipped to deal with the global, digital, and environmental dimensions of modern crime.

Case Study 1: Online Fraud

One of the most pressing modern challenges in criminology is online fraud, particularly through phishing schemes, identity theft, and financial scams. An example is the 2020 Twitter hack, in which cybercriminals compromised several high-profile accounts, including those of Elon Musk and Barack Obama, to promote a Bitcoin scam. This attack targeted thousands of users and led to significant financial losses (Kshetri, 2020).

Limitations of Traditional Criminology

Classical criminological theories, like those of Cesare Beccaria, focus on crime as a rational choice made by individuals. However, these theories do not account for the complex, technological mechanisms of cybercrime. While Beccaria's theory might suggest that online criminals weigh the risks and benefits before committing fraud, the anonymity and global reach of the internet provide such criminals with unprecedented advantages, reducing the perceived risk of being caught (Wall, 2015). The theories are also limited in addressing the international nature of these crimes, as traditional models generally focus on localized criminal behavior.

The Twitter case demonstrates that deterrence-based assumptions of classical criminology are weakened in cyberspace, where anonymity and jurisdictional fragmentation reduce the certainty of punishment. This case highlights the need to move beyond traditional deterrence models and adopt more adaptive criminological approaches that recognize the unique characteristics of digital environments. From a policy perspective, effective cybercrime prevention requires stronger international digital governance frameworks, enhanced cyber-policing capacities, cross-border cooperation among law enforcement agencies, and public digital literacy initiatives aimed at reducing vulnerability to online fraud.

Case Study 2: Organized Crime

Organized crime syndicates have become more sophisticated and transnational in the era of globalization. The case of the Sinaloa Cartel, a Mexican drug trafficking organization, exemplifies this. The cartel operates across several countries and engages in various illegal activities, including drug smuggling, human trafficking, and arms dealing. Their operations leverage modern communication technologies and financial networks, making it difficult for law enforcement agencies to dismantle these organizations (Felbab-Brown, 2020).

Limitations of Traditional Criminology

Traditional criminological theories, like those of the positivist school, emphasize biological or psychological traits that may predispose individuals to crime. However, they fail to explain the collective, systematic nature of organized crime. Positivism's focus on individual deviance does not account for the structural and economic factors that drive organized crime, such

as global drug demand, poverty, and weak governance. Sociological theories, while acknowledging the role of social structures, may also fall short in explaining the international dimension of organized crime, which crosses borders and integrates diverse cultural and economic systems.

The Sinaloa Cartel case demonstrates that contemporary organized crime operates through complex transnational networks that extend beyond the explanatory scope of traditional criminological theories. While positivist criminology focuses primarily on individual characteristics and sociological theories emphasize local social conditions, neither adequately captures the global economic, political, and technological structures that sustain organized criminal enterprises. The case highlights how globalization, weak governance, corruption, and international demand for illicit goods collectively facilitate organized crime. From a policy perspective, combating transnational criminal organizations requires stronger international cooperation, intelligence-sharing mechanisms, financial surveillance systems, anti-corruption measures, and coordinated law-enforcement strategies across national borders. These realities underscore the need for criminological frameworks that integrate local, national, and global dimensions of crime.

Case Study 3: Environmental Crime

Environmental crime, particularly illegal deforestation, waste dumping, and wildlife trafficking, is another modern challenge. For instance, the illegal logging industry in the Amazon rainforest, driven by criminal networks, destroys ecosystems and displaces indigenous populations. These criminal activities contribute to climate change and biodiversity loss, but they are often overlooked or under-enforced by international legal frameworks (White, 2018).

Limitations of Traditional Criminology

Traditional criminology, especially theories from the classical and positivist schools, focuses on crimes that directly harm individuals or property. Environmental crime, which may not have immediate visible victims, falls outside the scope of traditional definitions of crime. These theories do not fully recognize the global environmental impacts of such activities or their long-term consequences for communities and ecosystems. Green criminology, a more modern theoretical framework, addresses these shortcomings by focusing on environmental justice and the broader implications of environmental harm (South & Brisman, 2013).

The Amazon deforestation case illustrates how environmental harm challenges conventional understandings of crime that focus primarily on direct victims and immediate criminal acts. Traditional criminological theories provide limited insight into environmental crimes because the consequences are often long-term, transnational, and diffused across ecosystems and communities. The case demonstrates that environmental crimes are closely linked to economic interests, regulatory failures, global market demands, and unequal power relations. Green criminology offers a more suitable framework by recognizing environmental degradation as a form of social harm with significant implications for ecological sustainability and human well-being. From a policy perspective, addressing environmental crime requires stronger environmental regulations, international cooperation, corporate accountability mechanisms, and enhanced protection for vulnerable ecosystems and indigenous communities affected by environmental exploitation.

Conclusively, these case studies of online fraud, organized crime, and environmental crime highlight the limitations of traditional criminological theories. The complexity, international scope, and technological nature of modern crimes require new approaches and theories to fully understand and address them. Traditional criminology, rooted in the study of individual deviance and localized crime, often fails to grapple with the structural, economic, and environmental dimensions of contemporary criminal activities.

FUTURE RESEARCH AGENDA

To advance criminological scholarship in an increasingly complex and interconnected world, future research should focus on emerging forms of crime and the adequacy of existing theoretical frameworks in explaining them. The rapid growth of digital technologies, globalization, environmental challenges, and changing social structures presents several important avenues for investigation.

The following research questions may guide future criminological inquiry:

1. To what extent do traditional criminological theories explain cybercrime and other technology-mediated forms of offending?
2. How can artificial intelligence and big data analytics improve crime prevention while minimizing concerns related to privacy, discrimination, and algorithmic bias?

3. What role do social media platforms play in processes of radicalization, recruitment, and the spread of extremist ideologies?
4. How do globalization and transnational economic networks facilitate organized crime, human trafficking, and environmental offenses?
5. What is the relationship between climate change, environmental degradation, and the emergence of new forms of environmental crime?
6. How do gender, race, class, and other social inequalities shape experiences of crime, victimization, and criminal justice outcomes in digital and globalized societies?
7. Can interdisciplinary criminological frameworks integrating sociology, psychology, neuroscience, environmental science, and technology studies provide a more comprehensive understanding of contemporary criminal behavior than traditional approaches alone?

Addressing these questions will contribute to the development of more adaptive and evidence-based criminological theories capable of responding to the evolving nature of crime in the twenty-first century. Future scholarship should emphasize interdisciplinary collaboration and comparative international research to better understand emerging criminal trends and inform effective policy interventions.

The Need for Interdisciplinary Approaches to Tackle Future Crime Challenges

Criminology has traditionally drawn from sociology, psychology, and law, but future crime challenges will demand even broader interdisciplinary approaches. The complexities of modern crime require collaboration with fields such as technology, environmental science, economics, political science, and public health to develop more comprehensive solutions.

1. Technology and Criminology

As technology becomes central to both committing and preventing crime, collaboration with computer science and cybersecurity experts will be critical. Criminologists will need to understand how encryption, blockchain, and emerging digital technologies are used by criminals and how law enforcement can leverage these tools in criminal investigations (McGuire, 2012).

2. Psychology, Neuroscience, and Criminology

The growing influence of Biocriminology and neurocriminology underscores the need for interdisciplinary work with psychologists and neuroscientists. Understanding how brain chemistry, genetics, and mental health conditions contribute to criminal behavior will help criminologists develop more effective rehabilitation and prevention strategies (Raine, 2013). This collaboration will also improve interventions designed to treat individuals with mental health issues and reduce their likelihood of offending.

3. Environmental Science and Criminology

The future of green criminology will require closer collaboration with environmental scientists to study how crimes against the environment, such as pollution and illegal resource extraction, affect ecosystems and human populations. As environmental crime becomes a global concern, criminologists and environmental experts will work together to craft policies and legal frameworks that prioritize sustainability and hold offenders accountable (South & Brisman, 2013).

4. Public Health and Criminology

Public health issues such as addiction, mental illness, and pandemics have significant implications for crime and justice. Criminologists will increasingly collaborate with public health professionals to address the root causes of crime, such as substance abuse or untreated mental health conditions. By approaching crime from a public health perspective, criminology can contribute to more holistic interventions that focus on prevention and rehabilitation rather than solely on punishment (Fazel *et al.*, 2014). The future of criminology lies in its ability to adapt to rapid social, technological, and global changes. As crime becomes more complex and multifaceted, criminologists must embrace interdisciplinary approaches and collaborate with experts from various fields to develop innovative strategies for preventing and addressing crime in the modern world.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the field of criminology has significantly evolved from its traditional foundations to address the complexities of modern crime. Traditional criminological theories Classical, Positivist, and Sociological provided essential insights into crime and deviance, emphasizing factors like rational choice, determinism, and social structures (Beccaria, 1764/1963; Lombroso, 1876; Durkheim, 1893/1997). However, these theories

have limitations in explaining contemporary crime trends, such as cybercrime, transnational organized crime, and environmental offenses, which transcend geographical and conceptual boundaries (Wall, 2015; White, 2018).

Modern criminology has introduced new approaches and theories to fill these gaps. Technological advancements have not only given rise to new forms of crime but also provided tools like artificial intelligence and big data for criminal investigations and predictive policing (Brennan, 2018). Cultural criminology has highlighted the influence of media, culture, and societal changes on criminal behavior (Ferrell *et al.*, 2015). Biocriminology has explored the genetic and neuroscientific aspects of criminality, while feminist criminology has brought attention to gender dynamics in crime and justice (Raine, 2013; Chesney-Lind & Chagnon, 2016). Green criminology has underscored the environmental dimensions of crime, emphasizing global impacts (South & Brisman, 2013). Globalization has further complicated the crime landscape, leading to an increase in transnational crimes like human trafficking and terrorism. International institutions such as Interpol and the United Nations play crucial roles in addressing these challenges through cooperation and policy development (Shelley, 2014; UNODC, 2018).

Case studies of online fraud, organized crime, and environmental crime illustrate the inadequacy of traditional theories in addressing modern criminal activities. For instance, the 2020 Twitter Bitcoin scam demonstrated the complexities of cybercrime that classical theories cannot fully explain (Kshetri, 2020). Similarly, the operations of transnational organized crime groups like the Sinaloa Cartel reveal the need for more comprehensive frameworks (Felbab-Brown, 2020).

IMPORTANCE OF ADAPTING CRIMINOLOGICAL THEORIES AND PRACTICES

Adapting criminological theories and practices is imperative in the face of evolving social, technological, and global landscapes. The integration of interdisciplinary approaches is essential to tackle future crime challenges effectively. Collaborations with fields such as technology, environmental science, psychology, and international relations enrich criminological research and practice (McGuire, 2012; Raine, 2013). Embracing technological innovations can enhance crime prevention and investigative capabilities, but it also necessitates ethical

considerations to protect privacy and prevent bias (O'Neil, 2016). Addressing social and psychological factors requires a holistic understanding of the root causes of crime, including mental health and economic disparities (Lamb *et al.*, 2004). In an interconnected world, international cooperation is vital to combat transnational crimes effectively. Strengthening global legal frameworks and fostering collaboration among nations can lead to more cohesive and efficient responses to global crime threats (UNODC, 2018).

Ultimately, the evolution of criminology depends on its ability to adapt and remain relevant. By continually reassessing and updating its theories and practices, criminology can better understand and address the complexities of modern crime, contributing to a more just and secure society.

REFERENCES

- Bales, K. (2012). *Disposable people: New slavery in the global economy*. University of California Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520951389>
- Beccaria, C. (1986). *On crimes and punishments* (H. Paolucci, Trans.). Macmillan. (Original work published 1764)
- Brayne, S. (2021). *Predict and Surveil: Data, Discretion, and the Future of Policing*. Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190684099.001.0001>
- Brenner, S. W. (2010). *Cybercrime: Criminal threats from cyberspace*. ABC-CLIO.
<https://doi.org/10.5040/9798400636554>
- Bryant, C. G. A. (1985). *Positivism in social theory and research*. Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-349-17759-2>
- Carnap, R. (1936). Testability and meaning. *Philosophy of Science*, 3(4), 419–471.
<https://doi.org/10.1086/286432>
- Caspi, A., McClay, J., Moffitt, T. E., Mill, J., Martin, J., Craig, I. W., Taylor, A., & Poulton, R. (2002). Role of genotype in the cycle of violence in maltreated children. *Science*, 297(5582), 851–854.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1072290>
- Chesney-Lind, M., & Chagnon, N. (2016). *Feminist theories of crime*. Oxford University Press.
- Cohen, S. (1972). *Folk devils and moral panics: The creation of the mods and rockers*. MacGibbon & Kee.
- Comte, A. (1975). *Auguste Comte and positivism: The essential writings*. Transaction Publishers.
- Cornish, D. B., & Clarke, R. V. (2014). The rational choice perspective. In M. D. Krohn, A. J. Lizotte, & G. Penly Hall (Eds.), *Handbook on crime and deviance* (pp. 21–44). Springer.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Durkheim, E. (1897). *Suicide: A study in sociology*. The Free Press.
- Durkheim, E. (1997). *The division of labor in society* (W. D. Halls, Trans.). Free Press. (Original work published 1893)
- Fazel, S., Gulati, G., Linsell, L., Geddes, J. R., & Grann, M. (2014). Schizophrenia and violence: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(8), e1000120.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000120>
- Felbab-Brown, V. (2020). *The Sinaloa Cartel and Mexico's Drug War*. Brookings Institute.
- Ferrell, J., Hayward, K., & Young, J. (2004). *Cultural criminology: An invitation*. SAGE Publications.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1362480604044608>

- Ferrell, J., Hayward, K., & Young, J. (2015). *Cultural criminology: An invitation* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
<https://doi.org/10.4135/9781473919969>
- Giddens, A. (2018). *Sociology* (8th ed.). Polity Press.
- Glenn, A. L., & Raine, A. (2014). Neurocriminology: Implications for the punishment, prediction and prevention of criminal behaviour. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 15(1), 54–63.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3640>
- Grabosky, P. N. (2007). The global dimension of cybercrime. *Global Crime*, 6(1), 146–155.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1744057042000297034>
- Gutting, G. (2013). What is a philosophical system? In *What philosophers know* (pp. 40–56). Cambridge University Press.
- Haack, S. (2014). *Evidence matters: Science, proof, and truth in the law*. Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139626866>
- Hagan, F. E. (2017). *Introduction to criminology: Theories, methods, and criminal behavior* (9th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Haraway, D. (2013). Situated knowledges: The science question in feminism and the privilege of partial perspective. In *Women, science, and technology* (pp. 455–472). Routledge.
- Hollis, M. (1994). *The philosophy of social science: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CCOL0521447801>
- Holt, T. J., Bossler, A. M., & Seigfried-Spellar, K. C. (2022). *Cybercrime and Digital Forensics: An Introduction*. Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429343223>
- Horkheimer, M., Adorno, T. W., & Noeri, G. (2002). *Dialectic of enlightenment*. Stanford University Press.
- Kolakowski, L. (1972). *Positivism: A study in human understanding*. Penguin Books.
- Kshetri, N. (2020). The 2020 Twitter Bitcoin scam: Social media as the new frontier of cybercrime. *Journal of Cybersecurity*, 6(2), 213–226.
- Kuhn, T. S. (1962). *The structure of scientific revolutions*. University of Chicago Press.
- Lamb, H. R., Weinberger, L. E., & Gross, B. H. (2004). Mentally ill persons in the criminal justice system: Some perspectives. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 75(2), 107–126.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:PSAQ.0000019753.63627.2c>
- Lombroso, C. (1876). *Criminal man*. Duke University Press.
- Lombroso, C. (1876). *Luomo delinquente*. Bocca.
- Lynch, M. J., Long, M. A., & Stretesky, P. B. (2022). *Green Criminology and Environmental Harm*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Lyon, D. (2015). *Surveillance after Snowden*. Polity Press.
- MacCoun, R., & Reuter, P. (2001). *Drug war heresies: Learning from other vices, times, and places*. Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511754272>
- Martin, G. (2017). *Understanding terrorism: Challenges, perspectives, and issues* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- McGuire, M. (2012). *Technology, crime and justice: The question concerning technomia*. Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203127681>
- Merton, R. K. (1938). Social structure and anomie. *American Sociological Review*, 3(5), 672–682.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2084686>
- Nadelmann, E. A. (2013). Global prohibition regimes: The evolution of norms in international society. *International Organization*, 44(4), 479–526.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818300035384>
- Neumann, P. (2013). *Radicalized: New jihadists and the threat to the West*. I.B. Tauris.
- O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown Publishing.
- Passas, N. (2002). Cross-border crime and the interface between legal and illegal actors. *Security Journal*, 15(1), 21–37.
<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.sj.8340123>
- Perry, W. L., McInnis, B., Price, C. C., Smith, S. C., & Hollywood, J. S. (2013). *Predictive policing: The role of crime forecasting in law enforcement operations*. RAND Corporation.
<https://doi.org/10.7249/RR233>
- Raine, A. (2013). *The anatomy of violence: The biological roots of crime*. Pantheon.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/e569292014-001>
- Rogers, M. (2016). Digital forensics and cyber policing: Issues and challenges. *Cybersecurity Review*, 14(3), 45–57.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-804526-8.00003-4>
- Shelley, L. I. (2014). *Dirty entanglements: Corruption, crime, and terrorism*. Cambridge University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139059039>
- Siegel, L. J. (2019). *Criminology: The core* (7th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Skogan, W. G., & Hartnett, S. M. (1997). *Community policing, Chicago style*. Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/os0/9780195105605.001.0001>
- South, N., & Brisman, A. (2013). *Routledge international handbook of green criminology*. Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203093658>
- Tonry, M. (2011). *Punishing race: A continuing American dilemma*. Oxford University Press.
- UN. (2000). *United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime and the Protocols thereto*. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.
- UN. (2006). *The United Nations Global Counter-Terrorism Strategy*. United Nations. <https://www.un.org/counterterrorism/ctitf/global-counter-terrorism-strategy>
- UNODC. (2024). *World Drug Report 2024*. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.
- UNODC. (2018). *Annual report 2018*. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.
- Vold, G. B., Bernard, T. J., & Snipes, J. B. (2016). *Theoretical criminology* (7th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Walklate, S. (2004). *Gender, crime and criminal justice*. Willan.
- Wall, D. (2015). *Cybercrime: The transformation of crime in the information age*. Polity Press.
- White, R. (2013). *Environmental harm: An eco-justice perspective*. Policy Press.
<https://doi.org/10.46692/9781447300427>
- White, R. (2018). *Transnational environmental crime: Toward an eco-global criminology*. Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203804001>
- Williams, F. P., & McShane, M. D. (2018). *Criminological theory* (6th ed.). Pearson.
- Yar, M., & Steinmetz, K. F. (2024). *Cybercrime and Society* (4th ed.). Sage.