# Legitimacy and the Swedish Security Service's Attempts to Mobilize Muslim Communities

Abby Peterson\*

Department of Sociology, University of Gothenburg, 405 30 Gothenburg, Sweden

Abstract: The paper addresses how the Swedish Security Service (SÄPO) is attempting to mobilize the support of the Muslim communities in their counterterrorism strategy, together with their measures to prevent radicalisation processes among Muslim young people. Under what circumstances can we find voluntary cooperation by Muslim Swedes in the state's an ti-terror policing efforts and under what circumstances can we expect that voluntary cooperation will be withheld? The analysis focuses two intertwined factors which I argue influence voluntary cooperation: the potential unintended consequences of the Security Service's outreach activities and the link between cooperation, institutional legitimacy and procedural justice. It is argued that both the 'soft' and 'hard' aspects of the Swedish Security Service's preventive, respective control and intelligence strategies, in terconnect to produce unanticipated and unwanted consequences. The Swedish Security Service's outreach programme can have the unintended consequence that instead of counteracting radicalization processes, the programme, which targets practicing Muslims as per definition potential terrorists, can very well lead to radicalization among young Muslims with experiences of misrecognition.

**Keywords:** Counterterror strategies, procedural justice, religious profiling, Swedish Security Service, unintended consequences.

Hasisi, Alpert and Flynn (2009: 180) m aintain that however s ophisticated gov ernment h omeland s ecurity is. wi they en a high I evel o f c ompetence i n counterterrorism s trategies and tactics at the level of the local police, "the best plans and strategies will only be effective if they are carried out in active partnership with the community". Nevertheless, they point out that while countless s tudies have been conducted on the policing of t errorism in recent years, "we know little about how t he polic e mo bilize c ommunities t o cooperate with t hem i n ord er t o prev ent ac ts o f terrorism" (Ibid). The underlying question posed in this article i s: Under what circumstances can we find voluntary cooperation by Muslim Swedes in the state's anti-terror policing efforts and under what circumstances can we expect that voluntary cooperation will be withheld?

Sweden has adopted an ant iterror programme that more or I ess c ontains the same c omponents as the United Kingdom's CONTEST programme. Similarly, the Swedish programme gi ves pri ority to the prevent strategy, that is, the aim to prevent recruitment into terrorist a ctivities. Ho wever, in contrast with the UK counterterror strategy (P oynting and Mason 200 6), Sweden has never singled out Muslim extremism, but has always included leftwing and particularly rightwing extremism. Nevertheless, in regards to their measures to counterterrorism strategy to prevent radicalisation processes among Muslim young people, and in stark

contrast w ith measures to c ounter I eftwing and rightwing ex tremism, the S wedish Security S ervice is targeting faith c ommunities, i.e. prac ticing Muslims living in Sweden. By c asting a wi de ne t in their counterterrorism measures, the Service has introduced a 'religious profiling', which in effect risks criminalizing Muslims *per se.* 

In order to address the research question posed in this art icle I a nalyse t he i nherent c ontradictions imposed in the 'soft aspects' of the Swedish Security Service's "ou treach ac tivities" to introduce a di alogue with Muslim communities and the Service's undeniable bread and butter mandate—surveillance and control. This analysis focuses two intertwined factors which I argue i nfluence v oluntary c ooperation: the potential unintended c onsequences of the Security Service's outreach ac tivities and the link bet ween cooperation, institutional legitimacy and procedural justice. I will first interrogate the 'soft as pects' of the S wedish S ecurity Service's outreach ac tivities in conjunction with their potential uni ntentional nega tive c onsequences f or Muslim Swedes' v oluntary c ooperation in the s tate's counterterrorism pro gramme be fore turning t o the Service's 'hard as pects' of s urveillance and c ontrol, which can hypothetically under mine t he t rust an d perceived I egitimacy of the Service, a Iso I eading to non-cooperation. It is argued that bo th the 'soft' and 'hard' as pects o ft he S wedish S ecurity Service's preventive, res pective c ontrol a nd i ntelligence strategies, intertwine to produce what Lasse Lindekilde (2012) c alls "i atrognic ef fects", t hat i s, unanti cipated and unwanted consequences. The empirical scope of

E-ISSN: 1929-4409/12 © 2012 Lifescience Global

<sup>\*</sup>Address c orresponding to this author at the Department of S ociology, B ox 720, University of G othenburg, 405 30 G othenburg, S weden; Tel: +46 (0)31-773 4793; Fax: +46 (0)31-773 4764; E-mail: abby.peterson@sociology.gu.se

the study only allows for analytical conclusions, which point towards (tentative) causal relations between the Service's out reach ac tivities and pot ential non -cooperation.

### THE SWEDISH STATE'S COUNTERTERRORISM PROGRAMME

In 2010 the Government commissioned the Swedish Security Service to author a report describing violence-promoting I slamist extremism in S weden, the radicalisation pr ocesses di scernible i n v iolencepromoting Islamist circles in Sweden and the tools and strategies t hat c an be us ed t o c ounter radi calisation (Violence-promoting Islamist extremism in Sweden, 2010). In t his report t hey c onclude that v iolencepromoting I slamist ex tremism ex ists in S weden and constitutes a po tential threat. T hey c laim t o hav e identified j ust u nder 200 i ndividuals, mostly m en, domiciled i n S weden who hav e participated in or supported v iolence-promoting I slamist ex tremism i n 2009 or I ater. W ithin t his I arger group they hav e isolated a m uch s maller group o findividuals, which they contend have the intent and capability to carry out a terrorist action, in most cases abroad. Subsequently, the rep ort e mphasizes that these pheno mena are a t this time limited and s hould mainly be addres sed with more f ocus on prev entive m easures t o s top t hem becoming a major problem for Swedish society. While the report highlights efforts to facilitate socalled deradicalisation, such as activities to help those leaving extremist c ircles<sup>1</sup>, t hey regard t his s trategy as complement to the main's trategy of prevention. The authors argue that de-radicalisation may risk having the opposite effect by instead driving forward radicalisation and that prevention instead of trying to de-radicalize active individuals is more resource-effective (p. 93).

Sweden has drawn up a na tional s trategy to address the t hreat f rom t errorism (Government Communication 20 07/08: 64) and I ike the Uni ted Kingdom, the Netherlands, and neighbouring Denmark, Sweden in 2011 has also adopted a national strategy to c ounter t he pheno menon o f ex tremism o r radicalisation (Handlingsplan för att värna demokratin mot våldsbejakande extremism. Regeringens skrivelse

2011/12:44;). The nat ional s trategy e mphasizes prevention m easures t hat abov e all are intended to strengthen de mocratic a ttitudes a mong marginalized groups perceived as posing at hreat, above all young people in depressed neighbourhoods. The greater part of the concrete measures listed in the report has been consigned to the Bureau of Youth Affairs to distribute various project funds, together with the Council of Faith Societies to disstribute demooracy promi educational projects to de facto Muslim congregations. In reg ard to the m easures d irected t owards the Swedish Muslim population, the report describes what is perc eived as the pro blem by rel ying on what has become a prev ailing di scourse o f radi calization an d theories of radicalization processes focusing issues of lack of i ntegration, paral lel c ommunities, and an tiliberal a ttitudes am ong M uslim m inorities unders tood as prov iding a "br eeding groun d" f or radi calization (Bigo and Tsoukala 2008; Sedgwick 2010).

In the nat ional s trategy t o c ounter v iolence-promoting extremism the role of the Swedish Security Service is awarded one s hort paragraph, emphasizing the Na tional Strategy t o Coun ter Terrori sm (s kr. 2007/08:64). In this s trategy programme the Swedish Security Service bears the responsibility to:

- Prevent recruitment into terrorism
- Avert threats from existing players
- P rotect from terrorism

This is mo re o r le ss id entical to the p rogramme declaration of the Counter-Terrorism Cooperation Council, which the Service took the initiative to create in 2005. The Council is a collaboration of 14 S wedish government agencies² to address the threat of terrorism. The Security Service acts as the Council's convenor and the Council's aims are to improve the participating agencies' collective ability to "handle the consequences of a terrorist attack (p. 77), in addition to the three aims listed above³.

The first aim stressed in the report appears to form the strategic backbone of the State's counterterrorism

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The me asure is comparable to the British "Channel" initiative which works at the local level with small numbers of "at risk" young people identified through multi-agency partnerships, which utilises a de-radicalisation approach (Thomas 2010: 450). In S weden it is not the S ecurity S ervice that c arries out deradicalisation initiatives, rather the National Board for Youth Affairs has been commissioned to help young people leave groups that promote political violence. In Sweden this de-radicalistion approach has only been implemented in conjunction with rightwing extremist youth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The ag encies i nclude the Economic Cr ime A uthority, the Na tional D efence Radio Establishment, the Armed Forces, the Prison and Probation Service, the Coast Guard, the Migration Board, the Civil Contingency Agency, the National Police Board, the Radiation Safety Authority, the Security Service, the Defence Research A gency, the T ransport A gency, the Cus toms and P rosecution Authority; none of these agencies are involved in so-called integration policies.

<sup>3</sup>These ar e mor e or less the s ame c omponents h ighlighted in the U K's CONTEST antiterror programme: "Pursue, Protect, Prepare and Prevent".

programme—de facto f ormulated by the S wedish Security Service.

#### THE STUDY'S METHODOLOGY

In order to ac quire an unders tanding as to how Muslim c ommunities perc eive the Swedish S ecurity Service's counterterror prevention strategy the study is built upon m ultiple em pirical sources. I mad Rasan, a doctoral s tudent at t he De partment of University o f Lun d, c onducted i nterviews wi th representatives from f our M uslim umbrella organisations (f ive i nterviews; al I i n S tockholm) an d interviews with members from five different Muslim faith communities, i.e. Mosques in Gothenburg. The au thor conducted interviews with three members from Muslim faith c ommunities in G othenburg. T he thirteen interviews i ncluded i nterviews with twelve m en between the age of twenty-one and thirty-five and one woman in her f ifties. The res pondents were as ked if and how t he S ecurity S ervice had approac hed them; how they had interpreted the 'dialogue' sought by the Service; and lastly, they were as ked to more generally reflect on the Service's actions to wards Muslims. As the s tudy was perc eived s ensitive t he res pondents were as sured anony mity and s ubsequently they, and their organisations or Mosques, are not identified in the text. The interviews were recorded when permitted and transcribed or careful no tes were t aken duri ng the interview, which were later transcribed.

Most of the respondents in our study referred to specific actions taken against Muslims in Gothenburg, which they perceived were initiated and conducted by the Security Service. Subsequently, the study includes germane n ewspaper ar ticles, w hich wer supplemented by relevant websites and blogs, which provided statements by official spokesmen for some of the Muslim c ommunities that our res pondents wer e members of. As none of our respondents were directly involved in these actions t hat gar nered ex tensive media attention, these sources provide the backdrop pondents c onstructed their from wh ich our res narratives. Las tly, we hav et aken i nto ac count the report Violence-promoting Islamist extremism in Sweden (2010), which is the only official document that describes and explains the Security Service's strategy to prev ent radi calisation proc esses am ong Muslim young people.

## NEW GOVERNANCE SPACES OPENED BY THE SWEDISH SECURITY SERVICE

The S wedish S tate's c ounterterrorism progra mme stresses the importance of prevention and the idea:

is to make it more difficult for people who are al ready v iolence-promoting to a ttract new supporters, and to make fewer people receptive to and a ttracted by v iolence-promoting i deologies (*Violence-promoting Islamist extremism in Sweden*, 2010: 78).

To m eet t his aim the S ecurity S ervice has since 2003 conducted what they call outreach activities. The objective of the outreach activities is to establish direct contacts with actors strategically important on the local level, such as community police, city district councils and civil society associations. In particular the Service seeks to es tablish contact with and create good relationships with Sweden's practicing Muslims. The outreach a ctivities o f the Se curity Se rvice a re a n attempt to c reate ne w s paces o f gov ernance, wher e Muslim c ommunities (and individuals) are 'invited' to participate in the State's counterterrorism programme. These "i nvited s paces" (Cornwa II 200 4) ref lect wha t Raco (2003: 78) des cribes as the State's "increased concern wi th de fining and s haping 'appropriate' individual and c ommunity c onduct, regul ation an d control". According to Rose (1999), communities, and I would argue in Sweden es pecially communities, hav e bec ome z ones t o be probed, mapped, classified, documented and accounted for. I argue that the Security Service's outreach activities are the means by wh ich Muslim c ommunities ar e investigated and mapped through intelligence gathering and by which the Service in the long-term strives to shape the values and conduct of Swedish Muslims in general.

The initial ou treach activities were c onducted on a small scale, first making contact with Muslim umbrella organisations and national organisations. According to the Security Service since then regular meetings have taken place with both national organisations and local congregations in Stockholm, Go thenburg, Malmö, Umeå, Uppsala and Örebro to achieve a dialogue with Muslim communities. The service acknowledges that cooperation es tablished with Muslim communities is fundamental for their efforts.

Through a long-term ef fort to b uild t rust and rel ationships with representatives of Muslim organisations, the Security Service hopes to coreate a colimate where radicalisation and volume iolence-promoting extremism con an be provened to hrough cooperation (Violence-promoting Islamist extremism in Sweden, 2010: 78).

What we found i n our s tudy i s t hat t he representatives of v arious M uslim c ongregations i n Gothenburg a nd Stockholm we i nterviewed den ied formal c ontact with the Swedish Security S ervice, but they did indicate t hat m ost I ikely other M uslim organisations than their own do have different forms of cooperation with the Security Service. A few conceded that the Security Service had approached them at their mosque and at tempted to question them, but they emphasize that they are reluctant to en gage in conversations.

They have tried to contact us and have come here (to the mosque), just like other police or others tate institutions. Some police of ficers come here and record Friday sermons and prayer. However, we did not cooperate with the Security Service, even if they come here and try to discuss with us.

The report does not offer the reader a pi cture as to how these so-called outreach meetings are conducted nor how Muslim organisations have responded to these initiatives. We are only informed that their contacts with Muslim communities are good, which is not, however, unequivocally ref lected in our study. In contrast our study indicates that the outreach activities have been imposed against a background of widespread mistrust. One respondent said that the Security Service officers were "very clever when I istening to us, for example, they asked us if we have any problems. They tended to answer us and listen to our criticisms". Another clue as to how t hese ou treach meetings are c onducted i s revealed in an interview with a young manthathad been c alled t o a "d ialogue" i n ear ly 2009 wi th two Security Service officers.

They as ked m e what we do when we meet, what we talk about, what I do in my leisure time, what I think a bout jihad a nd whether I would consider whether I would call them if one of my students planned to travel to Iraq. ... The Se curity Se rvice thinks that we a re a II p otential t errorists and s uicide bo mbers j ust bec ause we practice our religion.

We found that the outreach activities designed to initiate a dialogue with Muslim communities are for the most part experienced rather as occasions where many have felt that they have been interrogated.

The report states that the outreach activities give the S ecurity S ervice t he opportunity to exchange information and c ontribute to a newering gues tions thereby av oiding m isunderstandings. Ho wever, given that t he S wedish Security Service i s the I east transparent of all of Sweden's governmental agencies with respect to the principle of secrecy applying to their on-going and pas ti nvestigations, it is difficult to envision how and to what extent the Service can reciprocally ex change k nowledge or t he degree to which t hey c an ans wer c oncrete gues tions. In o ther words, the quality o f the di alogue ac hieved i s debatable. A res pondent s tated that t he s o-called dialogues were not in fact dialogues. "It is rather two parts who n eed to talk and cooperate around certain issues". However, how trust can be realized given this institutional situation is not discussed in the report.

The only org anisational repres entatives that ad mit that they have regular contacts with the Security Service are those from umbrella organisations, which in itself is not controversial as these contacts are to be expected given Sweden's corporate structure and that these organisations have received governmental funding. In these cases of ficers from the Security Service book a time and come directly to their offices. According to most of our respondents, the resulting conversations are more or less directed to surveillance gathering.

They only wan tinformation from us ... what do we k now. Is there someone that we think is a potential extremist and so on. ... I think that their outreach programme is good if they wan to bridge the g ap between the Security Service and Muslim communities, but if they only want to retrieve information and perceive me as a link to extremists this programme is going down the wrong path.

On the other hand, one of our respondents (from a national u mbrella organisation) gav e us ano ther somewhat divergent picture as to how these meetings transpire.

The dialogue that takes place between the Security Service and Muslim organisations focus on everyday problems that these organisations face and the Security Service has tried to help Muslim organisations overcome these problems. In fact, the Security Service has helped

Muslim organisations with different issues, among others, t enant c ases, t o i nvite quests f rom ot her c ountries, bui Iding permits, and so on. In other cases, the Security S ervice has at tempted to s peak with the immigration of fice in order to follow-up M uslim as ylum s eekers to hel p them. Muslim organ isations think that in these cases the dialogue is excellent.

However, this res pondent was s ceptical as whether the Security S ervice w as t he a ppropriate governmental agency to solve these integration issues.

> Why not the Department of Integration or the National Youth Board? It is very good that someone f rom t he S tate takes an interest i n our prob lems, bu t why t he Security Service? It is very strange that the Security S ervice d eals wi th t hese kinds of i ssues. T he qu estion re mains: what would the Security Service like to get back from us? Do t hey want information from us? ... Moreover, the term "prevent activities" i s v ery probl ematical b ecause these ac tivities regard M uslims as a problem and threat to society. That is why the gov ernment has c ommissioned t he Security S ervice to start a dialogue with Muslims.

While t he respondent abov e admits t hat the meetings w ith the S ecurity Service have resulted in some bene fits for Muslim communities, he is more or less critical to the fact that it is the Security Service that is opening up new spaces of governance, whereby the state is seeking to engage with Muslim communities to prevent proc esses o f rad icalization. In s hort, he i s critical to the fact that it is the Security Service that has extended the 'invitation' to these invited spaces of governance and not more appropri ate gov ernmental agencies with integration agendas. So despite what he sees as some benefits that have been attained through their meetings with the Security Service, he appears to be c onvinced t hat the 'hidden a genda' is, i n rea lity, intelligence gathering. Another respondent claimed that there i s s omething i nherently wrong "t hat the government uses t he S ecurity S ervice t o initiate a dialogue with Muslims".

The res pondents ex press a s imilar res ervation to the Service's t argeting of a II M uslims with those Lindekilde (2012a an d 2012b) found in Den mark an d Thomas (2010: 448) found in the U.K. The Swedish Security S ervice ap pears t o hav e made t he s ame mistake as the British Preventing Violent Extremism programme "approaching an entire faith community as a whole, while forcing particular political and doc trinal issues that have only limited meaning to most Muslims going aboutt heir ordinary, day-t o-day lives". The Service's outreach ac tivities s ubsequently t end to engage S wedish M uslims as an 'at risk's et of communities.

It would a ppear that Muslim representatives perceive the outreach programme as more or less a 'one-way d ialogue' w here the sole o bjective of the contacts is to gather intelligence and not to bridge the gap be tween t he Security Service a nd Muslim communities.

> I a m v ery di sappointed with the Security Service bec ause they place alloft heir resources in controlling Muslim extremists and forget that there are o ther forms of extremism that are coming from right wing groups and I eft au tonomous groups . These groups pos e a danger f democracy in Sweden and when I see that democracy in Sweden is threatened I am disappointed. ... I would appreciate it if the Security S ervice t ook t heir responsibility and deal tw ith ex tremism ac ross t he board, and not only Muslim extremists. We feel that we are constantly under threat, particularly when we receive threatening mail a to ur office. Ex tremists have searched after my home address and sent me threatening mail. I would appreciate it if the Security Service took these threats seriously. ... As a Muslim I w ant to fe el safe from ra cists a nd i t i s the Security Service's duty to pro tect me from these threats, just as they have to protect non-Muslims from fundamentalist groups.

Research has consistently found that ethnic minority groups feel that as victims of crime, they are not taken seriously and the res ponse by the police is often regarded as inadequate (e.g. Sharp and Atherton 2007;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Even the uniformed police in Sweden have complained about the 'one-way' nature of the Security Service's dialogue. The informants from the 'open police' authority in a study of inter-agency partnership in the anti-terror programme claimed that the Security S ervice de mands i nformation, but does no t reciprocate with feedback (Ranstorp and Dos Santos 2009: 19).

Desmoyers-Davis 2003; Spencer and Ho ugh 2000;). It would app ear t hat the o utput of the outreach programme falls well's hort of its intentions. "In the wake of the terrorist attacks on 11 September 2001 and the prejudice that many Muslims then faced, and still face, the Security Service a imstoshow that it is there to serve everyone, as an obvious cooperation partner" (Violence-promoting Islamist extremism in Sweden, 2010: 78; my emphasis;). If it is the Swedish Security Service's duty to serve and protect everyone this respondent is not convinced that the Service is fulfilling its duty.

In addition to meetings with representatives of Muslim interests in order to promote cooperation with Muslim communities in Sweden, a ccording to the Security Service they have met as well with individual practising M uslims, par ticularly y oung m en, much i n line with the Danish Security and Intelligence Service's "preventive talks" (L indekilde 2 012). Thi s was no t discussed in the report and we only have the accounts of the young men who have been called to their offices. However, these 'meetings' would appear to be solely to secure i nformation. What has been reported on Websites and b logs, together w ith i nterview confirmation in our study, is that the young men contacted feel that they have no option but to come to these 'meetings'. "If we don't agree to meet with them they will think that we are concealing something". This was t he s ame i nterpretation t hat on e of ou r respondents provided regarding the Security Service's "invitations" to meet for a di scussion. "If you refuse to cooperate they will think that you have something to hide." Another res pondent s aid t hat he, as a 'second generation' S wedish M uslim, can criticize t hem and argue with them "but the first generation men are very careful and they feel uns afe when talking with the Security Service. The old men feel that they are forced to talk to the Security Service". In other words, these invitations are perceived as a subtle form of coercion reminding us that in the "invited spaces" of governance it is t he S tate, in t his case, t he S wedish S ecurity Service, that defines 'the rules of the game' and sets the parameters of participation (cf. Taylor 2007: 302).

By attempting to mobilize all Muslims, the failure of Muslim individuals or organisations to comply with this mobilization m akes them s uspect in the eyes of the counterterrorist system (cf. Akram and Johnson 2002; Kundani 2009: 15 regard ing UK). But as Kundani points outt, Muslims may very well avoid a Security Service's invitation to cooperate for a number of reasons that have nothing to do with support for violent

terrorist tactics. I would argue that the reluctance of Muslim c ommunities t o en gage wi th the S wedish Security Service is a form of community resistance to cooptation and colonialisation in order to maintain their credibility and legitimacy with their congregations. Even if many of the informants in our study tended to regard the Service's 'invitations' as more or less mandatory to comply with, they are not forced to enter these ne w governance s paces with full c opperation. Morison (2000: 131) argues that there are a lways s ome organisations and c ommunities that rem ain "incompletely dom esticated". Many of the representatives of t he Muslim c ommunities w e interviewed bear witness to tactics of non-compliance with the S wedish S ecurity S ervice t hat can be interpreted as a form of resistance to cooptation and colonialisation. They ei ther refuse to talk to of ficials from the S ervice or ref use to prov ide t hem with information. For ex ample, one y oung m an who wa s interviewed claimed that Security Service showed him pictures of other politically or religiously active Muslims and as ked him if he could identify them. He reported that he did recognize all of the men but denied that he knew t hem. In s hort, h e c omplied to meet with the Security Service, but he ac tively withheld information. Another s trategy ex pressed by a repres entative from an umbrella organisation was to meet with the Security Service c ollectively. " If the S ecurity S ervice wants to meet with Muslim organisations, then we are seven organisations in one appointment". In this way they tried to infuse the 'dialogues' with the Security Service with a de gree of transparency for the congregations they represent. They were extremely reluctant to meet with officers from the Security Service alone.

Sweden's policy of multiculturalism has traditionally engaged with the country's immigrant and refugee population in terms of ethnic background, that is, the state on local and national levels has encouraged and supported ethnic minority organisations and teaching in mother tongue I anguages. The m ulticultural model seeks to support cultural difference and awards ethnic minorities s pecified c ultural ri ghts. I mmigrant organisations were more or less organi sations t hat encouraged and protected the cultural identity of ethnic groups in Swedish society (Dahlström 2007). However, integration policy has more and more gone b eyond ethnicity to focus religiosity. Since the latter 1990s the Swedish State has i ncreasingly s ought multiethnic partnerships with so called faith communities. Dinham and Lowndes (2008: 824) arguet hat within public discourse in Britain only Muslims have faith, "and a

potentially dang erous f aith a t t hat". Th is c urrent i n public di scourse appears in Sweden as wel I, wher e faith i s di scursively c onstructed as a proper ty o f the "Other". Partnerships h ave be en s ought with the various Muslim communities in the country, which are perceived in general as vulnerable to p ersuasion to support terrorism. In our study this targeting of Muslim faith communities appears to have led to a widespread sense of victimization (see Lindekilde 2012a and 2012b for similar conclusions from his Danish study;).

When the suicide bomb attack was carried out last year on Drottninggatan, it seems that t he S ecurity Service's procedures were c ompletely u nacceptable. A fter t he assault their terrorist expert Magnus Ranstorp referred in the media to Muslims as s uspected to lie beh ind t he at tack. I was very angry because they pointed their finger at all Muslims, stereotyping Muslims as ev erything wrong in society. We as Muslims are al so exposed to threats just like everyone el se. We d id not receive a SMS to vacate the street because we are Muslims. It was not only a threat to Swedes, it was also at hreat to Muslims and democracy. When the terrorist attack took pl ace in Norway Magnus Rans torp was quick to once again point his finger at Muslims as su spects behind this attack. (respondent from an u mbrella organisation)

The Swedish Security Service's attempt to mobilize all Muslims is a form of religious profiling that, in effect, constructs Muslims i nto as uspect c ommunity, a criminal threatening Other. In Lindekilde's (2012b: 17) study, t he m ajority o f M uslims t hey i nterviewed perceived the Danish radicalization policies, which they felt t argeted al l practicing M uslims i n ge neral, as a misrecognition of their value as citizens. In short, the Danish Muslims in their study felt that the Danish action plan s tigmatized all M uslims as potential terrorists. Their conclusions dovetail with those we reached in our Swedish study. Ahmed Al-Mofty, who is the President of the I slamic Information A ssociation and t foundation behind the new mosque in Go thenburg, claims that he does not want to have anything to do with the Security Service.

They are so unprofessional. Their actions do not further or f it in with a de mocratic society. People feel harassed, they

accuse peopl e w ithout s upporting evidence and say that they have facts that they don't. We M uslims feel accused all the time as i f w e were c riminals. (http://www.gp.se/nyheter/goteborg/1.7255 39—garna-polisen-inte-sapo)

Waddington (1999: 41) argues that the "police patrol the bound aries of citizenship: the citizenship of those who are 'respectable' is secured, while those who attack the state exclude themselves from citizenship". Between t hese pol ar ex tremes we f ind a grey z one wherein those whose claim to citizenship is insecure and needs repeatedly to be n egotiated. Following this line of thought contact with Swedish Security Service officers inherently challenges claims to citizenship, of being valued members in the broader society. Contacts with the Swedish Security Service officers, can either be interpreted as communicating that one is a valued member of the community and that on e's group, sic Muslims, are v alued in Swedish's ociety or not. Encounters with the S ecurity S ervice can at best confirm the individual's social standing and his or her group's social standing, but there are many possibilities that t he enc ounter will und ermine the s tatus of the contacted i ndividual res ulting i n res entment a s witnessed in the narrative above.

While the report highlights prevention, emphasising integration s trategies, as oft s ecurity a genda, this agenda has inherent contradictions with the counterterrorism progr amme's ai ms t o ov ert t hreats f rom existing players and to protect from terrorism, classical hard s ecurity agend as bas ed on s urveillance and control. The c ritics of the B ritish Prevent progra mme point out t hat Muslim c ommunities with who m the government has sought to engage were suspicious of an engage ment plan that was seen as entrenched in the surveillance and police c ontrol o f Muslim populations more generally (Kundnani 2009; Thomas 2010). The two logics of engagement would appear to be at odds. On the one hand the Swedish Security Service, like its counterpart in Britain, seeks to engage with Muslim communities as a resource in the national and local state's integration efforts, on the other hand the Security Service is operationally driven by surveillance and risk logic. While the Security Service invited M uslim's takeholders to par ticipate in a workshop that provided valuable insight and input to their report Violence-promoting Islamist extremism in Sweden (2010: 78), this is a nunus ual mode of information gathering. Furthermore, we do not know to what extent that the concluding reflections in the report

actually i mpact t he opera tionalisation o ft he Counterterrorism P rogramme. The I ogic of perc eiving Muslim groups as c ivil s ociety repres entatives and stakeholders is by all accounts new f or the S wedish Security S ervice and we have little evidence that this logic is not superseded by its stronger and traditional risk logic of surveillance and c ontrol, leading to an engagement with M uslims as suspects rather than citizens (cf. Birt 2009 regarding the UK).

The rol e of i nitiator for a radi calization prevention strategy hinging on integration and a nti-discrimination measures, whi ch w as pus hed upon the Security Service by politicians, is in itself an a nomaly given its traditional r ole of providing intelligence and security. Not unpre dictably, the re presentatives of the Muslim communities that we interviewed were s ceptical to the appropriateness of the Security Service's soft approach: "W hy not the Dep artment of Integration or the Na tional Youth Board?". Ra ther they thought that the approach was a rus e f or i ts do minant I ogic of surveillance and pol ice c ontrol: "Do t hey want information from us?".

#### RECIPROCAL TRUST AND PROCEDURAL JUSTICE

Researchers and prac titioners al ike hav e emphasized the importance of police-community relations based on reciprocal trust for police-community cooperation. This holds true not only for policing in general, but also for counterterrorism or 'high-policing' in particular. As Oliver (2006: 192) points out,

citizens' willingness to provide information on terrorism to the police can in no way be taken f or gran ted, as s uch c ontact between t he p olice and the c ommunity demands a significant degree of reciprocal trust.

When t he pub lic perc eives pol ice ac tions as legitimate and f ostering proc edural justice, i.e. due process of law and fairness to all, there is an increase in genera I s atisfaction a nd c ooperation (Hough and Roberts 2004; Tyler 2006; S kogan 2006; S kogan and Frydl 20 04; Bradford et al. 2 009; Ja ckson and Sunshine 2007; Jackson and Bradford 2009; Sindall et al. 2012;). The e ffectiveness of the state's proactive counterterrorism me asures—intelligence gathering, surveillance and preventive programmes—rests upon the degree to which relevant populations have developed sufficient trust and confidence in the police, both state and local (cf. Lyons 1999).

Then, what are the policing s trategies, w hich enhance, al ternative di minish, publ ic c ooperation? Tyler (2006) distinguishes between two mechanisms by which policing can reduce levels of social disorder: the instrumental and the normative. In the former model of social control individuals calculate the expected costs and b enefits from c ompliance w ith the l awo r cooperation with the police. They comply or cooperate only when t he benefits out weigh t he costs. The alternative m odel e mphasizes se If-regulatory, normative motivations for compliance and cooperation. People comply and cooperate when they believe that authorities are I egitimate and en titled to be obey ed. The research of Tyler among others has established a robust link between cooperation, institutional legitimacy and the concept of procedural justice, i.e. the fairness of police procedures.

The f airness of pol ice proc edures depends, for example, on t he manner in which street stops are conducted, whether the police are neutral and transparent in their a pplication of le gal r ules, whether they explain their actions and seek input from community members before making decisions, and whether they treat people with dignity and respect. Judgements about procedural justice have been found to influence the perceived legitimacy of law enforcement and thus to a ffect willingness to comply and to cooperate (Tyler, Schulhofer and Huq 2010: 367).

The s elf-regulatory normative m odel has be en widely supported in studies of policing ordinary crime. Whether t his m odel a pplies as wel I t o anti-terror policing is the question posed by Tyler, Schulhofer and Huq (2010). They tested the relative significance of the normative model in the context of antiterrorism policing within domestic U.S. Muslim communities contrasting deterrence an d I egitimacy as ri val ex planations f or cooperation. These res earchers found a s correlation be tween perc eptions of proc edural justice and bot h per ceived legi timacy and w illingness t o cooperate among Muslim American communities in the context o fant iterrorism pol icing. Fur thermore t hey found that religiosity, cultural differences, and political background hav e at b est weak c onnections wi th cooperation. Subsequently, they argue that procedural justice considerations must be infused in the design of antiterrorism pol icing s trategies c oncerning Muslim Americans in the U.S.

The study finds that the procedural justice of the police when implementing antiterror policing policies s hapes their I egitimacy and al so influences bot higher al cooperation and willingness to contact and alert law enforcement to terror threats (p. 386).

A respondent in our study de fined his relationship with the Security Service in the following words:

> We f eel o ffended when t he S ecurity Service says that we want to cooperate with y ou and we want to improve our relations with you and a tthe same time they take o ffensive ac tions against Muslims in a mosque in Gothenburg and point their weapons against children there. There is systematic discrimination against Muslims when the Security Service deals solely with Muslim extremism and not non-Muslim extremism.

A legitimacy-based model of policing suggests that the public evaluates police, courts, and the law primarily in terms of how authority is exercised (Tyler 2006). In the following pages we will counter pose the empirical examples of t he ac tions of t he Swedish Security Service, in cooperation with the regional police authority, in connection with the apprehension and arrest of s uspected Muslim t errorists in G othenburg with e lements in the concept of procedural justice. Procedural justice has two elements: the quality of the process used to make decisions, and the quality of the interpersonal t reatment people receive when deal ing with authorities. Spalek et al. (2008) have found that the 'hard' an ti-terror policing approaches us ed in the UK, including intensified s top and s earch, high profile raids leading to non-convictions, and the perception of an es calation i n aggres sive at tempts at rec ruiting informers, are "c reating barri ers t o good pol community rel ations and s ubsequent p artnership" (p. 9). These approaches have I ed to breaches in the quality o f proc edural j ustice enc ountered by Muslim communities in the UK and, according to Spalek et al. (2008), has helped to create a sense of grievance and feelings that they are "s uspect communities". Can we find similar elements in the Swedish Security Service's 'hard' policing approaches, which can be expected to likewise under mine the intentions of their soft security prevention programme?

December 11, 2010 i n S tockholm wi tnessed the only Muslim terrorist action, which has taken place in

Sweden; an aborted suicide bombing which caused the death of the Swedish Muslim bomber but did not result in further deaths or injuries among the people shopping in the street at the time. The man had reported the motive for his action to be influenced by the artist Lars Vilks' draw ings of the Prophet M ohammad as a s o called traffic roundabout dog. Since the inception of the 2003:148 A ct on Cri minal Res ponsibility f or T errorist Offences, 40 i ndividuals hav e bee n pl aced under arrest, few have been brough tto trial and on ly two have resulted in convictions (at the time of writing). In the following paragraphs I will briefly account for some of the more spectacular raids a gainst su spected Muslim terrorists in West Gotalands Police District.

On Oc tober 30, 201 0 in the early morning hours three families were brought in for questioning by the national s wat team, whi ch were he avily arm ed. The doors of t heir ho mes were brok en down a nd the families held under gunpoint, including three children. Three men w ere s ubsequently de tained f or f urther questioning in regards to suspicion of preparation of a terrorist b ombing a gainst the city's major shopping mall. The men were shortly a fter released from questioning a nd a fter ten days al I c harges wer e dropped. It was later revealed that the warrant for their arrest was dec ided by the s pecial pros ecutor for terrorist offences, without him having read the witness interrogations, whom he had nonetheless described as highly c redible. The wi tness, at hirty-year-old wo man with a I imited comprehension of Arabic, had heard a very tall A frican man s cream into a mobile telephone about a bomb in the mall. The single witness in a later interrogation retracted her statement, now claiming that the m an was nei ther t all nor A frican. One of suspects ad mitted to talking in a mobile about his 'exploding headache', which was an expression used in a highly to elevised commercial for a hea preparation highlighting an et hnic minority describing t he pai n i n hi s h ead. ( Göteborgs-Posten, 2011.12.16, p. 17)

The men, with backgrounds in Syria, were a fforded an i nformal apology by the Di strict's pol ice c hief Ingemar J ohansson. Whi le J ohansson ex pressed hi s regrets ov er t he m istake, he was repor ted to hav e explained to the men that as they came from countries and cultures where terrorism was common they could not be expected to be treated like an ordinary Swedish family from the countryside (http://www.aip.nu/default. aspx?page=3&nyhet=41484). In c onjunction with this episode ei ght m en were de tained i n a m osque f or

questioning and t he m osque was s earched, whi ch contributed to further alienating the Muslim community.

Zana Muhammed, when he was active in Sweden's Young Muslims in the early 2000s, agreed to meet with Security Service officers. He thought that the meeting was a little exciting. They told him about their activities and which organisations were "dangerous". However:

I would n ever dot hat today. I have I ost hope a fter how the Security S ervice has treated M uslims in Got henburg. When they break i nto the homes of innocent families and point their automatic weapons at them in front of their wives and children. I don't trust them. What happened to those men I ast fall could just as well happen to me. (h ttp://www.gp.se/nyheter/goteborg/1.725539—garna-polisen-inte-sapo)

Omar Mustafa, t he P resident of t he I slamic Association, spoke in the same vein.

What ha ppened i n Go thenburg a nd Gårdsten's Mosque was a catastrophe. ... At the same time that they i nitiate a dialogue they make these kinds of mistakes. They would have never entered a Christian church in this way. The biggest problem is that when they do something wrong they can't explain to us why. Many Muslim as sociations are tired of this. (http://www.gp.se/nyheter/goteborg/1.7255 39—garna-polisen-inte-sapo)

September 11<sup>th</sup> 2011 four men were arrested in a high-profile raid for planning a terrorist attack on the Gothenburg arts centre Röda Sten-ten years after the 9/11 a ttacks. This was a raid which garnered global media at tention. Originally the men were rep orted in the m edia t o hav e pl anned a t errorist a ttack wi th automatic weapons and bombs. A few days later one of the men was released from custody, however, three of the men remained held in custody for several months and t heir de tainment was s hrouded by an un usual degree of s ecrecy. The s pecial prosecutor released neither the charges nor the grounds for the charges to either the de tained s uspects' I awyers or t he media. First a fter a f ew week s duri ng the arrai gnment procedures the charges were dropped from preparation of a terrorist attack to preparation of murder. The three men wer e now c harged with planning the murder of artist Lars Vilks w ith a k nife a nd were ret ained i n

custody. S everal m onths later when t he m en were finally put on t rial t he c harges were then drop ped against two of the men and one m an was found guilty of carrying a c oncealed we apon—a p ocket-knife—and fined. Obviously, this was again an embarrassing case for the Swedish Security Service and their anti-terror actions.

These are a few of the more high profile antiterrorist actions by t he S wedish S ecurity Service i n Gothenburg, whi ch argua bly di d no t hav e proc edural justice in the foreground. They a ppear to have alienated the Muslim communities we studied and the events were related in our res pondents' narra tives of what they felt were cases of unjust treatment. One or more of these actions were mentioned by all but three of the respondents in our s tudy as examples of what they perceived as examples of unfair treatment by the Swedish Security Service. While their narratives did not necessarily prov ide ac curate ac counts, f or ex ample, the actions in reality were c arried out by the Regional Police Authority, they all attributed what they regarded as unfair policing procedure to the Security Service. So while the Swedish Security Service is cognisant of the need to gain the support of Muslim communities in their counterterrorism ef forts t hrough improving policecommunity rel ations, we can witness an increasing alienation among S wedish M uslims in regards to the police. In G othenburg, Sweden's s econd I argest c ity with a large number of Muslim communities, a series of police and public prosecutor actions against Muslims suspected of t errorist ac tivities has out raged a significant prop ortion of the Muslim popu lation undermining the relations with the police, in particular the Swedish Security Service. Not only do actions such as these under mine the cooperation they seek in their outreach programme, research suggests that intrusive measures can potentially stimulate terrorist recruitment (Donohue 2008).

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

#### **Preconditions for Cooperation**

As Murphy, Hinds and Fleming (2008) point out that while the police have the power to regulate people by applying or threatening to apply sanctions, their ability to control crime more effectively is significantly enhanced by increasing public cooperation. In their study they found that:

a process-oriented strategy to policing — which places procedural justice and trust

in the foreground of encounters with the public — serves to build and maintain the legitimacy of police, which then makes it easier for them to encourage community cooperation (p. 140).

Their c onclusions reg arding pol ice-community relations m ore gen erally dov etail with the findings of Tyler, S chulhofer and Huq (2010) who s pecifically studied how procedural justice impacts the effectivity of counterterrorism pol icing. I n s hort, f air proc edures practiced t owards communities communicate t o t he group res pect and v alue, w hile u nfair proc edures communicate di srespect and marginality. In our case the ac tions of S wedish Security conjunction with highly medialised detainments and arrests and in conjunction with the Service's outreach programme which is seen as veiled attempts to procure information and w hich is perceived to victimize a II Muslim c ommunities as s uspects i n Sweden's counterterrorism progra mme—has no t pl procedural justice in the foreground. Procedural justice, both pol icy f ormulation in S weden's counterterrorism programme and in the implementation of i ts m easures, s hape the a ttitudes of S wedish Muslims t owards c opperation or n on-cooperation. I f these c ommunities do not perc eive that t hey ar e viewed as valued members of society, sic "respectable citizens" (Wad dington 19 99: 41). they c annot reasonably be ex pected to as sist the Security Service in their counterterrorism efforts.

Furthermore, the S wedish S ecurity S ervice's outreach progra mme's focus on Muslim communities may ev en be c ounterproductive bec ause of suspicions and m istrust t hat t his approach t ends t o provoke am ong ordi nary Muslims. Our i nformants described t he out reach activities, the 'invitations t o dialogue' i nitiated by the Security Service, as crudely veiled attempts to procure information and not as an attempt to establish a relation of trust and reciprocity. Comparable to the Dan ish ac tion p lan agai nst radicalization, the Swedish Security Service's outreach programme can have the unintended consequence that young Muslims can "isolate from majority society and actively discredit au thorities (Li ndekilde 2012a: 2 6). Instead of counteracting radicalization processes, the programme, whi ch t argets prac ticing M uslims as per definition potential terrorists, c an v ery wellI ead to radicalization a mong young Muslims with experiences of misrecognition. Lindekilde (2012b) argues that when Muslims are targeted as a generalized potential threat and Muslims p erceive p olicies as discriminatory and

stigmatising, ac tion pl ans agai nst rad icalization c an result in b oth s hort and long run "backfire processes" that in t urn lead to an acceleration of radi calization processes among many "home grown terrorists".

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The work was supported by the Swedish Research Council f or Work ing L ife a nd S ocial Res earch (gran t number 2009-0 011). The da ta c ollection was largely carried out by Iman Ras ad, Department of Sociology, Lund Uni versity, S weden. The aut hor wou ld I ike t o acknowledge the helpful comments of the reviewers.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Akram, Susan M. and Kevin R. Johnson (2002) "Race, Civil Rights, and I mmigration Law A fter S eptember 11, 20 01: T he Targeting of A rabs and Muslims". New York University Annual Survey of American Law. 58: 295-355.
- Bigo, D idier and A nastassia T soukala (2008) *Terror, Insecurity and Liberty.* New York: Routledge.
- Birt, Yahya (2009) "Promoting Virulent Envy? Reconsidering the UK's Terrorist P revention S trategy". Royal United Services Institute Journal. 154(4): 52-58.
- Bradford, B en, Jon athan Ja ckson and E lizabeth S tanko (2009) "Contact a nd C onfidence: R evisiting t he I mpact o f P ublic Encounters with the Police". *Policing and Society*. 19(1): 20-46
- Cornwall, Andrea (2004) "New de mocratic spaces? The politics and dynamics of institutional participation". *IDS Bulletin.* 35(2): 1-10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1759-5436.2004.tb00115.x
- Dahlström, Carl (2007) "Modeller för invandrarpolitik". Pp. 26-43 in A. Peterson and M . H jerm ( eds) *Etnicitet: Perspektiv på samhället*. Malmö: Gleerups.
- Desmoyers-Davis, T revor (2003) Citizenship in Modern Britain, 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. London: Cavendish.
- Dinham, A dam and V ivien Low ndes (2008) "Religion, R esources, and R epresentation: Three N arratives of F aith E ngagement in B ritish U rban G overnance". *Urban Affairs Review.* 43(6): 817-845.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1078087408314418

Donohue, La ura (2008) T he C ost of C ounterterrorism: p olitics, Politics, a nd Liberty. C ambridge: C ambridge U niversity Press.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511551192

- Hasisi, Badi, Geoffrey P. Alpert and Dan Flynn (2009) 'The Impacts of Policing Terrorism on Society: Lessons from Israel and the US'. Pp. 177-202 in D. Weisburd et al. (eds) To Protect and To Serve: Policing in an Age of Terrorism. Springer Science and Business Media.
- Hough, Mike a nd J ulion R oberts (2004) Confidence in Justice: An International Review. London: Home Office.
- Jackson, Jo nathan and B en B radford (2009) "Crime, P olicing a nd Social Order: On the Expressive Nature of Public Confidence in Policing". *British Journal of Sociology*. 60(3): 493-521. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4446.2009.01253.x
- Jackson, Jonathan and Jason Sunshine (2007) "Public Confidence in Policing: A Neo-Durkheimian Perspective". *British Journal of Criminology*. 47(2): 214-233. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azl031
- Kundnani, A run ( 2009) Spooked! How Not to Prevent Violent Extremism. London: Institute of Race Relations.

- Lindekilde, Las se ( 2012a, f orthcoming). "Neo-liberal Governing of 'Radicals': D anish R adicalization P revention P olicies and Potential latrogenic Effects". *International Journal of Conflict and Violence*. 5(2).
- Lindekilde, Lasse ( 2012b, f orthcoming) " A T ypology o f B ackfire Mechanisms: How Hard and Soft Forms of State Repression Can Have Perverse Effects in the Field of Counterterrorism". In L. Bosi, C. Demetriou and S. Malthaner (eds). A Process-Oriented Perspective on Radicalization and the Escalation of Political Conflict. Ashgate Publishing Ltd.
- Lyons, W illiams (1999) "Partnership, i nformation and pu blic s afety:
  Community po licing in t imes o f t error". Policing: An
  International Journal of Police Strategies and Management.
  25(3): 530-542.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13639510210437023
- Morison, J ohn ( 2000) "The G overnment—Voluntary S ector Compacts: Governance, Governmentality and Civil Society". *Journal of Law and Society*. 27(1): 98-132. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-6478.00148
- Murphy, Kristina, Lyn Hinds and Jenny Fleming (2008) "Encouraging public c ooperation and s upport f or p olice". *Policing & Society.* 18(2): 136-155. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10439460802008660
- Oliver, William M. (2006) Homeland Security for Policing. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Poynting, S cott a nd V ictoria Mason ( 2006) "Tolerance, f reedom, justice an d pe ace? Britain, A ustralia and anti-Muslim racisms since 11<sup>th</sup> September 2001". *Journal of Intercultural Studies*. 27(4): 365-392. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07256860600934973
- Raco, Mike (2003) "Governmentality, subject-building, and the discourses and practices of devolution in the UK". Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers. 28: 75-95. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1475-5661.00078
- Ranstorp, Magnus a nd J osefine D os S antos (2009) Hot mot demokrati och värdegrund en lägesbild från Malmö. Stockholm: The Military College: Centrum för Asymmetriska Hot och Terrorismstudier (CATS).
- Rose, N iklolas (1999) Powers of Freedom Reframing Political Thought. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511488856
- Sedgwick, Mark (2010) "The Concept of Radicalization as a Source of Confusion". *Terrorism and Political Violence*. 22(4): 47 9-494.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2010.491009

- Sharp, Douglas and S usie Atherton (2007) "To Serve and Protect?" British Journal of Criminology. 47(2): 746-763. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azm024
- Sindall, Katy, Patrick S turgis and W ill J ennings (2012) "Public Confidence in the Police—A Time-Series A nalysis". *British Journal of Criminology*. Advanced access published June 1, 2012
- Skogan, Wesley G. (2006) "Asymmetry in the Impact of Encounters with Police". *Policing & Society*. 16(2): 99-126. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10439460600662098
- Skogan, W esley G. a nd K athleen F rydl (eds) (2004) Fairness and Effectiveness in Policing: The Evidence. Washington, DC: National Academies.
- Spalek, B asia, S , E I-Awa, Laura Z ahra McDonald a nd R obert Lampert ( 2009) Policy—Muslim Engagement and Partnerships for the Purposes of Counter-Terrorism: An Examination. Birmingham: University of Birmingham.
- Spencer, A. Be njamin and Michael Hough (2000) "A ttitudes of People from Minority Ethnic Communities Towards a Career in the Police Service". *Home Office Policing and Reducing Crime Unit Series Paper 121*. London: Home Office.
- Swedish S ecurity Se rvice (2 010) Violence-promoting Islamist extremism in Sweden. The report is available for download from www.sakerhetspolisen.se
- Taylor, Michael (2007) "Community Participation in the Real World:
  Opportunities and Pitfalls in New Governance Spaces".

  Urban Studies. 44(2): 297-317.

  http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00420980601074987
- Thomas, P aul (2010) "Failed and F riendless: The U K's 'P reventing Violent E xtremism' P rogramme". *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*. 12(3): 442-458. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2010.00422.x
- Tyler, T om R. (2 006) Why People Obey the Law. Princeton, N J: Princeton University Press.
- Tyler, Tom R., Stephen Sc hulhofer a nd Az iz Z. Hu q (2 010) "Legitimacy an d D eterrence E ffects in C ounterterrorism Policing: A Study of Mu slim A mericans". Law & Society Review. 44(2): 365-401. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5893.2010.00405.x
- Waddington, P. A. J. (1999) *Policing Citizens: Authority and Rights*. London: UCL Press.

Received on 01-07-2012 Accepted on 25-10-2012 Published on 02-11-2012

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.6000/1929-4409.2012.01.11

© 2012 Abby Peterson; Licensee Lifescience Global.

This is an open access ar ticle I icensed under the terms of the Creative Commons At tribution Non-Commercial Li cense (<a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/</a>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.